



## COMMUNITY & NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES COMMITTEE

December 13, 2010

The Community & Neighborhood Services Committee of the City of Mesa met in the lower level meeting room of the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on December 13, 2010 at 3:32 p.m.

### COMMITTEE PRESENT

Dina Higgins, Chairwoman  
Dennis Kavanaugh  
Dave Richins

### COMMITTEE ABSENT

None

### STAFF PRESENT

Christine Stutz  
Natalie Lewis

#### 1. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

#### 2-a. Hear a presentation, discuss and make recommendations on the FY 2011/2012 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) recommendations made by the Housing Advisory Board and the Economic Development Advisory Board, and the FY 2011/2012 HOME Investment Partnerships Program and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program recommendations made by the Housing Advisory Board.

Neighborhood Services Department Director Ray Villa introduced Housing and Revitalization Director Carolyn Olson and Management Assistant Scott Clapp. He stated that staff was prepared to provide a short overview of a PowerPoint presentation or simply respond to questions the Committee might have regarding the Housing Advisory Board (HAB) and Economic Development Advisory Board's (EDAB) funding recommendations for the above-referenced programs. **(See Attachments 1 through 4)**

Chairwoman Higgins stated that because the Committee previously reviewed the rating system utilized by the Boards to make their recommendations, she preferred to discuss each project on a line-by-line basis. She also noted that Committeemember Richins provided a document to the Committee and staff that included alternative funding proposals. **(See Attachment 5)**

The Committee conducted an extensive review of the funding recommendations and Committeemember Richins' proposals. Their comments and input include, but are not limited to, the following: (Note: If a project was not listed, the Committee concurred with the Board recommendation.)

## **1. COM Development & Sustainability – Code Enforcement Program**

Responding to a question from Chairwoman Higgins, Ms. Olson clarified that the Code Enforcement Program had \$120,000 in remaining funds from FY 2009/2010, which would be moved to the FY 2010/2011 allocation. She explained that if, at the end of the year, there was a shortage of funding for the program, those monies would be available for use.

Deputy Building Safety Director Tammy Albright confirmed that last year the Code Enforcement Program had remaining funds due to the elimination of a number of Code Compliance Officers. She noted, however, that she did not anticipate any remaining funds this year.

Committeemember Richins stated that he would like to “send a message” to the City Manager’s Office that the City has been largely funding its Code Enforcement Program with CDBG dollars and urged staff to find a way to utilize General Fund dollars instead. He noted that the City has become “hamstrung” in being able to work in areas of the community that are not in CDBG-eligible Census tracts, which has allowed those areas “to slip into decay.” Committeemember Richins added that he was supportive of continuing to include CDBG dollars in the program, but said that the City should “quit supplanting General Fund dollars with CDBG dollars.”

## **5. COM Economic Development Department – 51-55 Main Street, Downtown Mesa**

In response to a series of questions from Chairwoman Higgins, Economic Development Department Director Bill Jabjiniak explained that although he did not know the value of the above-referenced building as a vacant structure, staff could obtain an appraisal relative to an after rehab value when a user was identified for the property. He also advised that staff had shown the building on a fairly regular basis and worked with several restaurants and other potential users who have expressed interest in the site.

Committeemember Richins referred to Items 5 and 6 (224 East Main Street – Tenant Improvements) and questioned the merits of using CDBG funds for the projects, which place “unnecessary restraints” on the City (i.e., low/moderate income job development requirements). He suggested that if the City can spend \$84 million for the construction of a new Chicago Cubs stadium, perhaps staff should evaluate the opportunity of utilizing enterprise funds to further leverage Mesa’s real estate portfolio.

Committeemember Richins further remarked that although he was supportive of the project, it might be more appropriate in 2015 pending the completion of light rail construction in downtown Mesa.

Committeemember Richins also noted that if Item 7 (Downtown Project Manager) was fully funded at the FY 2011/2012 request (\$115,000), as opposed to the Board’s recommendation of \$100,000, perhaps the position would assist in the eventual development of Items 5 and 6.

