



COUNCIL MINUTES

January 20, 2004

The City Council of the City of Mesa met in a Regular Council Meeting in the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on January 20, 2004 at 5:52 p.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT

Keno Hawker
Rex Griswold
Kyle Jones
Dennis Kavanaugh
Janie Thom
Claudia Walters
Mike Whalen

COUNCIL ABSENT

None

OFFICERS PRESENT

Mike Hutchinson
Debbie Spinner
Barbara Jones

Councilmember Walters participated in the entire meeting through the use of telephonic equipment.

Invocation by Pastor Tony Frazee, Gateway Bible Church.

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Hawker.

Mayor's Welcome.

Mayor Hawker welcomed everyone to the meeting. A videotaped presentation was aired that outlined meeting procedures and provided attendees with instructions relative to addressing the Council.

Presentation to City of Mesa Utility employee Rick Ratajczyk.

Fire Chief Harry Beck reported that Utility Operations employee Rick Ratajczk, along with other individuals on the scene, risked his life to rescue the driver of a burning vehicle following an accident. Chief Beck and Mayor Hawker expressed their appreciation to Mr. Ratajczk on behalf of the City of Mesa and presented him with a plaque in recognition of his courage.

1. Consider all consent agenda items.

At this time, all matters on the consent agenda were considered or were removed at the request of a member of the Council. All items identified with an asterisk (*) were approved with one Council action.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Jones, that the consent agenda items be approved.

Carried unanimously.

*2. Approval of minutes of previous meetings as written.

Minutes from the January 5, 2004 City Council meetings.

3. Conduct a Public Hearing and consider an amendment to the land use map for the following Minor General Plan Amendment:

- a. **GPMinor03-05 (District 5)** Northwest corner of University Drive and Red Mountain Freeway (13.44 ad.±). Proposed change to the General Plan Land Use Map from Low Density Residential 1-2 dwelling units per acre (LDR 1-2) to Mixed Use/Employment. Mars Properties, owner; Ralph Pew. **(See Item #7c).**

Mayor Hawker announced that this is the time and place for a public hearing regarding the Northwest corner of University Drive and Red Mountain Freeway (13.44 ad.±). Proposed change to the General Plan Land Use Map from Low Density Residential 1-2 dwelling units per acre (LDR 1-2) to Mixed Use/Employment.

There being no citizens present wishing to speak on this issue, the Mayor declared the public hearing closed.

4. Consider the following liquor license applications:

*a. CUAUHTEMOC ARMENTA-BOJORQUEZ, INDIVIDUAL

New Beer and Wine Store License for Super Carniceria El Real, 909 E. Main Street. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Store License currently held at this location by Ramon D. Coronado, Individual, El Torito Super Carniceria, will revert back to the State. District #4.

*b. MICHAEL DALE SAVOIE, AGENT

New Restaurant License for Blue Adobe Grille, 144 N. Country Club Drive. This is an existing business. The Restaurant License currently held at this location by Ronald Paul Bigelow, Agent, Blue Adobe Grille, will revert back to the State. District #4.

*c. ANTONIO MARTINEZ MELGOZA, INDIVIDUAL

New Restaurant License for Nacho's Restaurant and Cantina, 1440 S. Country Club Drive. This is an existing building. No previous liquor licenses at this location. District #3.

*d. GARY LEE MILLER, AGENT

New Restaurant License for Peter Piper Pizza, 1234 W. University Drive. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Bar License currently held at this location by John Barton, Agent, Peter Piper Pizza #39 will remain with the applicant. District #1.

*e. GARY LEE MILLER, AGENT

New Restaurant License for Peter Piper Pizza, 1239 E. McKellips Road, Suite 103. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Bar License currently held at this location by John Barton, Agent, Peter Piper Pizza #191 will remain with the applicant. District #1.

