

COUNCIL MINUTES

September 10, 1998

The City Council of the City of Mesa met in a Study Session in the lower level meeting room of the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on September 10, 1998 at 7:32 a.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT

Jim Davidson
John
Keno Hawker
Bill Jaffa
Dennis Kavanaugh

Giles

COUNCIL ABSENT

Mayor Wayne Brown
Pat Pomeroy

STAFF PRESENT

C.K. Luster
Pauline Backer
Wayne Balmer
Cindy Barris
Neal Beets
Denise Bleyle
Dan Brewer
Steve Burg
Tanya Collins
Fred Conway
Jerry Dillehay
Laurie Goggin
Mike Hutchinson
Janice Jackson
Barbara Jones
Harry Kent
Ron Krosting
Karen Kurtz
Greg Marek
Frank Mizner
Keith Nath
Ruth Anne Norris
Ellen Pence
Ray Pittman
David Plumb
Bryan Raines
Andrea Rasizer
Tom Remes

STAFF PRESENT (CONT.)

Jenny Sheppard
Jan Strauss
Ursula Strephans
Doug Tessendorf
Paul Wilson
Jim Wright

OTHERS PRESENT

Carolyn Baecker
Charlie Deaton
Gary Finlinson
Lindy Funkhouser
Jason Morris
Dave Nichols
Jerry Petrie
Ralph Pew
Dean Szoboda
Tom Verploegen
Chris Zaharis
Others

Vice Mayor Giles excused Mayor Brown and Councilmember Pomeroy from the meeting.

0.1. Hear a report on the 1998 PAY program.

(This item was continued from the September 8, 1998 Study Session.)

Human Services Coordinator Karen Kurtz stated that Positive Alternatives for Youth (PAY) is a summer behavior management program for at-risk teens. Ms. Kurtz reported that the PAY program was created by the Mesa Gang Prevention Steering Committee and has been in effect for six years.

Ms. Kurtz presented a videotape highlighting PAY program activities and comments from participants and instructors.

Ms. Kurtz advised that the PAY program encompasses classroom discussions, service learning projects, and team-building activities. Ms. Kurtz reported that in 1998, the percentage of students successfully completing the PAY program and receiving bonus payments increased over past years.

Ms. Kurtz noted that selected measures are tracked for the year before a student attends the PAY program and one year after the student has completed the program. Ms. Kurtz stated that an analysis of available data relating to criminal history and school performance reveals that between 11 percent and 14 percent of the teens participating in the program had a criminal history before entering the program and no criminal behavior during the year after attending the program, and that during the year after attending the program, 36 percent showed a decrease in the number of suspension days from school, 55 percent showed a decrease in the number of absences, 69 percent showed a decrease in the number of school tardies, and 26 percent showed improvement in their school grade point average.

Councilmember Davidson commended Ms. Kurtz for the videotape. In response to questions from Councilmember Davidson, Ms. Kurtz noted the contribution of Mesa Public Schools in providing facilities (currently Powell and Stapley Junior High Schools) and the City's financial contribution to the PAY program. Ms. Kurtz stated that a percentage of costs are paid from the State's summer youth employment funds and a grant from the Supreme Court Juvenile Crime Reduction Fund. Ms. Kurtz spoke concerning efforts to develop relationships with school counselors and encourage repeat referrals of teens in an effort to provide continuity.

Councilmember Davidson expressed the opinion that the data presented indicates that funds are well utilized.

In response to a question from Councilmember Hawker, Ms. Kurtz reported that the total cost of the 1998 PAY program was approximately \$157,000, of which approximately \$63,000 was contributed by the City.

Councilmember Jaffa questioned the feasibility of monitoring performance for more than one year following participation in the program, commenting that multi-year data would prove useful in assessing the value of the program. Ms. Kurtz related difficulties in obtaining/evaluating data, particularly given the high degree of transience.

Councilmember Jaffa referred to graphs presented to Council and noted an increase in negative traits among various participants.

In response to a question from Councilmember Jaffa, Ms. Kurtz presented a breakdown of program costs. Councilmember Jaffa spoke in favor of directing the majority of funds toward the payment of youth rather than operation of the program.

Vice Mayor Giles thanked Ms. Kurtz for the presentation and commended her efforts.

