

COMMUNITY & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

January 30, 2014

The Community and Cultural Development Committee of the City of Mesa met in the lower level meeting room of the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on January 30, 2014 at 8:31 a.m.

COMMITTEE PRESENT

Dave Richins, Chairman
Dennis Kavanaugh
David Luna

COMMITTEE ABSENT

None

STAFF PRESENT

Natalie Lewis
DeeAnn Mickelsen
Alfred Smith

(Items were discussed out of order, but for purposes of clarity will remain as listed on the agenda.)

1. Items from citizens present.

Chairman Richins stated that he would defer taking items from citizens present until after staff's presentation.

Sherri Friend, representing Oakwood Creative Care, noted that the agency serves Mesa residents who are afflicted with Alzheimer's, dementia, strokes and Parkinson's disease by allowing their caregivers to remain in the work force. She stated that the therapy programs are designed to build strength in an effort to reduce falls and hospitalizations. She acknowledged the difficult position that the Committee is in to fund so many different Human Services programs and asked if it was possible for the agency's request to be partially funded.

Gaye Kelley, who serves on the Oakwood Creative Care Board of Directors, concurred with the previous speaker's comments and urged funding for this worthy organization.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Friend clarified that if the agency was not funded, it might be necessary to close one of its programs. She commented that although a request for \$30,000 might not seem like a large sum of money, not receiving those funds would result in Oakwood cutting back on meals and therapy.

Responding to a question from Committeemember Luna, Ms. Friend advised that at a minimum, \$15,000 in funding would greatly benefit the agency.

Karen Kurtz, representing Community Bridges, reported that the agency is requesting ESG funding for its Homeless Navigator Program. She explained that the program provides services to homeless individuals who "will never walk into a shelter," have substance abuse and mental

health issues and are medically vulnerable. She stated that the program promotes “a housing first model” in order to find those individuals appropriate housing.

Ms. Kurtz also remarked that the City recommended HOME funds for Community Bridges’ housing component (Mesa TBRA Supportive Housing for Homeless), which should actually be termed “For Homeless Navigation Clients.” She pointed out that the two proposals must work in tandem and said that without the Homeless Navigator Program, there would be no need for the TBRA housing, since such housing is for the Navigator clients. She added that the Homeless Navigator Program is dedicated strictly to Mesa.

Craig Tribken, representing Central Arizona Shelter Services (CASS), indicated that the agency is commonly known as the Downtown Phoenix Homeless Shelter. He stated that the non-profit, which serves more than 1,000 individuals each night, applied for \$80,000 in ESG funding from the City of Mesa. He also noted that although funding is limited, it was important to point out that staff’s funding recommendation indicates that the shelter was located in Phoenix. He acknowledged that the physical location of the shelter is situated in Phoenix, but stressed the fact that it was a regional asset.

Mr. Tribken distributed a document illustrating that more than 300 individuals identified themselves as Mesa residents and received shelter services at CASS in 2013. **(See Attachment 2)** He added that when the shelter was created, it was understood by all of the communities in the Phoenix metro area that the facility was a regional commitment.

Committeemember Kavanaugh commented that Mr. Tribken raised some important thoughts for the Committee to consider. He stated that the City has funded many other shelters that serve not only Mesa residents, but the region as a whole. He also acknowledged that the City of Mesa has provided funding for CASS in the past. He said that he would not include the locator designation on any of the recommendations and added that it should not matter.

In response to a question from Committeemember Luna, Mr. Tribken clarified that CASS has a \$10 million budget and could absorb the \$80,000 that it has requested from the City of Mesa. He also noted that CASS was experiencing service cutbacks from every community, but assured the Committee that the agency would continue to serve the homeless.

Mike Hughes, representing A New Leaf, stated that he sympathized with the Committeemembers for the difficult decisions they must make with respect to funding allocations. He stated that all of the agencies that are addressing the Committee today “are in a desperate situation” and said that he was hopeful that the Committee would do its best.

Mr. Hughes commented that since A New Leaf did not receive funding for East Valley Men’s Center, Autumn House or La Mesita, which totals \$80,000, whether the agency could take monies from the Rapid Rehousing Program, which was recommended for funding, and reallocate some of those dollars for those three programs.

Discussion ensued relative to the fact that staff would work with Mr. Hughes with regard to shifting funding from one program to another for A New Leaf, if possible; and that any adjustments must be completed by February 6th so that the Committee can make their final funding recommendation to the full Council.

Chairman Richins invited Cynthia Dunham, representing West Mesa Community Development Corporation (West Mesa CDC) and Development and Sustainability Department Deputy Director Laura Hyneman to come forward and comment on the West Mesa CDC's Community Enforcement Program.

Chairman Richins noted that in the past, the program has been successful, but said that the City has "come up against" the Public Service cap. He inquired if staff could make "a tweak" to the program in order to make it eligible for funding in another way. He commented that Ms. Albright had indicated that the agency "did not have the authorization to write a ticket" and applied for funding under the Public Service category as opposed to Code Enforcement.

Deputy City Attorney Alfred Smith responded that it would be necessary for the City to amend its ordinance in order to determine who would have authority. He explained that currently under State law, the City could not have "a non-enforcement type person" writing tickets.