*f. GARY LEE MILLER, AGENT

New Restaurant License for Peter Piper Pizza, 2122 E. Baseline Road, #D. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Bar License currently held at this location by John Barton, Agent, Peter Piper Pizza #11 will remain with the applicant. District #2.

5. Consider the following contracts:

*a. Three-year supply contract for duty and training ammunition for the Police Department.

The Purchasing Division recommends accepting the only bid by San Diego Police Equipment Company, Inc. at \$143,271.68.

*b. Three-year supply contract for leather gloves for warehouse inventory to be used by various departments.

The Purchasing Division recommends accepting the low bid by Western Glove and Safety at \$14,754.31 based on estimated annual requirements.

*c. One-year renewal of the supply contract for herbicides used for landscape maintenance by the Transportation, Parks and Recreation & Facilities Maintenance Divisions.

The Purchasing Division recommends authorizing purchase from a Maricopa County contract with Target Specialty Products at \$81,331.85 based on estimated annual requirements and including applicable sales tax.

*d. Three-year contract for landscape maintenance services for parks and retention basins, in an area designated as Zone 5, as requested by the Parks & Recreation Division.

The Purchasing Division recommends accepting the overall low bid meeting specification by Mariposa Horticultural Enterprises at \$156,682.00 based on estimated annual requirements.

*e. Three new workstations and relocation of seventeen existing workstations for the reconfiguration of the Planning Division as requested by Development Services.

The Purchasing Division recommends authorizing purchase from the State of Arizona contract with Goodman's, Inc. at \$15,029.16 including design, installation, delivery and applicable sales tax.

- *f. One Holmatro rescue power unit and related tools as requested by the Fire Department.

The Purchasing Division recommends accepting the low bid meeting specification by Canyon State Emergency Products at \$23,459.07 including applicable sales tax.

- *g. Deleted.

6. Introduction of the following ordinances and setting February 2, 2004, as the date of public hearing on these ordinances.

- *a. Amending Sections 11-19-5 and 11-19-8 of Zoning Ordinance regarding "Vehicle Signs."
- *b. **Z03-12 (District 5)** The 4400 block of East McLellan Road (south side). (1.70 ac±). South of McKellips Road and east of Greenfield Road. Rezone from R-3 to R-3 P.A.D. and Site Plan Modification. This case involves the development of an apartment complex. John Bellerose, owner; Randy Carter, Dreamcatchers Planning & Design, applicant.
- *c. **Z03-29 (District 4)** 651 East Main Street (2.16 ac. ±). South and east of Main Street and Mesa Dr. Rezone from R-2 to C-2 and Site Plan Review. This case involves the development of a hotel. Ramesh Patel, owner; Ralph Pew, applicant.
- *d. **Z03-44 (District 6)** The 5800 block of East Southern Avenue (south side). South of the Salt River Project sub-station on the west side of the Roosevelt Water Conservation District Canal (20± ac.) Generally located south and east of Southern Avenue and Higley Road. Rezone from R-3 to R1-6 PAD. This request is for the development of a residential subdivision. Harris Bank, N.A., owner; Chris Clonts, KB Homes, applicant.
- *e. **Z03-49 (District 6)** The 7600 block to the 8100 block of East Paloma Avenue (south side) and the 8000 block to the 8100 block of East Elliot Road (north side) and the 3200 block to the 3600 block of South 80th Street (east side) and the 3200 block to the 3400 block of South 80th Street (west side). North and east of Sossaman Road and Elliot Road. (97± ac.) Rezone from R1-43 to M-1 and C-1. This request is to bring City zoning into conformance with the General Plan. Multiple owners, Wayne Balmer, City of Mesa, applicant.
- *f. **Z03-58 (District 5)** Southwest corner of Recker Road and McDowell Road. (1.56 ac. ±) Site Plan Modification. This request is for the development of a Quik Trip convenience store. Quik Trip Corporation; owner/applicant.
- *g. **Z03-65 (District 2)** 345 South Shouse Street. North of Broadway Road and west of Gilbert Road (1.15 ac.±). Rezone from C-2 to R-3. This request is for the development of a 12-unit, three-story apartment complex. Mark Gunning, Ron Bailly, owners; Dan Brock, applicant.

