

HOTEL/MOTELS AT A GLANCE - 2009

	CFS	PT1 CRIMES	PT1 ARRESTS	PT2 ARRESTS	DRUG ARRESTS	WARRANT ARRESTS
Citywide Total*	318760	18096	4441	20917	3296	6353
Total for hotel/motels**	2970	94	25	547	136	382
Total for top 10 hotel/motels	1916	44	13	295	87	188
Percentage of total hotel/motels to Citywide	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	6%
Percentage of top 10 hotel/motels to total hotel/motels**	65%	47%	52%	54%	64%	49%

*Citywide data contains all hotel/motels and is based on preliminary data

**Hotel/Motels indicating residency of less than 6months



CITY OF MESA, ARIZONA

CONVENIENCE STORE ORDINANCE TOPICS

March 18, 2010

BACKGROUND

- o In June 2009 the Police Department gave a presentation to the Public Safety Committee on Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)
- o The Committee asked the Department to meet with key stakeholders to resolve any concerns they may have with elements of the program
- o The Department has met with key stakeholders and is ready to present a more defined proposal for consideration

PURPOSE

- o The concept of this proposal is to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the City of Mesa by reducing the occurrence of crime, preventing the escalation of crime, and increasing the successful prosecution of crime that occurs in convenience stores in the city.
- o To accomplish this we are proposing an ordinance that will:
 - Establish a registration program for convenience stores
 - Provide requirements relating to surveillance camera systems, video recording and storage systems, alarm systems, drop safes, security signs, height markers, store visibility, safety training programs, and trespass affidavits



MESA CONVENIENCE STORES AT A GLANCE 2009

	CFS	PART 1 CRIMES	PART 1 ARRESTS	PART 2 ARRESTS	UCR THEFTS	
					SHOP- LIFTS	OTHER THEFTS**
Citywide Total*	318,760	18,096	4,441	20,917	4,586	3,330
Total for Convenience Stores*	8,840	1,747	184	476	1,592	43
Total for Top 10 Convenience Stores***	4,110	576	76	134	648	7
Percentage of Convenience Stores to Citywide	2.8%	9.7%	4.1%	2.3%	34.7%	1.3%
Percentage of Top 10 Convenience Stores to Total Convenience Stores	46.6%	33.0%	41.3%	28.2%	34.3%	16.3%

* Data is preliminary and subject to further review and analysis—CFS data contains TS/SS calls.

** Other Thefts does not include Vehicle Burglaries.

*** Convenience Score data is based on a listing provided by Crime Prevention.



ARTICLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS

o Definitions

- (3) **Pharmaceutical Items** – Stakeholders asked to change to “Health & Beauty Aids and Over the Counter Medications”.
- (4) **10,000 square feet** – Stakeholders are concerned that this will inadvertently include CVS & Walgreen stores.

o Authority of the Chief

o Delivery of Notices

o Violations; Penalty

5

ARTICLE II REGISTRATION OF CONVENIENCE STORES

o Registration Required; Fees

- (c) **fifty dollar (\$50.00) registration and license fee** - Stakeholders felt fees were too high. They proposed \$0 to \$10 only.

o Registration Application

o Issuance, Denial, and Display of Certificate of Registration; Registration Compliance Decal.

o Revocation of Registration

o Appeals

o Expiration and Renewal of Registration

o Non-transferability

o Property Inspections

6

ARTICLE III MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES

- o Surveillance Camera System; Video Recording and Storage
 - (a) (1) (Cameras) - Stakeholders feel this could be cost prohibitive in the area of \$5,000.00 or more.
- o Alarm System
 - (a) (Alarms) - Stakeholders feel this could be cost prohibitive in the area of \$1,000.00 or more.
- o Drop Safes
 - (a) (Drop Safes) - Stakeholders feel this could be cost prohibitive in the area of \$5,000.00 to \$6,800.00 per store.
- o Security Signs; Height Markers
 - (b) (Trespass Signage) - Stakeholders feel this could be cost prohibitive in the area of \$500 per store - (Local costs are approximately \$12.95 per sign which could help to alleviate cost burden)
 - (c) (Height Markers) - Stakeholders would like the word "exit" to remain.

7

ARTICLE III MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES - CONTINUED

- o Store Visibility
 - (b) (Store Visibility) - Stakeholders would like this to be determined by store design and read "clear view of registers".
 - (c) (Store Visibility) - Stakeholders thought this should be addressed by finding out how pharmacies do it with their higher windows.
- o Employee Safety Training; Telephone Access
 - (a) (1) safety training program - Stakeholders want specific lesson plans for training
 - (D) Operation of Security Devices - Stakeholders only train certain employees on this - We agreed that they could determine who those employees would be.
 - (a) (3) on file in the convenience store - Stakeholders agreed to adding in "or electronically" for storing files on employee training
 - (a) (3) immediately - Stakeholders want it to be changed to "within a reasonable time frame"

8

ARTICLE III MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES - CONTINUED

- Trespass Enforcement Program Affidavits
- General Safety Conditions
 - Page 12
 - (c) **Outdoor pay phones** - Stakeholders asked that we change "Outdoor pay phones" to "If the store has outdoor pay phones" – we did make change.
 - (g) **Bollards** – Stakeholders do not want these to be a part of the ordinance – they feel it is a building code issue.
 - Page 13
 - (i) **Dedicated security light** – Stakeholders suggested that there be specified a minimum number of footcandles for the area, not a dedicated light.
 - (k) **Fences** – Stakeholders do not want these to be a part of the ordinance – they feel it is a building code issue and it is too vague.

9

TIMEFRAME

- The dates of implementation will be determined by the Mesa City Council based on the passing of the Convenience Store Ordinance.
- Projected Completion of this Convenience Store Ordinance is 60 Days from today's date.

10