Chairman Richins clarified that it was his understanding that the program has been proactive and alleviated Code issues prior to reaching the issuance of a ticket by Code Enforcement. He stated that the agency has been working with the Code violators to help remediate such matters before they became a problem for the City. He added that such efforts have saved the City time and money, but also caused "a funding conundrum."

Ms. Dunham clarified that the agency provides the documentation to Code Enforcement when a matter cannot be resolved. She said that agency representatives can serve as witnesses if the case goes to court.

Mr. Smith asked that the matter be tabled until he had an opportunity to conduct further research.

Ms. Hyneman stated that the Community Enforcement Program has been a wonderful asset for the City's Code Compliance Program. She reported that currently there are two Code Officers assigned to the CDBG area and stated that those individuals work in a proactive manner with the West Mesa CDC by focusing on one neighborhood at a time. She pointed out that the City sees only the most egregious violations.

Committeemember Kavanaugh compared this program to the City contracting with a private security agency for enforcement on the light rail. He stated that perhaps there was a similar mechanism that could be constructed in order to meet HUD's requirements.

Chairman Richins remarked that the idea behind the program was to make the residents more accountable for the Code issues within their own neighborhoods. He stated that by the City "pushing funding" into a neighborhood organization in order to do so has resulted in somewhat of a struggle.

Additional discussion ensued relative to the fact that if such services can be placed into a different category, it could not be part of this funding process; and that it would be necessary for the City to issue an RFP requesting Code Compliance services.

Chairman Richins inquired if another option would be to shift another Code Officer to the CDBG area and free up a requisite amount of dollars in the General Fund to pay for the person's salary

and benefits. He suggested that staff consider some other options and bring back those concepts to the Committee at its next meeting.

Mike Hutchinson, former Mesa City Manager, stated that he was appearing as a private citizen and not representing any agencies. He explained that since his retirement from the City of Mesa, he has been involved with several Human Services agencies and has become aware of how hard each and every group works to provide countless services to the community and throughout the region. He recognized a number of Mesa residents who worked for many years to establish homeless shelters in the community and thanked them for their dedication and courage.

Mr. Hutchinson also stated that he serves as a member of the MesaCAN (Community Action Network) Board of Directors and noted that at a recent retreat, the Board considered several issues he would like to share with this Committee. He encouraged the City to update the ABC Program and seek out the assistance of various partners to make it a more effective process. He commented that he would be happy to serve on a committee with agency representatives and marketing experts in an effort to “build the program,” since it is a key funding component for Human Services agencies in Mesa.

Chairman Richins directed that staff include in the Council report the concept of updating the ABC Program, as well as Mr. Hutchinson’s commitment to participate in the process.

Mr. Hutchinson, in addition, discussed the General Fund contributions to the Human Services “funding mix,” which decreased due to the economic recession. He explained that MesaCAN’s Board of Directors would hope that the Council could “build up” those contributions over time. He suggested that if the ABC Program is able to generate more donations in the community and the City makes a commitment over the next few years to incrementally increase its General Fund contribution, then more Human Services needs in the community will be met.

Kay Moore, representing the MARC Center, stated that the non-profit has had a 57 year relationship with the City of Mesa and noted that “it was a little shocking” not to be recommended for Human Services funding. She cited an example of an incident in which an employee went above and beyond to assist a developmentally-delayed person who was lost in Mesa, but lived in a group home in north Scottsdale. She noted that such efforts reflect the “culture” of the MARC Center and its dedicated employees. She added that MARC’s small funding requests from the City are used to leverage dollars five and ten times over through other sources.

Chairman Richins thanked the speakers for their comments and input.

2-a. Hear a presentation, discuss and make funding recommendations to the Council for the City’s FY 2014/2015 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and Human Services Programs.

Housing and Community Development Director Tammy Albright, Community Revitalization Coordinator Rob Schweitzer and Development Project Coordinator Ray Thimesch addressed the Committee relative to this item.

Ms. Albright displayed a PowerPoint presentation (**See Attachment 1**) that outlined the funding recommendations for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, the HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) Program, the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program and the City's Human Services funding for FY 2014/15.

Ms. Albright reported that with respect to the process for scoring the applications, staff conducts a technical assessment of such applications, utilizing a 10-page rating tool, which is weighted at 70% of the total score. She explained that the Housing and Community Development Advisory Board (HCDAB) hears the presentations, which represents 30% of the total score. She stated that the federal funding applications have a total available score of 100 points, as does the Human Services/ABC (A Better Community) requests.

In response to a comment from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright confirmed that the Economic Development Advisory Board (EDAB) reviews the economic development applications, while the remaining applications, including Human Services proposals, are reviewed by the HCDAB.

Ms. Albright advised that staff anticipates a 5% reduction in federal funding from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the CDBG, HOME and ESG programs. (See Page 4 of Attachment 1)

Committeemember Kavanaugh commented that in reviewing the above-referenced document, the dollar amounts in the first three categories are fixed by the allocations from the federal government. He noted, however, that the Human Services/ABC Program is the only category in which the Council can adjust funding through the budget or appropriation process.

Ms. Albright confirmed Committeemember Kavanaugh's statement. She also clarified that an estimated \$108,000 of the Human Services/ABC funds are donated by Mesa citizens through their utility bills and added that the remaining monies are derived from the General Fund.