In response to a question from Chairwoman Higgins, Assistant to the City Manager Natalie Lewis clarified that the purpose of today’s presentation was for the Committee to make funding recommendations to the full Council.

Committeemember Kavanaugh concurred with Committeemember Richins’ recommendations relative to Items 5, 6 and 7.

Ms. Lewis requested clarification from the Committeemembers concerning their funding recommendations for Item 1.

Committeemember Richins expressed a preference for the FY 2011/2012 request in the amount of \$434,498.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that she preferred the FY 2011/2012 Board recommendation of \$354,000.

Committeemember Kavanaugh concurred with Committeemember Richins' proposal.

Responding to a question from Chairwoman Higgins, Assistant City Attorney Christine Stutz explained that it would be appropriate for the Committeemembers to make a motion collectively at the end of their discussions with respect to their funding recommendations. She also advised that if two members were interested in overfunding one of the Board's recommendations, it would be necessary to underfund another project elsewhere.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that with regard to Items 2, 3, 4 and 8, the Committee concurred with the Board recommendations. She also noted that there was Committee concurrence to fully fund Item 7 at \$115,000.

9. **Maricopa Community College District – Mesa Minority/Micro Small Business Development Center**
10. **Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO) – Economic Development Program**
11. **NEDCO – The Business Development Program**
12. **NEDCO – Light Rail Assistance Program**
13. **West Mesa CDC – Economic Development Program – WM CDC**

Committeemember Richins remarked that staff was challenged in managing multiple contracts for duplicative services and suggested that NEDCO and the Maricopa Community College District's Small Business Development Center (SBDC) coordinate their efforts to develop a minority/micro small business development center. He proposed that instead of giving \$74,435 to SBDC, he preferred to provide similar funding to NEDCO so that NEDCO could use SBDC as a subcontractor or partner.

Committeemember Richins further proposed to fully fund Item 10 at \$81,500; that Item 9 receive zero funding; and that Item 12 be fully funded at \$250,000. He stated that by incorporating these programs, the City could assist local businesses situated along the light rail line when construction begins in July 2011.

Chairwoman Higgins and Committeemember Kavanaugh concurred with Committeemember Richins' proposals.

Committeemember Richins also stated that regarding Item 13, if the City did not fund the basic operations of the West Mesa CDC's Economic Development Program, the programs listed under Items 4, 32 and 33 would not move forward.

Ms. Stutz clarified that the Committee recommended zero funding for Item 9; full funding for Item 10 (\$81,500); no funding for Item 11; full funding for Item 12 (\$250,000); and full funding for Item 13 (\$90,000).

**15. COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Homeowner Rehabilitation Program - COM**

Committeemember Richins commented that this program funds existing homeowners to bring their homes into compliance with the City Code. He stated that he would like to see the City's non-profit partners build capacity in order to manage certain housing need projects.

Responding to a series of questions from Committeemember Richins, Mr. Villa explained that contractors perform the rehabilitation work associated with this program. He also reported that of the \$500,000 funding recommendation, approximately \$150,000 is for staff costs.

Committeemember Richins stated that with respect to the \$500,000 funding recommendation, he inquired if the City could allocate \$100,000, for instance, to AE3Q or some other outside organization so that the entity could complete a couple rehabilitation projects in order to build capacity.

Mr. Villa clarified that staff intends to implement a better Request for Proposals (RFP) process next year so that there would be a greater opportunity for other entities to apply for the program.

Committeemember Richins advised that with regard to Item 15, he concurred with the FY 2011/2012 Board funding recommendation of \$500,000, but urged staff to focus on building capacity.

In response to a question from Chairwoman Higgins, Mr. Villa explained that unlike the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), which specifically targets the rehabilitation of homes in foreclosure, the Homeowner Rehabilitation Program focuses on rehabilitation projects (i.e., plumbing or electrical) on homes in which the homeowners reside.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that she would like to see the City of Mesa “get out of the business” of home rehabilitation.

Committeemember Richins suggested that the goal would be for the City to monitor the contracts instead of the projects.