- *h. **Z03-66 (District 2)** The 2500 block of East Southern Avenue (north side) North and west of Southern and Lindsay (1.26 ac.±). Rezone from R1-43 to O-S. This request is for the development of a medical office building. S.L.A.M. (Drs. Thomas Sulton, Cynthia Lowe, James Anderson, and Richard Merkley), owner; Marty Fifer, applicant.
- *i. **Z03-68 (District 1)** Southwest corner of University Drive and Stapley Drive (2.46 ac.±). Rezone from R-4 to C-2. This request is for the development of a retail pharmacy. Phillip Anderson, owner; Rick Froeb, applicant.
- *j. **Z03-69 (District 3)** 500 West Southern Avenue, Suite 33. West of Country Club Drive and north of Southern Avenue (tenant space within a 3.90 ac. development site). Council Use Permit. This request is for the development of a pawn shop. Stuart Schneider, owner; Mark Gonshak, applicant.
- *k. Amending Ordinance 4134 by correcting the minimum penalty provision of Sections 11-6-2 and 11-13-2 pertaining to the zoning ordinance of the Mesa City Code regarding establishing locational and operational standards for vehicle display platforms.

7. Consider the following resolutions:

- a. **GPMinor03-05 (District 5)** Northwest corner of University Drive and Red Mountain Freeway (13.44 ac.±). Adopting an amendment to the Mesa 2025 General Plan, A Shared Vision, as depicted by the attached map for Case GPMINOR 03-05 and directing municipal efforts toward implementation of the goals, objectives, and policies stated within the plan – Resolution No. 8184.

Councilmember Griswold commented that a request to change a housing area to an area of employment was refreshing and noted that the development would be a good buffer for the neighborhood.

It was moved by Councilmember Griswold, seconded by Councilmember Jones, that Resolution No. 8184 be adopted.

Carried unanimously.

- *b. Authorizing the City Manager to execute the Second Amendment to the Site 21 Disposition and Development Agreement, and all other documents necessary to carry out the provisions of the Amendment – Resolution No. 8181.
- *c. Authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa/Mesa Police Department and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office for the salary reimbursement of one officer assigned to the Meth Task Force – Resolution No. 8182. (Federal funds – COPS Program)
- *d. Authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa/Mesa Police Department and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office for the overtime reimbursement of one officer assigned to the Meth Task Force – Resolution No. 8183. (Federal funds – Bureau of Justice)

8. Consider the following recommendation from the General Development Committee:

a. Adopting the Housing Master Plan Report.

Mayor Hawker noted that City staff was meeting with a group of concerned citizens in the Lower Level Council Chambers to provide information on this item and declared a recess at 6:11 p.m.

The Council stood in recess until 6:48 p.m., at which time Mayor Hawker reconvened the meeting with all members present.

Mayor Hawker stated that a number of citizens were present to speak to this item. He explained that public comment was limited to three minutes per speaker, and he requested that members of the audience respect the proceedings by withholding applause and remarks. Mayor Hawker asked that Community Revitalization Director Kit Kelly provide background information on the process employed to develop a Housing Master Plan and an overview of the Plan prepared by the Task Force.

Ms. Kelly stated that a General Plan, Mesa 2025: A Shared Vision, approved by the City of Mesa electorate in November 2002, included a housing element that prescribed the creation of a Housing Master Plan based on current data. She advised that the Mayor and City Council appointed a 15-member Community Housing Task Force that included representatives of low-income and special needs populations, developers, zoning attorneys, and citizens with a neutral view who would be able to consider both sides of various issues. Ms. Kelly noted that the Task Force met monthly from January through October 2003 and heard expert testimony on many subjects including the impact of housing on economic development, housing requirements for special needs and low-income populations, housing for first-time home buyers, etc. She explained that the Housing Master Plan is designed as a guide for the City when addressing housing issues through the year 2025.