1. Discussion and consideration of a citizen participation ordinance similar to an ordinance adopted by Glendale.

Community Development Manager Wayne Balmer advised that this item is presented in follow-up to Council discussion last April concerning expanded citizen participation relative to land-use issues. Mr. Balmer noted

that at that time, Councilmember Kavanaugh suggested review of an ordinance adopted by the City of Glendale. Mr. Balmer stated that an ordinance similar to Glendale's has been prepared for Council consideration and that Dean Svoboda, Planning Director for the City of Glendale, is present to respond to questions from Council. Mr. Balmer commented that the proposal represents substantial revisions to current procedures but that staff is supportive of the measure should sufficient resources be allocated.

Planning Director Frank Mizner stated that staff's summary of the Glendale ordinance has been reviewed by Glendale personnel. Mr. Mizner outlined implications of the proposal and commented that the process is not intended to achieve consensus but to increase awareness. Mr. Mizner highlighted three options developed by staff concerning the ordinance: a) adopt the proposed citizen participation requirements as Council policy to be implemented on a voluntary basis for one year, b) adopt the proposed citizen participation requirements as a mandatory ordinance, and c) do not adopt citizen participation requirements on either a voluntary or mandatory basis at this time and continue to review the success of encouraging applicants to undertake the program voluntarily.

Mr. Mizner reported that the Planning and Zoning Board voted unanimously to recommend that the draft ordinance not be adopted by Council, citing the opinion that the current voluntary process works well. Mr. Mizner stated that the Downtown Development Committee (DDC), however, voted 7-1 the same date in favor of the draft ordinance. Mr. Mizner noted that last year the Planning and Zoning Board considered approximately 150 public hearing-type cases that would be affected by this ordinance, while the DDC considered approximately 10 such cases.

Mr. Mizner requested direction from Council pertaining to this matter.

Vice Mayor Giles recognized members of the Planning and Zoning Board present at the meeting and expressed appreciation to them and Mr. Svoboda for their attendance.

Councilmember Kavanaugh thanked staff for their efforts. Councilmember Kavanaugh questioned the reaction from the development community to the mandatory practice in Glendale.

Mr. Svoboda commented that development has not diminished as a result of the process. Mr. Svoboda noted Glendale's support for businesses and economic development/redevelopment. Mr. Svoboda indicated that Glendale's citizen participation ordinance was a progression of Glendale's growth and was intended as a communication tool to facilitate, not hinder, the development process. Mr. Svoboda expressed the opinion that the citizen participation ordinance has returned a level of civility to previously counterproductive public hearings and that while agreement may not necessarily be attained, neighbors appreciate the efforts of developers in working with them.

In response to a question from Councilmember Jaffa, Mr. Svoboda stated that the enactment of the citizen participation ordinance has not increased the level of participation but has facilitated the process. Mr. Svoboda commented that participation had previously been substantial but often was not favorable. Mr. Svoboda said that the citizen participation has served to establish dialogue between developers and neighbors.

Councilmember Jaffa stated the opinion that participation cannot be compelled and expressed concern that mandatory enactment of a citizen participation ordinance may further distance residents from Council. Councilmember Jaffa noted Council's responsibility to examine requests on behalf of the citizenry.

In response to a question from Councilmember Davidson, Mr. Svoboda said that staff has had discussions with economic development personnel and representatives from the development community. Mr. Svoboda reported that enactment of the citizen participation ordinance has not adversely impacted economic

development or jeopardized confidentiality and negotiations to date, and that requiring action initially can often substantially curtail delays.

Mr. Mizner commented that the proposal represents a compromise and may serve to diffuse confusion. Mr. Mizner stated that controversial cases have been presented in the past and that the participation process will increase citizen awareness.

Councilmember Davidson noted the enhancement of the citizen advisory process but expressed concern should there be no delineation between economic and residential development. Councilmember Davidson requested input from Economic Development Director Dave Spaur.

Carolyn Baecker, Chairman of the Economic Development Advisory Board, noted the importance of the issue and requested an opportunity for the Board to review the matter.

In response to a question from Councilmember Hawker, Mr. Svoboda stated that Glendale originally adopted the citizen participation requirements on a voluntary rather than mandatory basis. Mr. Svoboda outlined difficulties encountered in relation to voluntary participation.

Councilmember Hawker indicated a preference for adoption on a voluntary rather than mandatory basis and suggested monitoring differences between participants and nonparticipants.

Chris Zaharis, Chairman of the Planning and Zoning Board, related concerns expressed by the Board, commenting that citizens receive notification and are involved utilizing the present process. Mr. Zaharis stated the opinion that the proposal likely will not increase participation.