**17. East Valley Adult Resources Inc. – Discovery Point Kitchen Rehab (Phase II)**

Committeemember Richins concurred with the FY 2011/2012 Board recommendation to fully fund the project at \$269,343 in order to complete the project.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that it was the concurrence of the Committee to fully fund Item 17.

Ms. Lewis inquired if the Committee concurred with the \$500,000 funding request for Item 15.

Chairwoman Higgins confirmed that they concurred.

## **18. A New Leaf – Indoor Plumbing Rehabilitation Projects**

Chairwoman Higgins expressed concern that the LaMesita Family Homeless Shelter, which is a 65 year old facility located on Main Street along the light rail line, would be prime real estate for redevelopment once light rail construction was completed. She stated that although she was not opposed to funding a New Leaf and LaMesita, she questioned whether it would be more appropriate for A New Leaf to invest in a new apartment complex to better meet the needs of its residents as opposed to investing additional monies into an aging property.

Committeemember Richins commented that in reviewing the application, the funding request was for \$750,000 from the City of Mesa and \$200,000 from four other communities. He also remarked that A New Leaf owns real estate near Center and Main and inquired if that site could be sold in order to leverage some of the costs for the plumbing rehabilitation projects.

Mike Hughes, President and CEO of A New Leaf, responded that the General Store on Main Street was in escrow and that the proceeds from the sale of the property would be used to pay off the original loan. He advised that LaMesita has been at its current location for 12 years and stated that it was an ideal location for its residents because of the availability of transportation services and future access to light rail. Mr. Hughes acknowledged that considerable funds have been expended to improve the property and added that the site has 30 units which house approximately 130 to 150 residents.

Committeemember Richins commented that the costs associated with the project appeared very inflated (i.e., \$38,000 per unit) and included not only plumbing costs, but expenses to rehab entire units.

Mr. Hughes responded that he would be happy to go back and reassess the cost estimates. He expressed concern with the suggestion that the property be vacated and used for some other purpose which, in his opinion, would result in many unforeseen problems.

Committeemember Richins requested that A New Leaf update the cost estimates related only to emergency plumbing and no other renovations.

Committeemember Kavanaugh said he preferred to keep a placeholder for the emergency plumbing repairs and added that it would not be feasible to zero out the projects at this time.

Chairwoman Higgins commented that she would like to see a long-range vision for the projects and reiterated that perhaps an apartment complex with two and three bedroom units would be better suited for the families.

Mr. Hughes noted that the indoor plumbing at LaMesita has reached a crisis situation and said he did not want to shut down housing units.

Committeemember Richins further remarked that he would like to work with A New Leaf on a capital campaign wherein foundation grants/private donations could be leveraged in order to complete the remaining projects at LaMesita over the next few years. He also stated that it would be helpful for A New Leaf to seek leverage from other communities relative to the emergency plumbing projects.

Committeemember Kavanaugh suggested that the Committee defer a recommendation on Item 18 until Mr. Hughes brings back revised cost estimates.

Ms. Lewis inquired whether the Committee was directing that this item be presented as a placeholder to the full Council at the December 16, 2010 Study Session when they review the CDBG, HOME and ESG funding requests and then brought back to the Committee at a later date.

Mr. Olson advised that the 30-day comment period for the FY 2011/2012 Annual Action Plan begins in approximately one week. She also noted that based upon the Committee's funding proposals thus far, there was approximately \$400,000 in CDBG monies remaining, a portion of which could be used for this project.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that the Committee was unaware that the funding applications would be presented to the full Council at the December 16<sup>th</sup> Study Session.

#### **19. House of Refuge, Inc. – HR Insulation Project**

Chairwoman Higgins concurred with Committeemember Richins' proposal to fund the FY 2011/2012 request of \$70,818.

Committeemember Richins commented that this project would help lower the utility costs for the residents at the House of Refuge. He noted, however, that the City cannot continue to update every public facility year after year.

Committeemember Kavanaugh remarked that funds for weatherization are available from many different sources. He also indicated that he did not support any funding for the project and added that the monies could be better used elsewhere.

In response to a question from Committeemember Richins, Ms. Olson clarified that she was not aware of any other monies to fund this project. She explained that the City's weatherization dollars are allocated to MesaCAN.

Committeemember Richins suggested that this item be kept as a placeholder and that staff report back to the Committee regarding whether the proposed weatherization costs could be lowered and if the House of Refuge could pursue other Federal funding options, such as the American Economic Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA).

#### **20. Save the Family – Save the Family Main Building Repairs**

Committeemember Richins proposed that the FY 2011/2012 funding request of \$75,000 be recommended so that the project could be completed.

Chairwoman Higgins and Committeemember Kavanaugh concurred with Committeemember Richins' proposal.

**21. COM Housing and Revitalization Division – FSS Support Services**

Committeemember Richins suggested increasing the funding request to \$10,000 so that the City of Mesa could assist more clients in the Family Self-Sufficiency Program.

Chairwoman Higgins concurred.

**22. COM Parks & Recreation Department – Washington Activity Center**

Committeemember Kavanaugh expressed concern that the City continues to fund the Washington Activity Center. He stated that several years ago, the Council's goal was to seek a private sector partner to help fund the facility and inquired regarding the status of that issue.

Committeemember Richins noted that considerable effort was underway in Washington Park to build capacity with the neighborhood.

Responding to a series of questions from Chairwoman Higgins, Parks, Recreation and Commercial Facilities Department Director Marc Heirshberg clarified that staff was in discussions with a local non-profit relative to providing assistance to the City in funding the Washington Activity Center. He explained that the entity withdrew from those discussions due to concerns regarding its own State funding. Mr. Heirshberg commented that staff also contacted the Boys and Girls Club and the YMCA in the same regard and said that the calls were not returned. He added that in the past few years, staff has implemented more educational activities and structured programming at the Washington Activity Center.

Committeemember Richins recommended that the City continue to fund the project. He commented, however, that funding Parks and Recreation programs with CDBG dollars was not the best approach and reiterated that CDBG funding should be used to supplement, as opposed to supplant, General Fund dollars.

**23. Community Bridges – Project H3 Homeless Navigator Services**

Chairwoman Higgins stated that it was the consensus of the Committee that the FY 2011/2012 funding request of \$32,089 be included in the funding recommendations.

**24. Community Legal Services – Mesa Tenants Rights Helpline**

**25. Community Legal Services – Removing Barriers to Justice for Low-Income Mesa**

Responding to a question from Chairwoman Higgins, Ms. Olson clarified that both programs are operated by attorneys at Community Legal Services.

Mr. Villa also remarked that the City component of the programs consisted of staff advising Mesa residents of their rights with regard to fair housing matters, providing educational materials and making referrals to Community Legal Services.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that it was the consensus of the Committee that Items 24 and 25 be funded per the Board's recommendations.

**28. Housing Our Communities – Mesa Foreclosure Prevention Education & Counseling**

**29. Labor’s Community Services Agency – Foreclosure Intervention Program**

Committeemember Richins proposed that the funding request in Item 29 (\$30,000) be allocated to Housing our Communities, which would result in a total funding allocation of \$40,600 for Item 28. He explained that this would enable Housing our Communities to use Labor’s Community Services Agency as a subcontractor to perform foreclosure prevention services and the City would administer only one contract for such services.

Chairwoman Higgins clarified that Line 29 would have zero funding and Item 28 would include an additional \$30,000, for a total of \$40,600.

**30. The Marc Center, Inc. – Advocates for the Disabled**

Chairwoman Higgins concurred with Committeemember Richins’ proposal to fund the FY 2011/2012 request of \$20,000.

Committeemember Kavanaugh also expressed support for full funding and said that Advocates for the Disabled do an excellent job in helping individuals qualify for Social Security Disability Insurance (SSI) benefits.

**31. Mercy Housing Mountain Plains – Mercy Housing Live in Hope Financial Literacy Program**

Committeemember Richins stated that Arizona State University and other agencies offer this type of program free of charge. He proposed zero funding for this item.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that the Committee concurred with Committeemember Richins’ proposal.