Ms. Albright, in addition, remarked that approximately \$1 million in prior year CDBG funds are available for reallocation. She explained that last year, staff "cleaned up" past contracts, which resulted in additional prior year funding, and stated that those dollars were allocated for various capital projects. She indicated that once again, staff would recommend disbursing those monies to similar large projects with one-time only dollars.

Ms. Albright briefly outlined two possible funding scenarios for the Committee's consideration. (See Page 5 of Attachment 1) She advised that last year, the Council approved Option 1.

Ms. Albright displayed a chart titled "HUD Grant Requirements," which illustrates the national objectives and outcomes per Mesa's Consolidated Plan. (See Page 6 of Attachment 1) She noted that the "Benefit to low and moderate income (LMI) persons" objective is the most commonly used and the easiest for staff to administer.

Ms. Albright, in addition, offered a short synopsis of the Human Services Funding Model (See Page 7 of Attachment 1), which highlights the needs that must be met in order for the City to fund a program under Human Services. She added that such a model was approved by the Council several years ago.

Ms. Albright also reviewed a document titled "FY 2014/15 Funding Schedule Timeline." (See Page 8 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright highlighted the CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding, of which staff would recommend full funding of the Code Enforcement, Economic Development and Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation applications. (See Pages 9 through 11 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright further spoke regarding the Public Facility applications. (See Page 12 of Attachment 1) She reported that there were sufficient dollars available to fund most of the applications by using this year and prior year funding. She stated that staff would recommend that Mesa Counts on College receive \$209,811 in prior year funding (in addition to \$310,377 in CDBG funding) in order to meet its total requested amount.

Ms. Albright pointed out that the East Valley Adult Resources Solar Panel Installation project began prior to the opening of the application process. She explained that staff had anticipated bringing this item to the Council last December, but noted that the matter was delayed for various reasons. She stated that for purposes of transparency, staff listed the project, but reiterated that it must be approved by the Council as a separate issue.

Ms. Albright discussed the Public Service Applications (See Pages 13 and 14 of Attachment 1) and reported that the allowable amount for this category was \$450,930, or a 15% cap. She stated that unfortunately, many of the applications that staff received this year fall under this category and noted that many requests could not be funded even though there were remaining prior year funds. She clarified that the proposed allocations are based on the anticipated 5% reduction in federal funding and added that if Mesa's funding allocation is not reduced by that amount, additional requests could be funded until the 15% cap is reached.

Ms. Albright commented that the City has \$590,189 in remaining prior year CDBG funds that can be allocated per the discretion of the Committee. She suggested that one possible option would be to use some of those dollars for park improvements in eligible CDBG areas, which would help the City in meeting its expenditure deadline.

Responding to a question from Committeemember Luna, Ms. Albright clarified that Rotary Park was discussed as a possible site to use some of the CDBG funds. She explained that per the Council's direction, the Annual Plan could reflect that a certain amount of funds would be allocated for park improvements. She noted, however, that to specifically fund park improvements, that issue would be brought back to Council for approval separate and apart from this process.

Ms. Albright briefly reviewed the Administration funds (See Page 15 of Attachment 1), which total \$601,241.

Ms. Albright discussed the HOME FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding. (See Pages 16 and 17 of Attachment 1) She stated that staff has identified more than \$1.35 million in remaining prior year HOME funds. She pointed out that the City has received many requests concerning participation in low-income tax credit projects. She advised that staff is in the process of preparing a Substantial Amendment, which would be presented to the Council, in order to convert any of the monies that were designated as prior year funds for the HOME Program to be made available for Rental Programs. She also noted that staff will present all of the requests

to the Council in order for them to assess which projects they would like to see funded. She added that the Annual Plan currently indicates that the HCDAB would approve such requests, but reiterated that the Substantial Plan would change the process so that such requests are approved by the Council.

Chairman Richins remarked that part of the problem is that the agency that conducted homeownership counseling and worked with the City closed its doors. He commented that there were representatives from many agencies in attendance at today's meeting and suggested that "somebody needs to step up on a Mesa basis and start doing home ownership."

Chairman Richins also indicated that he has received feedback from residents in his district who are concerned with an over-saturation of rental properties, particularly using the low-income housing tax credit program. He stressed the importance of Mesa having a balance between homeownership and rentals. He also stated that it was his understanding that the City intends to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) in this regard and reiterated that perhaps some agencies can partner together to counsel people with respect to homeownership.

Ms. Albright clarified that when the City issues the RFP, it will recommend that it be a partnership for the purpose of oversight of the respective agencies.

Chairman Richins stated that it might be appropriate to form a partnership with two agencies, with one mentoring the efforts of the other in order to learn the process.

Committeemember Kavanaugh concurred with Chairman Richins' suggestion. He stated that he looked forward to the issuance of the RFP and also appreciated staff's recommendation to look at the funds that are available currently for the tax-credit projects. He remarked that he was aware of several quality projects that "are in the works" and noted that last year, the Council was somewhat frustrated since they did not have "the final say" on the allocations. He added that some of the projects were transit-oriented development projects that would have aligned with the City's Main Street Plan.