Brief discussion ensued concerning final plat approval and a determination/definition as to which situations (e.g., "significant" changes), if any, would be resubmitted for public participation.

Councilmember Jaffa spoke in favor of proceeding on a voluntary basis, increasing notification to residents, and adopting a policy in regard to "significant" changes. Councilmember Jaffa commented that residents are generally asked to compromise from a standpoint of less knowledge than developers and reiterated that it is incumbent upon Council to become involved.

Councilmember Kavanaugh expressed the opinion that the citizen participation process will ensure quality development and provide an opportunity to improve communication and reinforce credibility. Councilmember Kavanaugh noted the necessity for adequate staff resources to monitor the program. Councilmember Kavanaugh related a preference to adopt the citizen participation requirements as a mandatory ordinance but indicated support to proceed on voluntary basis for one year and allow an opportunity to receive input from entities such as advisory boards. Councilmember Kavanaugh commented that redevelopment should additionally be subject to citizen participation.

It was moved by Councilmember Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Davidson, to direct staff to obtain input from advisory boards and prepare a resolution/packet for consideration at a Regular Council Meeting to adopt the proposed citizen participation requirements as Council policy to be implemented on a voluntary basis for one year.

A tabulation of votes showed the motion carried unanimously by those present.

Mr. Balmer suggested consideration of the resolution at the October 5, 1998 Regular Council Meeting.

2. Discuss and consider color(s) for the Gilbert Road walls.

Vice Mayor Giles advised that petitions relating to the color(s) for the Gilbert Road walls were presented at a recent meeting of the Transportation Committee and that Gary Finlinson, the individual who had collected the petitions, was asked to contact neighbors to further discuss the matter.

Mr. Finlinson reported an interest among neighbors to neutralize the appearance of the wall and related a preferred one-color (Los Summit) selection, as well as a two-color (Sonora Gray and Pueblo) selection. Mr. Finlinson displayed photographs of similar walls and commented that the recommendation will accentuate shadows and the block motif.

Vice Mayor Giles said that although the City is not obligated to consider two colors, the contractor has been paid to paint two colors. Vice Mayor Giles suggested consideration of the two-color selection of the neighbors.

Councilmember Hawker stated support for the recommendation of Vice Mayor Giles but commented that he does not favor repainting the walls along McKellips Road.

It was moved by Councilmember Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Hawker, to adopt the citizens' recommendation for two colors (Sonora Gray and Pueblo) for the Gilbert Road walls.

In response to a question from Councilmember Jaffa, Vice Mayor Giles noted the neighbors' preference for one color but acceptance of two colors.

It was moved by Councilmember Jaffa, seconded by Councilmember Hawker, that the motion be amended to adopt the citizens' recommendation for one color (Los Summit) for the Gilbert Road walls.

Upon a tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Giles-Hawker-Jaffa-Kavanaugh
NAYS - Davidson
ABSENT - Brown-Pomeroy

Vice Mayor Giles declared the motion carried by majority vote of those present.

Councilmember Davidson expressed the opinion that such matters should be considered by advisory groups rather than Council.

3. Discuss and consider proceeding with a citizen attitude survey.

It was moved by Councilmember Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Davidson, that the recommendation of staff be adopted.

Councilmember Jaffa expressed concern that the proposal may not present credible data relative to the manner in which the City conducts business. Councilmember Jaffa stated that he does not support the motion.

Vice Mayor Giles commented that a previous citizen attitude survey was conducted by the City and the results were of assistance.

In response to a question from Councilmember Davidson, Assistant City Manager Mike Hutchinson stated that a time frame for conducting the survey has not yet been determined but that recommended questions will be presented for Council review.

Councilmember Jaffa concurred that surveys are beneficial but questioned the appropriateness of the proposal, particularly if such a survey could be conducted by other entities at no cost to the City.

Upon a tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Davidson-Giles-Hawker-Kavanaugh
NAYS - Jaffa
ABSENT - Brown-Pomeroy

Vice Mayor Giles declared the motion carried by majority vote of those present.

4. Discuss and consider a proposed County Justice Court complex on Redevelopment Site 7 (northwest corner of 1st Avenue and Pomeroy Street).

It was moved by Councilmember Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Jaffa, that the recommendation of staff be approved.

Councilmember Kavanaugh spoke concerning the importance of the proposed complex for the downtown area.