**33. West Mesa CDC – Mesa Neighborhood Academy – WM CDC**

Committeemember Richins explained that in this program, the West Mesa CDC partners with the Leadership Center and presents an opportunity to build capacity in the City’s neighborhoods. He proposed increasing the funding for the program.

Chairwoman Higgins stated that it was the consensus of the Committee that \$15,000 in funding be recommended for Item 33.

Ms. Olson explained that with the proposed changes suggested by the Committeemembers, there was \$422,974 in CDBG funding remaining that could be allocated to projects.

Discussion ensued relative to the Community Revitalization Funding Schedule for FY 2011/2012.

Chairwoman Higgins commented that due to time constraints, the Committee was unable to complete its review of the remaining CDBG, HOME and ESG funding recommendations. She suggested that the Committee reconvene on December 16, 2010 to complete the process.

Ms. Lewis stated that staff would work on a meeting schedule and bring back the remaining funding recommendations to the Committee as soon as possible.

Chairwoman Higgins thanked everyone for the presentation.

3. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Community & Neighborhood Services Committee meeting adjourned at 4:59 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Community & Neighborhood Services Committee meeting of the City of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2010. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

---

LINDA CROCKER, CITY CLERK

pag  
(attachments – 5)

CDBG - Code Enforcement / Slum and Blight		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
1	COM Development & Sustainability	Code Enforcement Program	66	\$ 489,433	\$ 434,498	\$ 354,000
2	COM Development & Sustainability	Demolition and Hazardous Abatement Program	68	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
3	COM Neighborhood Outreach Division	Community Engagement Program for Slum/Blight Areas	65	\$ 122,808	\$ 122,808	\$ 122,808
4	West Mesa CDC	Community Compliance Program - WM CDC	71	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
CDBG - Economic Development		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
5	COM Economic Development Department	51-55 Main Street, Downtown Mesa	60	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 350,000
6	COM Economic Development Department	225 E. Main Street - Tenant Improvements	58	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ -
7	COM Economic Development Department	Downtown Project Manager	57	\$ 115,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 100,000
8	COM Economic Development Department	Sprinkler Cost Assistance Program	61	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ -
9	Maricopa Community College District Small	Mesa Minority/Micro Small Business Development Center	66	\$ 74,481	\$ 74,435	\$ 74,435
10	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	Economic Development Program	69	\$ 81,500	\$ 81,500	\$ 81,500
11	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	The Business Development Program	57	\$ 177,379	\$ 177,379	\$ -
12	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	Light Rail Business Assistance Program	66	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ 150,000
13	West Mesa CDC	Economic Development Program - WM CDC	58	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ -
CDBG - Housing Needs		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
14	Arizona Bridge to Independent Living	The Mesa Home Accessibility Program (MHAP)	70	\$ 74,418	\$ 77,409	\$ 77,409
15	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	Homeowner Rehabilitation Program - COM	NA	\$ 940,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000
16	AE3Q	Homeowner Rehabilitation Program	NA	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	NA
17	East Valley Adult Resources Inc.	Discovery Point Kitchen Rehab (Phase II)	70	\$ 307,587	\$ 269,343	\$ 200,000
CDBG - Public Facility		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
18	A New Leaf	Indoor Plumbing Rehabilitation Projects	71	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ 450,000
19	House of Refuge, Inc.	HR Insulation Project	69	\$ -	\$ 70,818	\$ 55,137
20	Save the Family	Save the Family Main Building Repairs	67	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 60,000
CDBG - Public Service		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
21	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	FSS Support Services	70	\$ 1,875	\$ 2,150	\$ 2,150
22	COM Parks and Recreation Department	Washington Activity Center	71	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
23	Community Bridges	Project H3, Homeless Navigator Services	58	\$ -	\$ 32,089.00	\$ -
24	Community Legal Services	Mesa Tenants Rights Helpline	64	\$ 40,000	\$ 44,496	\$ 44,496
25	Community Legal Services	Removing Barriers to Justice for Low-Income Mesa	66	\$ 45,000	\$ 50,961	\$ 50,961
26	East Valley Adult Resources Inc.	Assistance for Independent Living (AIL) Program	75	\$ 17,500	\$ 17,500	\$ 17,500
27	Housing Our Communities	Homebuyer Education, Counseling, and Client Intake/Referral	66	\$ 58,775	\$ 58,775	\$ 58,775
28	Housing Our Communities	Mesa Foreclosure Prevention Education & Counseling	67	\$ -	\$ 10,600	\$ 10,600
29	Labor's Community Service Agency	Foreclosure Intervention Program	71	\$ 25,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
30	The Marc Center, Inc.	Advocates for the Disabled	64	\$ 17,732	\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000
31	Mercy Housing Mountain Plains	Mercy Housing Live in Hope Financial Literary Program	62	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -
32	West Mesa CDC	Neighborhood Safety Program - WM CDC	65	\$ 8,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
33	West Mesa CDC	Mesa Neighborhood Academy - WM CDC	61	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ -
CDBG - Program Administration		Average Rated Score (80 Pts Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation	
34	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	CDBG Administration	NA	\$ 593,369	\$ 643,336	\$ 643,336

(Over) Under Amount \$

CDBG Funding Summary By Category		FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation
Code / Slum and Blight Subtotal		\$ 627,306	\$ 546,808
Economic Development Subtotal		\$ 1,788,314	\$ 755,935
Housing Needs Subtotal		\$ 2,346,752	\$ 777,409
Public Facility Subtotal		\$ 895,818	\$ 565,137
Public Service Subtotal		\$ 501,571	\$ 434,482
Program Administration Subtotal		\$ 643,336	\$ 643,336
		<b>\$ 6,803,097</b>	<b>\$ 3,723,107</b>

CDBG Funding Summary - Non-Profit Agencies and City Departments		FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommendation
City Department Total		\$ 3,557,792	\$ 2,312,294
Non Profit Agency Total		\$ 3,245,305	\$ 1,410,813
Total CDBG Funds		<b>\$ 6,803,097</b>	<b>\$ 3,723,107</b>

Total FY 10/11 CDBG Allocation \$ 3,723,107  
Estimated FY 11/12 CDBG Allocation and Distribution \$ 3,723,107

**EXCERPT**

Economic Development Advisory Board  
November 2, 2010 7:30 a.m.

**6. Review and discuss all CDBG 2011/2012 Funding Requests regarding Economic Development**

**MOTION:** Mrs. Jo Wilson moved that the CDBG 2011/2012 Funding Requests for Economic Development be accepted as prioritized as A, B and C priority sections.

Priority A

1. The City of Mesa Economic Development Position
2. 51-55 E. Main Street, Downtown Mesa
3. NEDCO - Economic Development Plan
4. NEDCO - Light Rail Business Assistant Program
5. 225 E. Main Street, Tenant Improvement

Priority B

1. West Mesa CDC – Economic Development Program
2. Maricopa Community College District Small Business Development Center (M<sup>3</sup>SBDC) – Mesa Minority/Micro Small Business Development Center

Priority C

1. City of Mesa Economic Development – Sprinkler Cost Assistance Program
2. NEDCO –The Business Development Program

**SECOND:** Mr. Steve Wood

**DECISION:** Passed unanimously



FY2011/2012 HOME APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING

HOME - Non Profit Agencies			Average Rated Score (70 Pts Max)	FY10/11 Received	FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
35	ARM of Save the Family	CHDO Operating	67	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
36	Community Bridges, Inc.	Center for Hope Permanent Supportive Housing	70	\$ 252,810	\$ 260,810	\$ 260,810
37	Habitat for Humanity	Land Acquisition & Infrastructure	69	\$ 124,732	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
38	Housing Our Communities	CHDO Operating	64	\$ -	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
39	Housing Our Communities	New Opportunities for Homeownership (Acquisition/Rehab, Down Payment & Closing Costs Assistance)	63	\$ -	\$ 300,150	\$ -

HOME CHDO Set-Aside			Average Rated Score (70 Pts Max)	FY10/11 Received	FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
40	ARM of Save the Family	Affordable Rental Movement	60	\$ -	\$ 537,600	\$ 401,949
41	Housing Our Communities	New Opportunities for Homeownership Program	65	\$ 200,100	\$ 200,100	\$ 300,100

HOME - City Departments			Average Rated Score (70 Pts Max)	FY10/11 Received	FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
42	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	HOME Administration	NA	\$ 149,821	\$ 148,651	\$ 148,651
43	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	Security Deposit Program	68	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000

HOME Funding Summary - Non Profit Agencies and City Departments				FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
HOME Non Profit Agencies / CHDO Set-Aside Subtotal				\$ 1,623,660	\$ 1,287,859
HOME City Departments Subtotal				\$ 198,651	\$ 198,651
HOME Total				\$ 1,822,311	\$ 1,486,510

(Over) / Under Amount: \$ -

Actual FY 10/11 HOME Allocation and Distribution	\$	1,498,210
Estimated FY 11/12 HOME Allocation and Distribution	\$	1,486,510
CHDO Set-Aside Minimum Required Allocation (15%)	\$	222,976
CHDO Set-Aside Board Recommended Allocation	\$	702,049



FY2011/2012 ESG APPLICATIONS FOR FUNDING

ESG - Non Profit Agencies			Average Rated Score (70 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
44	A New Leaf	Autumn House	59	\$ 37,135	\$ 37,135	\$ 27,851
45	A New Leaf	East Valley Men's Center	59	\$ 77,074	\$ 80,000	\$ 57,942
46	A New Leaf	La Mesita Family Homeless Shelter	58	\$ 30,258	\$ 32,500	\$ 24,375
47	Child Crisis Center	Emergency Shelter Roof Replacement	58	\$ -	\$ 8,049	\$ 8,049
48	Save the Family Foundation of Arizona	Homeless Families Intervention Project	59	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ 26,250

ESG - City Departments			Average Rated Score (70 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
49	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	ESG Administration	NA	\$ 6,076	\$ 6,076	\$ 6,076
					<b>\$ 6,076</b>	<b>\$ 6,076</b>

ESG Funding Summary - Non Profit Agencies and City Departments		FY11/12 Request	FY11/12 Board Recommend
Non-Profit Subtotal		\$ 192,684	\$ 144,467
City Departments Subtotal		\$ 6,076	\$ 6,076
ESG Total		\$ 198,760	\$ 150,543

Actual FY 10/11 ESG Allocation and Distribution \$150,543  
 Estimated FY 11/12 ESG Allocation and Distribution \$150,543

(Over) / Under Amount \$0

CDBG - Code Enforcement / Slum and Blight		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
1	COM Development & Sustainability	Code Enforcement Program	66	\$ 489,433	\$ 434,498	\$ 354,000
2	COM Development & Sustainability	Demolition and Hazardous Abatement Program	68	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
3	COM Neighborhood Outreach Division	Community Engagement Program for Slum/Blight Areas	65	\$ 122,808	\$ 122,808	\$ 122,808
4	West Mesa CDC	Community Compliance Program - WM CDC	71	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
CDBG - Economic Development		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
5	COM Economic Development Department	51-55 Main Street, Downtown Mesa	60	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 350,000
6	COM Economic Development Department	225 E. Main Street - Tenant Improvements	58	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ -
7	COM Economic Development Department	Downtown Project Manager	57	\$ 115,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 100,000
8	COM Economic Development Department	Sprinkler Cost Assistance Program	61	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ -
9	Maricopa Community College District	Mesa Minority/Micro Small Business Development Center	66	\$ 74,481	\$ 74,435	\$ 74,435
10	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	Economic Development Program	69	\$ 81,500	\$ 81,500	\$ 81,500
11	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	The Business Development Program	57	\$ 177,379	\$ 177,379	\$ -
12	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO)	Light Rail Business Assistance Program	66	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ 150,000
13	West Mesa CDC	Economic Development Program - WM CDC	58	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ -
CDBG - Housing Needs		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
14	Arizona Bridge to Independent Living	The Mesa Home Accessibility Program (MHAP)	70	\$ 74,418	\$ 77,409	\$ 77,409
15	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	Homeowner Rehabilitation Program - COM	NA	\$ 940,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000
16	AE3Q	Homeowner Rehabilitation Program	NA	\$ -	\$ 1,000,000	NA
17	East Valley Adult Resources Inc.	Discovery Point Kitchen Rehab (Phase II)	70	\$ 307,587	\$ 269,343	\$ 200,000
CDBG - Public Facility		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
18	A New Leaf	Indoor Plumbing Rehabilitation Projects	71	\$ -	\$ 750,000	\$ 450,000
19	House of Refuge, Inc	HR Insulation Project	69	\$ -	\$ 70,818	\$ 55,137
20	Save the Family	Save the Family Main Building Repairs	67	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 60,000
CDBG - Public Service		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
21	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	FSS Support Services	70	\$ 1,875	\$ 2,150	\$ 2,150
22	COM Parks and Recreation Department	Washington Activity Center	71	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
23	Community Bridges	Project H3, Homeless Navigator Services	58	\$ -	\$ 32,089.00	\$ -
24	Community Legal Services	Mesa Tenants Rights Helpline	64	\$ 40,000	\$ 44,496	\$ 44,496
25	Community Legal Services	Removing Barriers to Justice for Low-Income Mesa	66	\$ 45,000	\$ 50,961	\$ 50,961
26	East Valley Adult Resources Inc.	Assistance for Independent Living (AIL) Program	75	\$ 17,500	\$ 17,500	\$ 17,500
27	Housing Our Communities	Homebuyer Education, Counseling, and Client Intake/Referral	66	\$ 58,775	\$ 58,775	\$ 58,775
28	Housing Our Communities	Mesa Foreclosure Prevention Education & Counseling	67	\$ -	\$ 10,600	\$ 10,600
29	Labor's Community Service Agency	Foreclosure Intervention Program	71	\$ 25,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
30	The Marc Center, Inc	Advocates for the Disabled	64	\$ 17,732	\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000
31	Mercy Housing Mountain Plains	Mercy Housing Live in Hope Financial Literacy Program	62	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -
32	West Mesa CDC	Neighborhood Safety Program - WM CDC	65	\$ 8,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
33	West Mesa CDC	Mesa Neighborhood Academy - WM CDC	61	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ -
CDBG - Program Administration		Average Rated Score (80 Pts. Max)	FY 10/11 Received	FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend	
34	COM Housing and Revitalization Division	CDBG Administration	NA	\$ 593,369	\$ 643,336	\$ 643,336

\$434,498  
\$40,000  
\$122,808  
\$30,000  
\$115,000  
\$81,500  
\$81,500  
in BDP  
\$250,000 BDP, LRBA, SBDC  
\$90,000  
\$77,409  
\$500,000  
\$269,343  
\$70,818  
\$75,000  
\$5,000 Do more  
\$200,000  
\$32,089  
\$44,496  
\$50,961  
\$17,500  
\$58,775  
\$46,600  
\$20,000  
\$10,000  
\$50,000  
\$643,336

(Over) / Under Amount \$

CDBG Funding Summary By Category		FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend
Code / Slum and Blight Subtotal		\$ 627,306	\$ 546,808
Economic Development Subtotal		\$ 1,788,314	\$ 755,935
Housing Needs Subtotal		\$ 2,346,752	\$ 777,409
Public Facility Subtotal		\$ 895,818	\$ 565,137
Public Service Subtotal		\$ 501,671	\$ 434,482
Program Administration Subtotal		\$ 643,336	\$ 643,336
		\$ 6,803,097	\$ 3,723,107

\$627,306  
\$536,500  
\$846,752  
\$145,818  
\$529,421  
\$643,336  
\$3,329,133

CDBG Funding Summary - Non Profit Agencies and City Departments		FY 11/12 Request	FY 11/12 Board Recommend
City Department Total		\$ 3,557,792	\$ 2,312,294
Non Profit Agency Total		\$ 3,245,305	\$ 1,410,813
Total CDBG Funds		\$ 6,803,097	\$ 3,723,107

Total FY 10/11 CDBG Allocation \$ 3,723,107  
Estimated FY 11/12 CDBG Allocation and Distribution \$ 3,723,107