Committeemember Kavanaugh noted that he appreciated staff's approach for this period of time, but agreed with Chairman Richins that on a long-term basis, a greater emphasis should be placed on homeownership. He also stated that it was appropriate to ask the community to come together to establish a Mesa-based organization that could perhaps partner with other organizations that have experience in this regard.

Ms. Albright reiterated that staff would make a recommendation with this year's Annual Plan that the remaining prior year funds, which total \$1.35 million, as well as the 2014/15 HOME funds that the City anticipates it will receive, all be allocated for Rental Programs only. She pointed out that those two amounts could total approximately \$1.8 million, which might enable the City to fund all of the requests that have been made at this point in time.

Chairman Richins suggested that the City focus on using those dollars for transformative community projects that "change Mesa's landscape" and added that hopefully those requests would "fit in" with that philosophy.

Ms. Albright offered a brief overview of the ESG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding. (See Pages 18 and 19 of Attachment 1) She reported that there are five categories in which HUD

allows these dollars to be used and noted that the agency places a funding cap of 60% for shelters and outreach. She explained that based on the ratings alone, all of Mesa's ESG funds were allocated for Rapid Rehousing, leaving no monies for shelters and outreach. She added that reallocating those funds is at the Committee's discretion.

Committeemember Kavanaugh commented that this was "an unusual outcome of the ratings" and stated that he would hope both the Committee and the Council might look to ensure that the City also provides funding for shelters. He noted that the four programs listed on Page 18 of Attachment 1 that were not funded are not only well-established programs that serve a regional community, but also have received funding from Mesa in the past.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright clarified that staff estimates that Mesa will receive \$220,000 in ESG funds and stated that no more than \$132,000 could be allocated for shelter and outreach programs. She reiterated that the estimate is based on an anticipated 5% reduction in federal funds.

Committeemember Luna stated that he would assume the Committee's process would be to consider what funding has been recommended thus far and then examine the reallocation of monies to the other agencies that made requests, but did not receive funding recommendations.

Ms. Albright confirmed Committeemember Luna's statement. She stressed the fact that the recommendations are based purely on staff and the HCDAB's ratings. She explained that staff first presents these recommendations to the Committee in order to solicit their input and finalize the ultimate recommendations that move forward to the full Council.

Chairman Richins remarked that several years ago, the Committee attempted to fully fund projects as much as possible, with some requests dropping out at the end based on the recommendations that it received. He added that certainly this is a category that the Committee could consider and potentially reallocate some monies.

Ms. Albright discussed the Human Services/ABC FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding. (See Pages 20 through 22 of Attachment 1) She reported that the City received more than \$970,000 in requests, but pointed out that it only has \$620,000 to allocate. She explained that based on the ratings and scores, the monies are totally expended with the East Valley Adult Resources (EVAR) request, which is not fully funded. (See Page 21 of Attachment 1) She said that it was important to note that many of the agencies received high scores in the 90s and yet sufficient funds were not available to meet all of those needs.

Chairman Richins indicated that every year, the City makes an appeal to the non-profit agencies to market the ABC Program to Mesa's utility customers in order to generate more money for the program. He suggested that staff develop a process to more effectively explain online and on the utility bills what programs are funded with the ABC Program donations.

Assistant to the City Manager Natalie Lewis thanked Chairman Richins for the feedback. She assured the Committee that a team of staff members are working on the ABC Program in an effort to more effectively market and brand it as it moves forward.

Chairman Richins addressed the non-profit agency representatives in the audience and reiterated that it was up to them to market the ABC Program to Mesa citizens in order to raise funds for their important programs and projects.

Committeemember Kavanaugh stated that many community agencies have been very creative in marketing the tax-credit program. He cited, by way of example, Mike Hughes, representing A New Leaf, who has been successful in “telling the story” of how the funds benefit the community. He suggested that his expertise could be used to help the City refine the ABC Program which, in his opinion, should be refocused and rebranded.

Committeemember Kavanaugh, in addition, commented that from a historical perspective, prior to the economic recession, Mesa was allocated approximately \$1.5 million in funding for Human Services programs. He explained that subsequent to that time, this area was severely restricted and noted that the reality is that our revenues are improving. He said that is the reason the Council should not stay at the allocation level that the City had last year. He acknowledged that many in the Human Services community would like the City to go back to the \$1.5 million in funding allocations, but suggested that it would be appropriate to consider an incremental approach in an effort to restore funds over the next four or five years.

Committeemember Kavanaugh further remarked that the Baby Boomers will continue to put an additional strain on the Human Services community over the next few years, regardless of whether the economy improves or not.

Committeemember Kavanaugh stated that as part of the Committee’s deliberations, he would hope that the Committee could make some recommendations to staff and the Council to ask for additional dollars in this category beyond the \$620,000 amount. He added that it is really going to be a combination of the Council encouraging staff to increase the funding, but also the partnership with the Human Services agencies to recognize that the ABC Program has “unlimited potential” to raise funds.

Committeemember Luna concurred with his fellow Committeemembers’ remarks concerning the marketing of the ABC Program. He stated that there were so many agencies requesting funding and noted that “it’s painful” that all of their needs will not be met. He noted that it was imperative that the City and the agencies work in partnership to promote the ABC Program, from which the donations provide many opportunities in the community. He stated that from the City’s perspective, it is essential that staff “bolster” the program and added that he would be happy to offer his professional expertise in this regard.

Ms. Lewis responded that she was unsure where staff was in the process of revamping the ABC Program. She stated that she would make a commitment to bring back this item to the Committee to review the proposed upgrades to the program, in addition to sharing the recommendations with the members outside of a Committee setting.

Chairman Richins welcomed Committeemember Luna to the Committee. He stated that over the years, Committeemember Luna has interacted with many non-profit boards in the community and noted that he “is not a rookie” to this process.

Committeemember Luna said that he wanted to disclose that he serves as a member of A New Leaf Board of Directors.

Chairman Richins commented that from a legal standpoint, just because a Committeemember serves on the board of a non-profit agency and is not financially compensated by that entity does not create a conflict of interest for that person.

Deputy City Attorney Alfred Smith confirmed Chairman Richins' statement.

Chairman Richins stated that the Committee would take items from citizens present at this time and then continue with their discussions and deliberations.

(See citizen comments under Item 1.)

Chairman Richins commented that part of the Committee's direction is that staff review the shifting of funds from one program to another and that several legal questions need to be researched.

Ms. Albright reported that this morning, she received a letter from Mesa Police Chief Frank Milstead supporting the MARC Center's application. **(See Attachment 3)**

Ms. Albright advised that the ABC Program fund is separate from the City's accounting process and noted that "it just rolls and there is no real start or stop point." She stated that in the past, it was difficult for staff to determine what Human Services contracts had cleared. She stated that this year, immediately after the contracts were received, staff fully funded them. She also remarked that staff would hope "to shore that up" in order to determine if additional funds are available and continue that process.

Further discussion ensued relative to the fact that the federal funding process must adhere to a strict timeline with respect to the City's Annual Action Plan; that during the upcoming budget process, if the Council was able to increase the City's General Fund contribution to the ABC Program, they could revisit the list of agencies seeking funding without having to conduct a public hearing process; and that additional funding recommendations could be made prior to the beginning of the next fiscal year.

Committeemember Luna expressed support for delaying the ABC funding process and finding other avenues to generate additional monies.

In response to a question from Committeemember Luna, Ms. Albright reiterated that the ABC Program fund is a rolling account and noted that because of this particular accounting process, staff paid out all of the contracts last year. She stated that now it is just a matter of determining what items have cleared and whether there is more than \$108,000 in the account. She noted if that were the case, staff may be able to fund additional requests. She further remarked that the accounting process does not have a stop or end point and added that staff "artificially created a stop and end point" with the contracts.

The Committee provided additional direction to staff as follows; that the Mesa Neighborhood Academy be included under Neighborhood Services; that options be considered in order to fund MARC Center, Autumn House and Oakwood Creative Care; and that consideration be given to possibly funding A New Leaf and Save the Family programs at a higher level with CDBG monies and shifting dollars out of Human Services in order to fund programs at other agencies.

Chairman Richins stated that on February 6th, the Committee will meet again to review the final funding recommendations.

Ms. Lewis pointed out that at the February 6th meeting, the Five Year Consolidated Plan will also be included on the agenda.

Chairman Richins thanked staff for the presentation.

3. Adjournment.

Without objection, the meeting adjourned at 9:49 a.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Community and Cultural Development Committee meeting of the City of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 30th day of January, 2014. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DEE ANN MICKELSEN, CITY CLERK

pag
(attachments - 3)

**Community Development
Block Grant (CDBG) Program**

**HOME Investment
Partnership (HOME) Program**

**Emergency Solutions Grant
(ESG) Program**

Human Services Funding



**Presentation to Community and Cultural Development
Committee – January 30, 2014**

Federal Grant Purpose and Process

- Finalize funding recommendations for CDBG, HOME and ESG funding for Council approval.
- Technical review and rating by staff weighted at 70% of total score.
- Presentation score weighted at 30% from Housing and Community Development Advisory Board.
- Total available score is 100 points.

Human Services and ABC Purpose and Process

- Finalize funding recommendations for ABC and Human Services Funds for Council approval.
- Technical review and rating by staff weighted at 70% of total score.
- Presentation score weighted at 30% from Housing and Community Development Advisory Board.
- Total available score is 100 points.

Mesa Funding Cycles

Program	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
CDBG	3.1 million	3.1 million	3.0 million
HOME	944 thousand	908 thousand	862 thousand
ESG	269 thousand	232 thousand	220 thousand
ABC/Human Services	620 thousand	620 thousand	620 thousand

Anticipated 5 % reduction in federal funding for FY 2014/15

\$1,000,000 in prior year CDBG funds available for reallocation

Methodology for possible funding scenarios

Option 1 (staff Recommendation)

- Upon a reduction, eliminate the lowest rated commitments
- Upon level funding, add the highest rated commitments (not to exceed funding requests or caps were staff had recommended a decrease)

Option 2

- Reduce all commitments by an equal percentage

HUD Grant Requirements

National Objectives

- **Benefit to low-and moderate- income (LMI) persons.**
- Aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight.
- Meet a need having a particular urgency.

Outcomes per Mesa's Consolidated Plan

- Decent Housing
- Suitable Living Environment
- Economic Opportunity

Human Services Funding Model

Engage in programs that:

- Impact community safety services.
- Encourage independence and self-sufficiency.
- Avoid duplicating efforts.
- Provide diverse financial support and sustainable success.
- Prevent long-term dependence on public resources.
- Have specific outcomes for the public good.

FY 2014/15 Funding Schedule Timeline

ACTIVITY	DATE(S)
Public Hearing #1 - Community meeting for FY 2014/15 projects	October 17, 2013
Applications due	November 12, 2013
Review of application presentations by HCDAB & EDAB	January 7, 8, and 9, 2014
Community & Cultural Development Committee – Review/modify board recommendations	January 30, 2014 February 6, 2014
Council Study Session – Review and Approval of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council	February 27, 2014
Council Study Session – Review and Approval of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council (if needed)	March 11, 2014
Annual Action Plan – 30-day public comment period	March 11 – April 11, 2014
Public Hearing #2 – Annual Action Plan review	April 3, 2014
Council Meeting – Annual Action Plan approval by Council	April 14, 2014
Annual Action Plan to HUD	May 15, 2014

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Code Enforcement	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Development and Sustainability – Code Enforcement Program – 2 FTE Code Officers	\$199,289	\$199,289
CDBG	<i>Code Enforcement Subtotal</i>	\$199,289	\$199,289

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Economic Development Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO) – Business Development Program	\$81,500	\$81,500
CDBG*	West Mesa CDC – Economic Development Program	\$90,000	\$90,000
CDBG	<i>Economic Development Subtotal</i>	171,500	\$81,500

* West Mesa CDC will utilize prior year CDBG funds

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation (Housing Needs) Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Arizona Bridge to Independent Living (ABIL) – Mesa Home Accessibility Program (MHAP)	\$70,400	\$70,400
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Code Compliance Abatement and Demolition	\$100,000	\$100,000
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Homeowner Rehabilitation Program	\$650,000	\$650,000
CDBG	House of Refuge– Energy Efficiency Replacement Program	\$42,468	\$42,468
CDBG	<i>Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation (Housing Needs) Subtotal</i>	<i>\$862,868</i>	<i>\$862,868</i>

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Facility Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Save the Family- Community Conference Center	\$500,000	\$500,000
CDBG *	Mesa Counts on College- College Access Center	\$520,188	\$310,377 \$209,811
CDBG *	COM East Valley Adult Resources – Solar Panel Installation	\$110,000	\$110,000
CDBG	Project Veterans Pride – James Walton Home Roof Repair	\$30,000	--
CDBG	Public Facility Subtotal	\$1,160,188	\$1,130,188

*** Both East Valley Adult Resource Solar Project and Mesa Counts on College will utilize prior year CDBG funds**

*** Total remaining available CDBG funds \$590,189**

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Service Applications – (15% Maximum Allowable Amount - \$450,950)	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	A New Leaf – East Valley’s Men Center (EVMC) operations	\$200,000	\$200,000
CDBG	Community Bridges– CBI Mobile Outreach and Crisis	\$65,000	\$65,000
CDBG	A New Leaf – Autumn House Domestic Violence Shelter operations	\$42,500	\$42,500
CDBG	A New Leaf – Desert Leaf and La Mesita Apartments supportive services	\$42,500	\$42,500
CDBG	Community Bridges– Center for Hope Housing Support Services	\$41,783	\$41,783
CDBG	Chicanos Por La Causa- Housing Counseling East Valley Office	\$50,000	\$50,000

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Service Applications (15% Maximum Allowable Amount - \$450,930)	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Save the Family Foundation of Arizona – Homeless Families Intervention Project	\$85,000	\$9,147
CDBG	A New Leaf – East Valley's Women's Center (EWC)-Operations	\$32,500	---
CDBG	West Mesa CDC- Community Compliance Program	\$100,000	---
CDBG	Aid to Adoption of Special Kids (AASK) –Special Friends Mentoring Program	\$10,000	---
CDBG	Faye Evans Learning Center- After School Program	\$30,000	---
Public Service Subtotal		\$699,283	\$450,930

CDBG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Administration	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Administration	\$601,241	\$601,241
	<i>Administration Subtotal</i>	<i>\$601,241</i>	<i>\$601,241</i>

HOME FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HOME	Community Bridges, Inc. – Mesa TBRA Supportive Housing for Homeless	\$217,144	\$217,144
HOME	<i>Non Profit Agency Subtotal</i>	<i>\$217,444</i>	<i>\$217,144</i>

HOME FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	City Department Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HOME	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Security/ Utility Deposit Program	\$100,000	\$100,000
HOME	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – HOME Administration	\$86,218	\$86,218
HOME	<i>City Department Subtotal</i>	\$186,218	\$186,218

- Staff recommends that remaining prior year of \$1,353,878 and 2014/15 HOME funds be available for Rental Programs only.
- Staff will work over the next year to build capacity in our community for a home ownership program.

ESG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
ESG - RR	A New Leaf- EMPOWER Rapid Rehousing Program	\$110,000	\$110,000
ESG - RR	Save the Family– Rapid Rehousing Program	\$100,000	\$93,627
ESG - SO	A New Leaf – La Mesita Family Homeless Shelter	\$42,500	---
ESG - SO	Community Bridges Inc., - CBI Homeless Navigator Services in Mesa	\$45,693	---
ESG - SO	A New Leaf- East Valley Men's Center	\$25,000	---
ESG - SO	Central Arizona Shelter Services, Inc.- Emergency Shelter Services	\$80,000	---
		\$403,193	\$203,627

- HUD Cap for ESG Shelters and Outreach is 60%

ESG FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
ESG	COM Housing and Revitalization - Administration	\$16,510	\$16,510
ESG	<i>Administration Subtotal</i>	\$16,150	\$16,150

Human Services/ABC FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HS/ABC	United Food Bank –Food Link Program	\$20,000	\$20,000
HS/ABC	A New Leaf- MesacAN Client Services	\$150,000	\$150,000
HS/ABC	A New Leaf- MesacAN Family Support Services	\$24,737	\$24,737
HS/ABC	American Red Cross – Local Disaster Relief Program	\$10,000	\$10,000
HS/ABC	Child Crisis Center – Emergency Shelter for Children	\$11,500	\$11,500
HS/ABC	Teen Lifeline – Teen Crisis/Suicide Prevention Hotline	\$15,000	\$15,000
HS/ABC	East Valley Adult Resources (EVAR) – Meals on Wheels Program	\$24,000	\$24,000
HS/ABC	Save the Family- Homeless Families Intervention	\$135,000	\$135,000
HS/ABC	Lutheran Social Services – IHelp Shelter Program for Homeless Women	\$27,000	\$27,000
HS/ABC	Sojourner Center– Supportive Services	\$60,500	\$60,500
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – Housing Navigation	\$32,500	\$32,500
HS/ABC	Community Legal Services– Removing Barriers to Justice	\$48,000	\$48,000
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – La Mesita Operations	\$30,000	\$30,000
HS/ABC	Big Brothers Big Sisters– Mentoring Service Program	\$12,000	\$12,000

Human Services/ABC FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HS/ABC	East Valley Adult Resources (EVAR)- Assistance for Independent Living	\$27,000	\$19,763
HS/ABC	Labor's Community Service Agency-- Foreclosure Intervention Program	\$30,000	---
HS/ABC	A New Leaf, Inc. -- Autumn House Emergency Shelter	\$25,000	---
HS/ABC	Marc Center -- Center Based Employment Services	\$29,500	---
HS/ABC	Oakwood Creative Care -- Meals and Music Therapy	\$30,000	---
HS/ABC	Community Legal Services-- Mesa Tenants Rights Helpline	\$41,500	---
HS/ABC	Alzheimer's Association Desert Southwest Chapter- Alzheimer's Support Program	\$15,000	---
HS/ABC	Mesa Family YMCA -- First Offender's Program	\$15,000	---
HS/ABC	Boys and Girls Club of the East Valley -- Academic Success at the Grant Woods Branch	\$25,000	---
HS/ABC	House of Refuge -- Employment Services	\$30,000	---
HS/ABC	Hope Village at Escobedo at Verde Vista	\$10,000	---
HS/ABC	Back to School Clothing Drive -- New Beginnings New Clothes	\$10,000	---
HS/ABC	Christian Assistance Network -- Utilities Assistance Program	\$7,000	---

Human Services/ABC FY 2014/15 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HS/ABC	Fencing for All Foundation – The Zorro Project	\$5,000	---
HS/ABC	Bridging AZ Furniture Bank- Empowering People and Bridging Lives.	\$40,000	---
HS/ABC	West Mesa CDC – Safety Education and Crime Prevention	\$10,000	---
HS/ABC	West Mesa CDC – Mesa Neighborhood Academy	\$20,000	---
	Non Profit Agency Subtotal	\$970,237	\$620,000

Questions and Discussion

Mesa Clients 1/1/13 - 12/31/13

	Client ID	Age	Gender	FormatProgram	Bed Nights
2291049	26588	19	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	59
2313143	859343	24	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	41
2363576	864365	21	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	29
2363576	874779	21	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	29
2363576	876978	2	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	29
2375728	873914	31	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	41
2397170	857129	30	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	6
2416927	878316	23	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	44
2416927	879096	5	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	44
2456671	866846	7	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	80
2461783	483187	50	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	132
2461783	561232	14	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	132
2461783	561237	12	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	132
2466706	399879	43	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	16
2466706	399882	15	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	16
2466706	831123	3	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	16
2469628	306108	27	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	55
2469628	562717	8	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	55
2469628	830390	27	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	55
2498419	831938	39	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	32
2498419	835783	7	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	32
2498419	835784	6	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	32
2507378	884123	38	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	89
2507378	884352	11	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	89
2507378	884353	11	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	89
2507378	884360	8	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	89
2513153	823958	23	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	78
2513153	883436	1	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	78
2513153	883437	0	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	78
2517940	883206	47	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	38
2517940	883703	51	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	38
2517940	883704	14	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	38
2517940	883705	11	Female	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	38
2517940	883706	9	Male	CASS Vista Colina Emergency Family Shelter	38

867926	34	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
867926	34	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	46
868164	38	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	97
868166	41	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	4
868218	53	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	8
868218	53	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	22
869096	59	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
869096	59	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	161
869107	20	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
869444	21	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	72
869468	51	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
869468	51	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	92
869595	26	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	8
869874	23	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
869933	22	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	22
871062	39	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	12
871470	39	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	11
871725	70	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
871725	70	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	22
872011	51	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	35
872109	54	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	137
872109	54	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	86
872463	55	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
872463	55	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	258
872798	30	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	63
873210	37	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
873887	27	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
873887	27	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	14
874012	61	Male	CASS GPD Transitional Veteran's Program	17
874012	61	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
874261	26	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	76
874839	31	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
875072	55	Male	CASS GPD Transitional Veteran's Program	280
875072	55	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	8
875072	55	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	11
875369	61	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
875867	24	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	9

875869	43	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
875869	43	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	3
875939	20	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
875939	20	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
876179	58	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	5
876179	58	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	33
876562	34	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
876928	49	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	9
876928	49	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	7
877051	55	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
877051	55	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	8
877168	41	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
877168	41	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	42
877289	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	4
877494	24	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	233
877494	24	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
877560	22	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	8
877680	20	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	15
877680	20	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
877747	70	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
878241	20	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
878344	58	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	126
878412	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
878951	61	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	22
879105	52	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879105	52	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	32
879191	71	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879203	21	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879203	21	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
879272	36	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	6
879272	36	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
879317	44	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879346	23	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
879360	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	0
879439	30	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879506	40	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
879506	40	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1

879556	58	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879556	58	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	4
879579	31	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	150
879579	31	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	3
879663	32	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
879959	50	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	155
880022	31	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
880412	75	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	67
880521	56	Male	CASS GPD Transitional Veteran's Program	129
880521	56	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	81
880563	32	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
880563	32	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	12
881274	21	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	50
881331	19	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
881331	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
881351	39	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	86
881436	41	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	7
881713	23	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
881815	53	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
881888	24	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	20
881888	24	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	7
882119	38	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
882119	38	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
882129	35	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
882273	55	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
882273	55	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	9
882400	51	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	12
882529	42	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
882529	42	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	27
882552	67	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
882584	19	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
882584	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	3
882758	58	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
883218	40	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
883218	40	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
883625	20	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
883625	20	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	40

883886	26	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	4
883928	53	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
883928	53	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	9
883976	61	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	14
884457	53	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
884457	53	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	119
884560	28	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
884561	47	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
884561	47	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	166
884682	18	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	3
884682	18	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	25
884740	28	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
884740	28	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	20
884788	27	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
884788	27	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
884821	32	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
885058	53	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	63
885325	60	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	284
885325	60	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	51
885469	44	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	30
885469	44	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	37
885522	30	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
885993	27	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	5
886096	66	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	85
886228	49	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
886228	49	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
886256	23	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
886294	49	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
887152	50	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
887281	51	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	17
887281	51	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	119
887357	23	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	38
887512	32	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
887553	49	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
887555	35	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	8
887555	35	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	8
887981	19	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	38

888258	47	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
888258	47	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	41
888595	33	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	45
888894	35	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
889014	34	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
889105	21	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	5
889105	21	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	57
889520	41	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
889520	41	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	5
889751	55	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
889751	55	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	43
890350	54	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	9
890350	54	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	101
890674	18	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	8
890809	40	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
890809	40	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
891219	29	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
891219	29	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
891359	44	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
891359	44	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	97
892263	48	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
892266	29	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	96
892305	26	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
892581	27	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	5
892582	45	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
892621	32	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
892759	56	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
892759	56	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	61
893193	54	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	6
893193	54	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	28
893286	30	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	0
893780	50	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	58
893918	65	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	9
893923	46	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	9
894041	52	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
894120	48	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
894196	48	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	51

894396	18	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	13
894477	30	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
894477	30	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
894623	24	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	2
894623	24	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	28
894633	35	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	10
894633	35	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
894683	53	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	1
894814	43	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
894893	21	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
894898	51	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
895251	24	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	0
895310	53	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	22
895371	27	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
895371	27	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	33
895393	59	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	33
895420	61	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
895841	53	Male	CASS GPD Transitional Veteran's Program	13
896396	61	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
896421	20	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
896562	19	Male	CASS Mens Overflow Shelter	1
896562	19	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	3
896783	82	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	10
897037	50	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	6
897061	53	Male	CASS Single Adult Shelter	3
897072	21	Female	CASS Single Adult Shelter	2
349	39.156			12269



130 North Robson
Mesa, Arizona 85201-6697

mesaaz.gov

January 29, 2014

Rob Schweitzer
Community Revitalization Coordinator
City of Mesa - Housing and Community Development
PO Box 1466
Mesa, AZ 85211-1466

Dear Mr. Schweitzer,

Marc Community Resources Inc. (Marc) recently submitted for a City of Mesa Human Services Advisory Grant in the amount of \$29,500. The City of Mesa Police Department strongly supports this grant request.

The Mesa Police Department has maintained a cooperative working relationship with Marc and is appreciative of their efforts in providing vocational training services for the seriously mentally ill who reside in our City. This provides an invaluable community service to these citizens and assists them with locating jobs. Within the past year Marc has been able to place over 100 citizens who are seriously mentally ill into competitive jobs. Marc is a great resource to our community and provides assistance to those who need it the most. Please contact me if you have any questions or would like to discuss further.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Frank L. Milstead".

Frank L. Milstead
Police Chief