Vice Mayor Giles expressed appreciation for the material presented to Council.

In response to questions from Councilmember Hawker, Redevelopment Director Greg Marek provided background information concerning the proposal, stating that Site 7 has been vacant for more than 10 years and that the project offers an opportunity for Maricopa County to expand with a facility having more than 250 employees. Mr. Marek advised that one remaining issue involves secured parking in the basement of the garage. Mr. Marek reported that the City would prefer that the County lease the basement for 20 years with options to renew, while the County would prefer to purchase the air space in the basement, in effect converting the garage into a condominium.

Councilmember Hawker questioned the feasibility of the site as a light rail station. Mr. Marek said that he is a member of a team reviewing light rail options but said that this location has not been considered to date, stating that a site closer to downtown is preferred.

City Manager Charles Luster commenting concerning the existing parking garage, which could more suitably be utilized in conjunction with an office facility.

In response to a question from Vice Mayor Giles, Mr. Luster noted an interest in the City acquiring the County's facility located near the Sheraton Hotel but said that such an acquisition is not a component of the transaction currently proposed.

Councilmember Hawker and Vice Mayor Giles questioned plans to obtain public input. Mr. Marek stated that should Council direct staff to proceed with an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) with the County, staff will notify neighbors and conduct a public meeting.

In response to a question from Councilmember Davidson, Lindy Funkhouser, project coordinator for the County, described proposed operations at the facility, noting that a secured waiting area (no overnight holding) will be provided.

In response to a question from Councilmember Jaffa, Mr. Marek advised that if directed by Council to proceed with the proposal, staff will present the IGA for Council approval at a future meeting.

A tabulation of votes showed the motion carried unanimously by those present.

5. Review and consider the required configuration of houses in the Coventry Homes Mesa Northgrove subdivision located at 32nd Street and Brown Road, north side.

Councilmember Kavanaugh noted that staff has received a request on behalf of Coventry Homes to continue this item to the next Council Study Session.

It was moved by Councilmember Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Jaffa, that this item be continued to the next Council Study Session.

A tabulation of votes showed the motion carried unanimously by those present.

6. Review and consider clarification of General Plan land use for the area east of the General Motors Proving Ground.

Mr. Balmer presented background information concerning two pending zoning cases before Maricopa County (east of the General Motors Proving Grounds) proposing medium-density development in an area designated on the City's General Plan as low density. Mr. Balmer spoke concerning lack of infrastructure in the area and the importance of the zoning cases in establishing precedence for development in southeast Mesa.

Planning Director Frank Mizner reported that Mr. Xander, applicant for the zoning case at the northeast corner of Warner and Mountain Roads, alleges that the City's Land Use Map is incorrect. Mr. Mizner stated that Mr. Xander refers to what he believes is ambiguity in the minutes from the General Plan Steering Committee, stating that it was the intent of the Committee that the entire area east of Mountain Road south to Warner Road be designated as medium-density residential, rather than low-density residential south of the midpoint between Elliot and Warner. Mr. Mizner requested direction from Council pertaining to the Land Use Map, noting that the map has been utilized to evaluate many other zoning cases to date, including additional cases from Mr. Xander.

Mr. Mizner said that Mr. Morris, representing the applicant for the zoning case south of Elliot Road between Mountain Road and Meridian Roads, has not indicated a belief that the Land Use Map is incorrect but would like to have the map changed.

Mr. Mizner reiterated the significant lack of infrastructure in the area and stated the opinion that the zoning requests are not compatible and appropriate. Mr. Mizner advised that the Superintendent of the Queen Creek Schools has expressed concern that the proposed development would be difficult to serve.

Mr. Mizner encouraged Council support of the General Plan and recommended that a resolution which has been prepared by staff confirming such support be presented for adoption at the September 22, 1998 Regular Council Meeting. Mr. Mizner additionally suggested that staff be directed to oppose the two zoning cases pending before the County. Mr. Mizner noted that as previously agreed, Mr. Morris has requested a continuance from the County until October 1, 1998.

Discussion ensued pertaining to the minutes from the General Plan Steering Committee, potential efforts to eliminate perceived ambiguity, and Resolution 6905, which initially adopted the 1996 Mesa General Plan and Land Use Map. Environmental Attorney Steve Burg advised that ambiguity can be clarified by adopting a new resolution amending Resolution 6905.