In response to a comment by Mayor Hawker, Ms. Kelly clarified that when the report addressed the possible replacement of mobile homes by the year 2025, the reference was to homes built prior to 1979 and those homes are presently 25 years old.

Mayor Hawker advised that the Council had several options: the Housing Master Plan could be adopted as written; the Plan could be referred back to the Community Housing Task Force for revisions; and consideration of the Plan could be delayed in order to obtain additional information. He stated that he did not concur with all of the goals stated in the Plan, but added that if Federal funds and/or other monies were available, replacement of pre-1979 manufactured homes with low-income, affordable and sustainable housing could be accomplished. Mayor Hawker explained that the Housing Master Plan proposes the formation of a Housing Task Force to recommend oversight and implementation of housing guidelines. Mayor Hawker advised that a representative present at this meeting would be welcome to serve as a member of the Task Force.

Councilmember Jones expressed concern that residents of aging and deteriorating manufactured homes may feel trapped, and part of the Housing Master Plan recommendation is to investigate sources of funding to provide replacement housing that is affordable with low maintenance expenses. He expressed the opinion that it was unfortunate that information provided to the public did not represent the true intent of the Council or the Community Housing

Task Force. Councilmember Jones emphasized that no plan exists to displace citizens or to eliminate manufactured homes in the City of Mesa. He added that manufactured homes are an important part of society. Councilmember Jones noted that the Housing Master Plan addresses the entire spectrum of housing in the community in an effort to meet the needs of Mesa residents through the year 2025.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh stated that the Housing Master Plan addresses the sustainability of housing in the community for both high-end and affordable housing. He noted that he resides in affordable housing and emphasized the importance of maintaining that availability for others in the community. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh commented that a manufactured home he once lived in was built in approximately 1958 or 1960, and the 45 year old home is still standing, but is deteriorating and has serious safety issues. He added that media coverage incorrectly reported that the City planned to condemn manufactured homes or close mobile home parks. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh advised that Master Plans are documents that provide guidance to City government, and similar plans have been adopted for Parks, Transportation and Economic Development. He explained that due to the fact that housing is an important key to Mesa's quality of life, a Housing Master Plan would provide guidance to ensure that Mesa has a range of housing available to meet the community's present and future needs.

Councilmember Walters advised that during her first year on the Council, several citizens approached her relative to their concern for the health and safety of residents living in deteriorating homes in a mobile home park, but no funds were available to provide assistance. She stated that as a member of a Federal committee that reviews community and economic development, she presented this issue to the committee for consideration. Councilmember Walters noted that that the issue of deteriorating manufactured homes and parks is not unique to Mesa, but probably is unique to the Sunbelt area. She emphasized that the Housing Master Plan was not an attempt by the Council to displace citizens or condemn property, but a starting point to ensure that tools are in place to address future housing needs in the community.

Mayor Hawker opened the meeting for public comment and the following citizens addressed the Council expressing opposition to the Housing Master Plan:

- Donald Obarski, 201 S. Greenfield, #234
- Richard Bormes, 3104 E. Broadway Road, #20
Representing the Brentwood West Mobile Homeowners Association
- Robert W. Bowen, 3104 E. Broadway
Representing the Brentwood West Mobile Homeowners Association
- Neal T. Haney, 2061 E. Lockwood Street
Representing Manufactured Housing Communities of Arizona (MHCA)
- Sheila Mitton, 1615 W. Pueblo Avenue
Representing Park Place, 5933 East Main Street
- Siri-Amrit Khalsa, 2434 E. Main Street, B-1
Representing Sun Home Communities Trust
- Kelly S. Kirkham, 3104 E. Broadway, Vice President
Representing the owner of eight manufactured housing communities in Mesa and Apache Junction
- Karen Hennen, 3104 E. Broadway, Manager of Brentwood West
Representing Brentwood West Mobile Home Community

Margaret Mechlin, 2259 E. Nora
Representing Mobile Home Dealers
Joe Delveaux, 303 S. Recker Road, #246, President of the Arizona Association of
Manufactured Home Owners (AAMHO)
Angela Robertson, 265 N. Gilbert Road, #1105
Representing Fiesta Village Mobile Home Park
Reverend Lois Jean Kasza, 5933 E. Main, #80
Representing New Hope/Park Place
Alex Cano, 341 S. 40th Street, #31

Comments from those opposed to the Housing Master Plan included the following:

- The proper term is “manufactured” housing as opposed to “mobile homes” or “trailers.”
- The residents of manufactured housing units that are 25 or more years old are concerned that the City will condemn their homes and/or the parks due to the fact that media coverage indicated that the homes of many residents were at risk if the plan was approved.
- Establishing a fixed date, such as pre-1979, was not valid as many older manufactured homes have been well maintained.
- The Plan discriminates by not applying the same criteria to stick homes.
- Owners of manufactured homes and parks and organizations that represent these groups should have been included when the Task Force researched the subject.
- Manufactured housing is affordable quality housing that is not subsidized by the government.
- Manufactured home parks are safe communities that provide a good quality of life for many Mesa residents.
- Two-thirds of Mesa’s manufactured home parks are designated as senior housing.
- Residents of manufactured home parks provide significant economic impact in terms of sales tax revenue, and in addition, the residents contribute many volunteer hours to the community.
- The wording of the proposal could have devastating economic consequences for owners of homes and/or parks planning to sell their property.
- Older manufactured home parks with smaller slabs are unable to accommodate the new, larger manufactured homes.
- The Housing Master Plan incorrectly refers to the “1979 Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Code” in reference to manufactured housing; the correct date is 1976.
- The removal of a large number of manufactured homes would be expensive and very difficult to accomplish.
- Consideration should be given to the funds required to house residents in transition from one home to another and compensation to park owners for lost revenue on space rents.
- A manufactured home cannot be moved to another park unless the home meets or is brought into conformance with the 1976 HUD standards.
- Grants are available to low-income families through the Arizona Department of Building and Fire Safety to bring manufactured homes into Code conformance.
- Code conformance has not been strictly enforced when older homes are moved into parks, and park owners accept the homes due to the fact that the space rental is their source of revenue.

The following citizens indicated their opposition to the Housing Master Plan, but did not wish to address the Council:

Al LaGranne, 2121 N. Center Street
John B. Hulse, 7750 East Broadway Road, #472
James Gross, 7335 E. Redfield Road, #106, Scottsdale
Representing Sun Home Communities
Gale Wolf, 530 S. Alma School Road
Representing the owners of Alma Gardens Mobile Home Park
Howard Dicks, 7310 E. Inverness Avenue
Lance Tate, 2127 E. Knox Road, Tempe
Representing Interstate Investments

Teresa Brice-Heames, 606 N. Robson, stated she was a member of the Community Housing Task Force and she was present in support of the Housing Master Plan. She advised that many manufactured housing experts testified before the Task Force, including representatives from the Arizona Manufactured Housing Association. Ms. Brice-Heames added that individuals representing the association provided the HUD Code date of 1979 in testimony to the Task Force. She noted that regardless of the type of construction or manufacture date of a home, the goal stated was "to determine and reduce the number of homes in substandard or deteriorated condition." Ms. Brice-Heames stated the Task Force endeavored to create a vision and an opportunity for Mesa to explore options that currently may not be in place. She emphasized that the Housing Master Plan did not intend to displace anyone, and she expressed the opinion that adequate affordable housing should continue to be available in the community.

The following citizens also indicated their support for the Housing Master Plan, but did not wish to address the Council:

Paul Ludwick, 1850 S. Westwood, #46
Jim Davidson, 2542 E. Lynwood

Mayor Hawker asked if anyone else wished to address the Council regarding this item and due to the fact that no additional speakers came forward, he announced that the public comment period was closed and that Council deliberations would begin.

In response to a question from Mayor Hawker, Ms. Kelly advised that the Community Housing Task Force has not officially disbanded and could convene to reconsider the wording of certain sections of the Housing Master Plan.

Discussion ensued relative to the fact that the Council could request the Community Housing Task Force to revise the goal that referenced manufactured housing and consider adoption of the entire Housing Master Plan when that revision has been made, or the Council could adopt the Housing Master Plan without the goal that addressed manufactured housing and consider that goal separately at a later date.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen that the Housing Master Plan be referred back to the Community Housing Task Force for revision of the goal related to manufactured housing and removal of any reference to the age of a home or park, with input to be solicited from both manufactured housing residents and the industry.

Councilmember Thom thanked the citizens for expressing their opinions to the Council. She stated that she was not concerned with the age or condition of their homes, or whether they chose to rent or own their homes, but she strongly believed that all citizens have the right to choose where they live without government interference. Councilmember Thom noted that homebuilders meet the demands of the buying public without being told what types of homes to construct. She thanked the Task Force for their work, but commented that several individuals on the Community Housing Task Force were veterans of other City advisory boards, and she expressed the opinion that these individuals relied heavily on input from staff rather than input from the public. Councilmember Thom suggested that a more appropriate time to discuss the housing element would have been prior to voter consideration of the General Plan. She noted that the Housing Master Plan does not include any specific information about funding or implementation. Councilmember Thom advised that mobile homes can be attached to a lot and considered to be taxable real property rather than personal property requiring a license. She expressed the opinion that the property rights of Mesa citizens were on the line and stated that she would not vote to support a plan or report that did not respect the rights of the citizens of Mesa.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh stated that prior to voter consideration of the General Plan, Ms. Brice-Heames came before the Council, with the support of then Vice Mayor Jim Davidson, to propose that a housing element be included in the General Plan. He advised that the Council declined the proposal, but a commitment was made to the community to create a Housing Master Plan to further the goals of the General Plan. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh noted that most of the concern expressed to the Council at this meeting appears to be the result of a misunderstanding regarding the recommendations in the Housing Master Plan. He noted that of all the cities in the Valley, Mesa has always been and continues to be the most receptive to mobile homes and manufactured housing. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh advised that an objective of the Housing Task Force was to establish guidelines to sustain the availability of affordable housing in the City of Mesa over the next 25 years. He stated that he concurred with the motion to re-craft the recommendations of the Task Force so that the intent of the Housing Master Plan is clarified. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh noted that the word "condemn" does not exist in the report and expressed the opinion that characterizing the report as supporting the condemnation of property was unfair. He stated that all meetings of the Housing Task Force were open to the public and, in appointing members to the Task Force Mayor Hawker made an effort to balance the representation. Vice Mayor Kavanaugh emphasized that the Task Force and the City did not intend to displace homeowners, to remove people from their homes or to affect their property rights. He stated that the goal of the City should be to preserve, protect and enhance property rights to ensure a range of housing in the community.

Councilmember Walters noted that the content of the newspaper article was surprising to her and added that the concern the article generated for many citizens was very unfortunate. She stated that the motion should clarify that the Housing Master Plan does not include any plans regarding condemnation of property. Councilmember Walters added that the fourth goal of the Plan addressed the subject of providing assistance to homeowners to upgrade their property. She noted that Mesa has many fine mobile home parks that are well maintained and provide a great quality of life for its residents, but the Housing Master Plan was addressing those parks where the housing stock has deteriorated and living conditions are becoming substandard. Councilmember Walters advised that funds are not presently available to address this problem. She noted that the work of the Task Force involved considerable effort with the intent to create a

more sustainable community. Councilmember Walters stated her support for the motion to return the Plan to the Housing Task Force for clarification of the goals, and she expressed the hope that the community now has a better understanding of the intent of the Housing Master Plan.

Councilmember Griswold noted that the discussion has clarified that “condition” rather than “age” is the relevant factor when designating a home or park as distressed. He expressed his support for the motion and offered a second to the motion.

Councilmember Jones stated that the Housing Master Plan is intended to be a guideline for housing in the City over the next 25 years and noted that having a range of housing for all income levels ensures community sustainability. He concurred with the earlier comments that age was not a factor in determining the condition of a home. Councilmember Jones expressed concern that elements of the Housing Master Plan were incorrectly interpreted to be a threat to individual property rights, but noted that the comments from citizens provided the Council and the Task Force with good information. He emphasized that all citizens have to cooperate to make Mesa a better community and added that the misunderstanding relative to the goals of the Plan was unfortunate. He expressed his support for the motion so that the intent of the Council and the goals of the Master Housing Plan are more clearly stated.

Mayor Hawker stated that the comments presented by the citizens and industry representatives brought issues forward that had not been considered, such as the fact that an unintended consequence of establishing a fixed date relative to the condition of a home or park could result in a stigma being attached to a property. Mayor Hawker expressed his support for the motion.

Mayor Hawker thanked everyone present for taking the time to present his or her views on this issue and added that the dialogue was conducted in a positive manner. He expressed the hope that everyone present recognized that the Council did listen to and understand their concerns. Mayor Hawker requested that the Housing Task Force be provided a copy of the minutes of this meeting, and that the Task Force invite representatives of those who spoke at this meeting to assist in rewriting the goals of the Housing Master Plan. Mayor Hawker called for the vote.

Carried unanimously.

- *b. Approving expansion of the CDBG target area boundaries, with the added condition that staff consider all CDBG eligible areas when developing a priority list for street light projects.

9. Consider the following ordinances:

- *a. Pertaining to the regulation governing water flowing upon streets by transferring such regulation from Title 6 to Title 8, of the Mesa City Code; deleting Section 6-1-14; and amending Section 8-6-3 – Ordinance No. 4145.
- *b. Creating the Mesa Housing Services Governing Board and establishing the membership and the powers and duties thereof - Ordinance No. 4146.
- *c. **A03-04 (District 5)** Annexing the northeast corner of North Sossaman Road and East Willetta Street. (2.86± acres). Initiated by the property owner – Ordinance No. 4147.

- *d. **A03-05 (District 6)** Annexing the northwest corner of South Signal Butte Road and East Pecos Road. (36.37± acres). Initiated by the property owner – Ordinance No. 4148.
- *e. **A03-11 (District 5)** Annexing the area north of McKellips Road to East Hermosa Vista Drive, and west of North Sossaman Road to the Central Arizona Project Canal. (150.2± acres). Initiated by the property owners – Ordinance No. 4149.
- *f. **A03-12 (District 5)** Annexing certain properties south of University Dr. and east of Crismon Rd. between N. 101st Cir. and 102nd St. (2.4± acres). Initiated by the property owners – Ordinance No. 4150.
- *g. **A03-13 (District 5)** Annexing areas on the east and west sides of Ellsworth Road north of Main St. (38± acres). Annexation initiated by the property owners – Ordinance No. 4151.

10. Consider the following subdivision plats:

- *a. “AUGUSTA RANCH MARKETPLACE”, – (Council District 6) – 9900 block of East Baseline Road (south side) located south and west of Baseline Road and Crismon Road. 4 C-2 DMP commercial lots (11.53 ac) SWC Baseline and Crismon Investors, LLC, Joseph C. Cattaneo, President, owner; J.M. Griffin Engineering, Inc., engineer.

11. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

12. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Regular Council Meeting adjourned at 8:34 p.m.

KENO HAWKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of the City Council of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 20th day of January 2004. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

baa