Vice Mayor Giles spoke concerning economic viability and questioned the appropriateness of residential zoning for this area. Vice Mayor Giles noted the importance of zoning that most accurately reflects the potential use of property.

Councilmember Jaffa recommended that the entire southeast Mesa area (and northeast Mesa area) be reevaluated before action is taken by Council to clarify the General Plan. Councilmember Jaffa commented regarding compatibility issues and potential future development, particularly if General Motors should vacate their existing site. Councilmember Jaffa referred to surrounding areas designated as industrial/commerce park and stated that higher density may be appropriate for the sites in question but that the entire area in general should first be reviewed.

Councilmember Kavanaugh expressed the opinion that Mr. Morris and Mr. Xander present valid concerns relative to low density versus medium density.

Jason Morris, 3200 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, representing one of the applicants, requested that Council consider development in the area based on the Land Use Map and economic reality. Mr. Morris encouraged continued designation of the property as residential but commented that low density is inappropriate and will not provide the type and quality of development envisioned by the City. Mr. Morris stated the opinion that the proposed development will serve as a catalyst relative to infrastructure for the area. Mr. Morris advised that conceptual zoning has been requested from Maricopa County but noted anticipation for final zoning in Mesa or with Mesa's concurrence.

In response to questions from Vice Mayor Giles, Mr. Morris reported that Maricopa County has granted a request for a continuance to their October 1, 1998 meeting.

Councilmember Hawker stated that it is his understanding that the property was acquired with a designation of low-density residential. Councilmember Hawker spoke in favor of retaining zoning as currently designated on the City's Land Use Map.

Vice Mayor Giles stated that a resolution has been prepared by staff for consideration at the September 22, 1998 Regular Council Meeting in an effort to resolve any issues of ambiguity. Vice Mayor Giles commented concerning the importance of protecting industrial development in the area while taking into consideration property owners and direction appropriate to allow development.

7. Discuss and consider long-range planning issues.

Mr. Mizner advised that a document was prepared and distributed recently by Councilmember Hawker itemizing potential topics for discussion in relation to a vision plan for Mesa. Mr. Mizner noted that while many issues apply to specific departments, various components can be addressed through the City's General Plan. Mr. Mizner stated that a review of the General Plan will be necessary in the near future as a result of the recently adopted Growing Smarter legislation and requested direction from Council in considering long-range planning issues. Mr. Mizner indicated that a newly approved Planner I position may be utilized to assist in addressing such items but that additional staff and a consultant will likely be necessary in reviewing the General Plan. Mr. Mizner indicated that staff has prepared an overview of long-range planning issues from staff's perspective.

Councilmember Hawker spoke concerning the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) regional Vision Plan for 2025 and the importance of long-term planning for the City.

Mr. Balmer reiterated staff's request for direction from Council.

Councilmember Jaffa suggested that a Study Session be scheduled to address planning issues in greater detail.

Vice Mayor Giles concurred and recommended "Mesa Speaks" and/or a Saturday Study Session open to the public as potential venues. Vice Mayor Giles encouraged input from staff.

Councilmembers agreed with Vice Mayor Giles.

Mr. Mizner stated that staff will provide periodic updates to Council and that issues relative to the Growing Smarter legislation will be presented in conjunction with upcoming budget discussions.

Assistant City Manager Mike Hutchinson noted the feasibility of utilizing the citizen survey to aid the City's planning and spoke in favor of a retreat or planning meeting to initiate the process later this year.

8. Hear a report, discuss, and consider planning for a light rail system (Valley Connections).

This item was not discussed at this time.

9. Hear a report, discuss, and consider planning for electric deregulation.

This item was not discussed at this time.

10. Deleted.

11. Discuss and consider a policy for the disposal of firearms appropriated by the Police Department.

Mr. Luster stated that this item has been scheduled for discussion as a result of Council's recent action to reject all bids relative to a contract for the disposal of firearms. Mr. Luster reported that a copy of the ordinance adopted in 1994 governing current procedures has been provided for Council review. Mr. Luster requested direction from Council concerning a new policy.

Councilmember Davidson advised that he has forwarded comments to staff indicating his preference to destroy such weapons with the exception of those firearms that can be utilized by law enforcement or donated if designated as historic artifacts.

Vice Mayor Giles suggested that Council ask Police Department personnel to outline available options and advise concerning actions undertaken by surrounding communities.

Assistant Police Chief Fred Conway said that various recommendations presented by Councilmember Davidson presently occur (e.g., donate items to military museums, convert to Police Department use when possible). Chief Conway noted that the Police Department currently destroys approximately 1/3 to 1/2 of the weapons in their possession but that a decision is needed as to whether to sell higher priced weapons or destroy them.

Councilmember Davidson recommended adopting an ordinance similar to that in many neighboring cities whereby weapons are destroyed with the exception of those firearms that can be utilized by law enforcement.

Councilmember Kavanaugh concurred with Councilmember Davidson.

Vice Mayor Giles suggested that this item be rescheduled for discussion when all Councilmembers are present and recommended that staff proceed to develop available options.

Councilmember Hawker suggested that rather than destroying all firearms, an option be established whereby police officers can acquire such weapons.

Acting Police Chief Jan Strauss advised that staff will prepare alternatives.

Jans Tingen, 959 East 10th Avenue, expressed the opinion that the City should dispose of personal private property as outlined in State law and that an ordinance adopting a policy similar to surrounding communities is unnecessary. Mr. Tingen noted recent funding requests for Police training and stated that monies derived from the disposal of firearms would provide substantial revenue for such requests.

Vice Mayor Giles thanked Mr. Tingen for his comments.

12. Review and consider an overview of the sister cities program.

Mr. Balmer advised that members of Council and staff will be traveling to Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada, as the City contemplates developing a new sister city relationship. Mr. Balmer presented information pertaining to the purpose and history of the Sister Cities program and the status of Mesa's current sister city relationships. Mr. Balmer noted sister city programs in neighboring communities and requested direction from Council pertaining to Mesa's goals and objectives.

Councilmember Kavanaugh expressed appreciation to staff and the Mesa Sister City Association for their efforts. Councilmember Kavanaugh noted the importance of cultural opportunities but suggested that consideration be given to expanding the scope of focus to include economic development and tourism. Councilmember Kavanaugh encouraged efforts to increase City participation and spoke in favor of establishing a Protocol Office (or departmental staff position) as has been incorporated in Phoenix.

Councilmember Jaffa stated the opinion that the sister city program offers an opportunity to enhance the City's economic development and spoke in favor of pursuing relationships with specialized importance for Mesa.

Councilmember Hawker expressed the opinion that if funded by the City, sister city relationships should carry economic potential, but commented that sister city communities should be advised of such expectations.

Vice Mayor Giles noted economic opportunities presented in Mesa's sister city relationship with Guaymas, Sonora, Mexico. Vice Mayor Giles referred to options outlined by staff for improving mutual cooperation between the City and the Mesa Sister City Association and stated support for Option 4 (elevate the Mesa Sister City Association in prestige by having the Mayor and Council nominate some or all of the Board of Directors).

Councilmember Kavanaugh expressed support for Option 4 in conjunction with Option 3 (allocate a specific budgetary amount to help support administrative and exchange costs and work program goals). Councilmember Kavanaugh stated that he does not have a preference between Option 1 (establish a Protocol Office) and Option 2 (establish a staff position in some department or division that would provide ongoing administrative support).

Councilmember Jaffa commented concerning economic and cultural potential but recommended additional discussion before proceeding.

Mr. Balmer suggested further review following the City's visit to Burnaby.

13. Hear reports on meetings and conferences attended.

Councilmember Davidson reported on a recent meeting of the Region I Agency on Aging where a videotape was released relating to domestic violence and abuse of the elderly. Councilmember Davidson stated that staff is considering airing the videotape on Channel 11.

Councilmember Jaffa advised that he has received numerous telephone calls regarding the Williams Gateway Airport influence area. Councilmember Jaffa encouraged follow-up to what he believes is a well-written statement prepared by Mary Baldwin, Marketing Director for Williams Gateway Airport.

14. Scheduling of meetings and general information.

Mr. Luster stated that a Study Session will be held on Thursday, September 17, 1998.

15. Prescheduled public opinion appearances (maximum of three speakers for three minutes per speaker).

There were no prescheduled public opinion appearances.

16. Items from citizens present (maximum of three speakers for three minutes per speaker).

There were no items from citizens present at this time.

17. Adjournment.

It was moved by Councilmember Davidson, seconded by Councilmember Kavanaugh, that the Study Session adjourn at 10:02 a.m.

Carried unanimously.

JOHN GILES, VICE MAYOR

ATTEST:

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Study Session of the City Council of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 10th day of September 1998. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

Dated this ____ day of _____ 1998

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK