

COUNCIL MINUTES

June 6, 2002

The City Council of the City of Mesa met in a Study Session in the lower level meeting room of the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on June 6, 2002 at 7:30 a.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT

Mayor Hawker
Dennis Kavanaugh
Rex Griswold
Kyle Jones
Janie Thom
Claudia Walters
Mike Whalen

COUNCIL ABSENT

None

OFFICERS PRESENT

Mike Hutchinson
Debbie Spinner
Barbara Jones

1. Discuss and consider various General Plan issues.

Planning Director Frank Mizner addressed the Council and provided a brief overview concerning the General Plan process and schedule. He reported that the 60-day comment period expires on June 14, 2002, that staff will prepare a revised version of the General Plan for Council consideration on June 24, 2002, that the final draft of the General Plan will be issued on July 31, 2002, and that with Council approval, the General Plan will be placed on the November 5, 2002 election ballot.

Mr. Mizner advised that staff is seeking Council direction concerning numerous land use issues and referred to staff's report dated May 21, 2002 (pages 14-16). Mr. Mizner stated that it is staff's opinion that the updated General Plan complies with Growing Smarter legislative mandates, both in fact and intent.

Discussion ensued regarding the public comment process; the fact that Council's direction relative to the remaining land use issues discussed herein will be incorporated into the next draft of the General Plan, which will be considered by the Council on June 24, 2002; and that on that date, additional minor modifications can be made which will be incorporated into the final draft of the Plan.

1. South Side of Southern, between Ellsworth and Crismon

Mr. Mizner explained that this 35-acre parcel on the south side of Southern Avenue between Ellsworth Road and Crismon Road was designated Commerce Park in the 1996 General Plan

and that early in the Joint Master Planning Committee (JMPC) land use evaluation process, this parcel was inadvertently designated High Density Residential on the land use map published for public comment. He further reported that when staff brought this error to the attention of the JMPC, the Committee made a recommendation that this parcel remain Commerce Park, which the Council and Planning and Zoning (P & Z) Board subsequently supported. Mr. Mizner stated that the property owner has requested that this parcel be designated High Density Residential.

Discussion ensued regarding the fact that this land use issue was discussed at the recent public hearing.

Mr. Mizner stated that the property owner and a number of residents north of this parcel support a residential designation and are opposed to the Business Park designation. He further stated that staff recommends a Business Park designation for this parcel and opines that this is not an appropriate residential area due to other nearby uses, including a driving range, Post Office, church and retail center.

Clark Richter, 30 W 1st Street, an attorney representing the property owner, addressed the Council and requested that this parcel be designated High Density Residential. He stated the opinion that the requested designation would be appropriate based on the fact that a mobile home park is located directly north of this parcel and a gated residential community lies directly east of the mobile home park. He also noted that public input received through the public comment process supports a residential designation and that the owner plans to develop a high-density, cluster home development, which would address the City's need for affordable housing.

Discussion ensued regarding the fact that this parcel is currently zoned M1, which was a recent rezoning to accommodate a Palm Harbor Mobile Home factory that did not proceed forward; the fact that there was resident opposition to the rezoning; the fact that even if the property is designated High Density Residential, because of the M1 zoning, it would be possible for Palm Harbor or a similar operation to proceed forward with development of a manufacturing facility after submitting appropriate construction drawings; the fact that although Mr. Richter referred to a specific plan to develop cluster homes, the Council is not considering a site plan or rezoning of the property at this time; and the fact that a future redesignation from Business Park to residential would represent a major General Plan amendment.

Councilmember Walters voiced concerns that designating this parcel High Density Residential could possibly result in the development of a high-density apartment complex, despite the owners' stated plans to develop cluster homes.

Mayor Hawker reiterated his support for designating this parcel Business Park.

Councilmember Whalen stated support for redesignating this area High Density Residential and voiced the opinion that this use is the most appropriate designation because of the surrounding uses. He also voiced concerns regarding the fact that the public was not afforded the full opportunity to oppose a Business Park designation.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh stated support for the Business Park designation and voiced the opinion that this use is both sustainable and compatible with the surrounding, existing uses.

Councilmember Griswold concurred with Councilmember Whalen's comments and voiced the opinion that this is a unique parcel that offers an opportunity to develop an affordable housing project. He explained that although he previously supported a Business Park designation as a member of the JMPC, after viewing the property and the surrounding area, he now supports the residents' request that this property be developed for residential uses.

Councilmember Jones stated that he favors the High Density Residential designation due to the fact that public comment was solicited based on this designation.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Councilmember Griswold, that the 35-acre parcel on the south side of Southern Avenue between Ellsworth Road and Crismon Road be redesignated High Density Residential.

Discussion ensued regarding the appropriate number of units per acre for this parcel.

Councilmember Whalen clarified the Motion to incorporate 10-15 units per acre in the High Density Residential designation.

Councilmember Griswold concurred with Councilmember Whalen's clarification.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Griswold-Jones-Thom-Whalen

NAYS - Hawker-Kavanaugh-Walters

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

2. Southwest corner of Southern and Greenfield

Mr. Mizner provided an historical overview concerning the land use designation of this parcel including the fact that the Council considered public comment on this matter at their June 3, 2002 Regular Council Meeting, where neighborhood opposition to the High Density Residential designation was expressed. He noted that the members of the JMPC and staff recommend that this parcel be designated High Density Residential with Neighborhood Commercial on the 5-acre parcel at the immediate corner and stated that the Council supported this recommendation on April 4, 2002. He added that Councilmembers expressed concerns about the appearance of the City-owned storage yard adjacent to the canal and suggested that it be improved or perhaps sold to the adjacent landowner to create a larger, more developable property with appropriate buffers for the neighborhood. He also noted that at the June 3, 2002 Regular Council Meeting, the Council approved a proposed CVS Drug Store on the immediate corner, which is consistent with the Neighborhood Commercial designation.

Councilmember Thom stated opposition to designating this parcel High Density Residential and voiced support for designating the entire parcel Neighborhood Commercial. She explained that she opposes developing this parcel residentially because of the existing industrial uses west of the parcel. She also expressed concerns that the development of apartments in this area would result in traffic congestion, overcrowded schools and increased crime.

Ron Landen, Vice President and General Manager of Valley Citrus (owner of the parcel) addressed the Council and stated support for the recommendations presented by the members of the JMPC and staff. He commented on the fact that existing traffic counts at this intersection are low and noted that buffers surround the parcel. He also advised that long-term efforts to develop this parcel commercially have been unsuccessful.

In response to a question from Mayor Hawker concerning the City's storage yard that is located immediately adjacent to this parcel, City Manager Mike Hutchinson advised that although the City is currently using the storage yard, staff is in the process of evaluating the possibility of relocating the storage facility and returning this parcel to private sector use.

Discussion ensued regarding the fact that the owner of the subject parcel has expressed interest in purchasing the City's storage yard; the benefits associated with adding the storage yard property to the existing parcel, including the development of walking paths along the canal; and the fact that although sale of the storage yard property would require an open bidding process, the property has limited use because of its narrow frontage on Southern Avenue.

In response to a question from Councilmember Walters concerning the number of apartments in this area and stated concerns that this area might evolve into an intensely developed apartment area similar to the area north of Fiesta Mall, Mr. Mizner provided an overview of the existing apartment complexes in the area, including a large complex on the southeast corner of this intersection and a small complex on the northeast corner, a complex west of this parcel at Val Vista Drive and a complex located at the intersection of Higley and Southern. He stated that although apartment complexes tend to locate along freeway corridors, it is not anticipated that this area will be comparable to the area north of Fiesta Mall.

Councilmember Walters also voiced concerns regarding impacts on schools (particularly student transiency) in areas that contain a high concentration of apartments.

Councilmember Jones voiced opposition to designating High Density Residential at this location and said that he would support Medium Density Residential or Business Park designations.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh stressed the importance of considering the amount of previous input that has been received concerning this issue and the fact that there is concurrence among members of the P & Z Board, JMPC, the property owner and staff that this parcel be designated High Density Residential. He stated support for the recommendations presented by those entities.

Councilmember Thom commented on the petitions submitted by area residents opposing additional apartment development. She stated that existing apartment complexes in the area appear to have low occupancy rates and reiterated her preference that this parcel be designated Neighborhood Commercial.

Councilmember Griswold said that he does not support the recommendations from staff and the JMPC.

Councilmember Jones voiced concerns regarding the proposed designation of 15+ units per acre for this parcel.

Discussion ensued regarding the possibility of incorporating the City-owned storage yard property into the area and designating the entire area High Density Residential, 10-15 units per acre.

Mr. Landen stated that the parcel is currently in escrow and voiced concerns regarding the possibility that a reduction from 15+ units per acre to a lower density could negate the purchase contract.

It was moved by Councilmember Thom that this parcel be redesignated Neighborhood Commercial.

Mayor Hawker declared that the motion died for lack of a second.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that this parcel, including the storage yard property owned by the City, be designated High Density Residential, 10-15 units per acre.

In response to a question from Mayor Hawker concerning designation of the City-owned property at this time, City Attorney Debbie Spinner recommended that the City-owned property issue be considered at a subsequent meeting.

Councilmembers Whalen and Walters withdrew the Motion and Second.

It was moved by Councilmember Walters, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that this parcel on the southwest corner of Greenfield Road and Southern Avenue, excluding the adjacent City-owned property and the 5-acre parcel on the immediate corner, be designated High Density Residential, 10-15 units per acre.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Hawker-Jones-Kavanaugh-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - Griswold-Thom

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

3. Augusta Ranch – Northeast corner of Baseline and Ellsworth

Mr. Mizner reported that this parcel is the vacant, northern portion of Augusta Ranch. He further reported that due to the large size of the parcel (110 acres), the owner (Augusta Real Estate, U.S.A.) has requested that the land use designation be changed from High Density Residential, 15+ units per acre, to Mixed Use Residential. Mr. Mizner added that staff and the members of the P & Z Board concur with this request.

It was moved by Councilmember Walters, seconded by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, that this parcel on the northeast corner of Baseline Road and Ellsworth Road be redesignated Mixed Use Residential.

Carried unanimously.

4. South of Williams Gateway Airport

Mr. Mizner explained that this parcel was designated Educational because staff was under the mistaken impression that the land is owned by Arizona State University when in fact a portion of the parcel is owned by the Gila River Indian Community and a portion is owned by the City. He stated that staff now recommends that this parcel be redesignated Light Industrial, which is consistent with the surrounding area.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that this parcel south of Williams Gateway Airport be redesignated Light Industrial.

Councilmember Thom voiced opposition to the Light Industrial designation and stated a preference for M2 zoning for this parcel.

Mr. Mizner reported that this parcel is currently zoned M1 and noted that rezoning this property would require an application from the property owners. He stated that it is staff's opinion that the Light Industrial designation is more appropriate for this area than a heavy industrial designation because of the neighboring educational uses.

In response to a question from Councilmember Griswold concerning available land for future heavy industrial development, Mr. Mizner outlined several areas that would support M2 zoning, including areas in southeast Mesa near the approved batch plant, TRW and the rubber recycling facility and also areas in northeast Mesa north of Falcon Field.

Councilmembers Griswold and Thom voiced concerns that an insufficient amount of M2 zoning exists in the City to accommodate future heavy industrial development and also voiced interest in developing an industrial wastewater treatment plant in the future to support this type of development.

Economic Development Director Dick Mulligan addressed the Council and advised that a "very weak demand" exists for M2 uses in the City and that staff is aware of a greater number of M1 development prospects. He added that the existing uses in the Williams Gateway Area are consistent with M1 type development. He also noted that M2 uses are typically located on the perimeter of urbanized areas.

City Engineer Keith Nath addressed the Council and explained that the planned joint wastewater treatment facility that will be located in Gilbert will be capable of treating residential and commercial wastewater. He added that industrial facilities are required to pre-treat wastewater in order to comply with State and Federal regulations.

Discussion ensued regarding a recent tour of Arch Chemical that a number of Councilmembers participated in; the fact that various industries produce a variety of different waste materials and chemicals that necessitate pretreatment before entering a municipal wastewater system; the costs associated with treating wastewater at City treatment plants, and the fact that treating various industry specific wastewaters that are not pretreated at the source would increase the City's treatment costs substantially.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Hawker-Jones-Kavanaugh-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - Griswold-Thom

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

5. North of the Red Mountain Freeway, between Greenfield and Higley

Mr. Mizner reported that this parcel is owned by the State Land Department and the State has requested that the land use designation be changed from General Industrial to Business Park. He explained that the requested change is based upon the State's premise that a Business Park designation would be more compatible with the surrounding land uses and would provide more flexibility in developing this parcel in the future. He added that staff and the P & Z Board support this request.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that this parcel north of the Red Mountain Freeway between Greenfield Road and Higley Road be redesignated Business Park.

Discussion ensued regarding the surrounding land uses and the marketing benefits associated with a Business Park designation in this area adjacent to the freeway.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Hawker-Griswold-Jones-Kavanaugh-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - Thom

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

6. Southeast corner of Hawes and Elliot

Mr. Mizner reported that this parcel near the planned San Tan Freeway and Elliot Road is also owned by the State Land Department and the State has requested that the designation be changed from Light Industrial to Business Park. He explained that this request is based on the State's premise that Business Park would provide a more appropriate transition between the Regional Commercial area near the freeway interchange and the Light Industrial area south of this parcel. He further reported that staff and the members of the P & Z Board support this request.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that this parcel on the southeast corner of Hawes Road and Elliot Road be redesignated Business Park.

Carried unanimously.

7. Southeast corner of US 60 and Signal Butte

Mr. Mizner stated that this parcel is also owned by the State Land Department. He reported that the State has requested that the land use designation be changed from Medium Density

Residential to a combination of Mixed Use Employment on the west half of the parcel and Business Park on the east half. He noted that this request is based upon the State's premise that these designations would be more compatible with the non-residential uses planned north of the freeway and west of Signal Butte Road and added that staff and the members of the P & Z Board support this request.

It was moved by Councilmember Walters, seconded by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, that the west half of this parcel be redesignated Mixed Use Employment and the east half of this parcel be redesignated Business Park.

Carried unanimously.

A. Housing

Mr. Mizner stated that in response to concerns voiced by Teresa Brice-Heames during a recent P & Z Board meeting concerning the potential future loss of affordable housing through demolition and redevelopment, the P & Z Board recommends that language be added to the General Plan Land Use Element and that an additional Policy be added to the Plan, as set forth on page 15 of staff's report, to address this concern.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that the recommendations of the P & Z Board be approved.

Mayor Hawker voiced concerns regarding the language suggested by the P & Z Board and the potential ramifications of the language, which suggests that the City be required to maintain a specific number of affordable housing units in the future. He commented on the potential burden this would place on the City.

Further discussion ensued concerning the proposed language and intent of the language.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh and Councilmember Walters retracted the Motion and Second.

Mayor Hawker directed staff to reevaluate this issue and the proposed language and return to the Council for further discussion and consideration.

B. Perimeter land uses

Mr. Mizner reported that during a recent P & Z Board meeting, Chairman Zaharis suggested that the Council consider adding language to the General Plan that addresses the importance of providing for a mixture of commercial uses along the City's non-developed borders to facilitate City sales tax revenue.

Council discussion ensued concerning the concept of attracting shoppers from adjacent communities to retail centers along City borders, and the associated issues relative to regional cooperation between communities.

Councilmember Thom stressed the importance of considering the best interests of Mesa residents.

Mayor Hawker indicated opposition to incorporating General Plan language as suggested by Chairman Zaharis and spoke in support of a regional cooperative approach to sharing revenues along municipal borders.

Mr. Mizner advised that in light of Council concurrence regarding this item, the proposed language would not be added to the General Plan.

C-1. Superstition Springs – DMB

Mr. Mizner provided a brief overview concerning the Council's previous redesignation of this parcel from Mixed Use Residential to Business Park. He reported that DMB has indicated an interest in seeking a General Plan amendment and rezoning this property in the future when specific users have been identified and a site plan has been formulated for this parcel.

C-2. North of General Motors - LKY

Mr. Mizner explained that this area north of General Motors, which is bordered by a major power line on the north, Ellsworth Road on the west and Signal Butte on the east, was designated Business Park by the Council in September 2001. Mr. Mizner reported that there was significant public comment concerning this parcel, including comments in support of protecting this Williams Gateway Airport overflight area from residential development, and also comments in support of residential development in this area.

Mr. Mizner advised that the owner/applicant of this property has requested that the Council reconsider the land use designation for this property and he referred to a letter from Ralph Pew, attorney for the owner/applicant.

Ralph Pew, 10 W. Main Street, Attorney for LKY, addressed the Council and stated that the applicant is requesting that most of this parcel be designated Medium Density Residential 4-6 units per acre, with approximately 90 acres on the immediate northeast corner of Elliot and Ellsworth designated Mixed Use Residential. He commented on the controversy concerning this case and said that the majority of residents in the single-family subdivision north of this parcel support the development of residential in this area. He voiced the opinion that Business Park is not an appropriate designation for this parcel because of its insufficient freeway access and proximity to existing residential development. He also stated the opinion that overflight concerns regarding this area have been exaggerated.

Discussion ensued concerning previous presentations regarding this parcel that outlined commercial uses in the southern portion of the parcel and also recreational park uses.

Mayor Hawker voiced opposition to designating this area for residential uses because of its proximity to the major power line corridor and the fact that this corridor is used as a flight path corridor for Williams Gateway Airport.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that the applicant's request to redesignate this parcel be approved.

Councilmember Walters voiced opposition to the motion and said she would prefer that further consideration of this area be postponed until the Federal Aviation Administration formally approves flight paths for Williams Gateway Airport.

Discussion ensued regarding the various uses allowed under the Mixed Use Residential category including commercial and high density residential.

In response to a question from Councilmember Griswold regarding whether a park element has been designed into the plan, Mr. Pew advised that no park element is planned other than parks that would be designed into the individual residential communities.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh and Councilmember Whalen withdrew the Motion and Second.

Discussion ensued regarding earlier presentations concerning this parcel that included a park element and baseball fields, and the fact that there is an area north of Elliot at Signal Butte, which is presently designated as the site of a future park.

In response to a question from Councilmember Griswold, Mr. Pew stated that the owner's plan for this parcel does include Little League baseball fields.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh voiced concerns regarding recent changes in the applicant's plan to develop this parcel and stated support for continuing this matter to a subsequent meeting for the purpose of clarifying various planned land uses.

Councilmember Whalen concurred with Vice Mayor Kavanaugh's recommendation to continue this matter.

In response to a question from Mayor Hawker concerning the ownership of the parcel, Mr. Pew explained that LKY owns approximately 430 of the 640-acre parcel and that the Cardon family is in the process of acquiring the immediate corner of Ellsworth and Elliot.

Discussion ensued regarding the fact that the issue being considered by the Council at this time is the land use designation and that the owners' present plans to develop the property could change in the future.

Mayor Hawker directed staff to resubmit this parcel to the Council for further consideration at the June 24, 2002 Council meeting.

C-3. Hurley Property

Mr. Mizner reported that this property is the potential site for the Multipurpose Facility and that currently the area where the stadium would be located is designated Parks/Open Space and the remaining Hurley property is designated Mixed Use Employment. Mr. Mizner advised that the Hurley family has expressed interest in designating a portion of their property for Mixed Use Residential. He stated that because there is significant uncertainty concerning the Multipurpose Facility project, the Council may wish to consider continuing consideration of this issue until the June 24, 2002 Council Meeting.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, that discussion of this land use issue be continued to the June 24, 2002 Regular Council Meeting.

Carried unanimously.

C-4. Northeast corner of Crismon and US 60.

Mr. Mizner advised that the land use designation for this property (Home Depot Store) was changed from Neighborhood Commercial to Community Commercial pursuant to Council direction provided on April 4, 2002.

C-5. Citrus Area

Mr. Mizner advised that pursuant to Council direction provided on April 4, 2002, references to potential commercial development in the Citrus Area were deleted.

C-6. Thomas and Val Vista (Lehi)

Mr. Mizner stated that pursuant to Council direction provided on April 4, 2002, the land use designation for this area near the existing gravel pit operation was changed from Low Density Residential to Mixed Use Residential.

Discussion ensued concerning the Medium Density Residential designation for the apartment complex on Alma School Road at the Red Mountain Freeway; and a pending zoning case that involves a proposed commercial development on the southeast corner of McKellips and Val Vista that has generated neighborhood opposition.

In response to questions from Councilmember Whalen concerning the status of General Motors' development plans, Mr. Mizner reported that General Motors has not yet announced the selection of a partner to develop the proving grounds. Mr. Mizner further reported that it is his understanding that General Motors plans to continue operations at the facility during the next two years and thereafter close the facility in phases. He added that it is anticipated that General Motors will submit a major General Plan amendment in the future when the company is in a position to proceed with development of the property.

Discussion ensued concerning the Transportation sub-plan and the planned parkway from the San Tan Freeway southeast through the General Motors property, and the impacts General Motors' development plans will have on the alignment of this parkway.

(At 9:32 a.m. Mayor Hawker declared a brief recess. The meeting reconvened at 9:37 a.m.)

2. Discuss and consider activities of the Civil Hearing Office and review the current process, procedures and civil penalties.

Mayor Hawker stated that due to time constraints, this item would be continued to a subsequent Study Session.

3. Hear, discuss and consider reviewing the City Council Use Permit for Paz de Cristo.

Mayor Hawker stated that due to time constraints, this item would be continued to a subsequent Study Session.

4. Hear an update and consider issues associated with the proposed site for the Multipurpose Facility.

Mayor Hawker stated that a Special Council Meeting will be conducted on June 10, 2002 for the purpose of calling the election concerning the Multipurpose Facility referendum; and that June 12, 2002 is the deadline to submit publicity pamphlet arguments to the City Clerk's office.

City Attorney Debbie Spinner discussed the fact that the Memorandum of Understanding between the City and the Tourism and Sports Authority (TSA) will expire on June 26, 2002 if it is not extended prior to that date; and the fact that June 26, 2002 is the last day to cancel the election without incurring expenses. She also stated that although the referendum filed by Valley Business Owners (VBO) has been certified, the Referendum filed by Stand Against the Stadium Site (SASS) has not yet been certified.

Discussion ensued regarding the issue of placing both referendum questions on the ballot, the fact that SASS has requested that both questions be placed on the ballot, and the fact that staff intends to seek Superior Court direction concerning this issue.

Councilmember Whalen commented on a recent meeting with the TSA and advised that the TSA announced that although Mesa is still considered the preferred site at this time, they have resumed the process of seeking additional sites.

5. Appointments to boards and committees.

Mayor Hawker recommended numerous appointments to Boards and Committees (See Attachment).

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that the Council concur with the Mayor's recommendations and the appointments be confirmed.

Carried unanimously.

6. Acknowledge receipt of minutes of boards and committees.

a. Downtown Development Committee meeting held May 16, 2002.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Kavanaugh, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that receipt of the above-listed minutes be acknowledged.

Carried unanimously.

7. Election issues.

Mayor Hawker noted that numerous election issues were discussed in conjunction with agenda item 4 (Multipurpose Facility).

In response to a question from Mayor Hawker concerning ballot argument fees, City Clerk Barbara Jones advised that ballot argument fees (\$250) are intended to offset translation and printing costs and in the event the City does not incur these costs, fees will be refunded.

8. Hear reports on meetings and/or conferences attended.

There were no reports on meetings and/or conferences attended.

9. Scheduling of meetings and general information.

City Manager Mike Hutchinson stated that the meeting schedule is as follows:

Thursday, June 6, 2002 - Executive Session immediately following Study Session

Thursday, June 6, 2002 – Transportation Committee Meeting immediately following Executive Session

Monday, June 10, 2002, 5:30 p.m. – Special Council Meeting

Thursday, June 13, 2002, 7:30 a.m. – Study Session

Thursday, June 20, 2002, 7:30 a.m. – Study Session

Monday, June 24, 2002, TBA – Study Session

Monday, June 24, 2002, 5:45 p.m. – Regular Council Meeting

Mr. Hutchinson advised the Council that within the next few days they would receive a schedule of Council Meetings for the remainder of the year.

Mayor Hawker stated that he would not be present for the July 1, 2002 Regular Council Meeting.

10. Prescheduled public opinion appearances.

There were no prescheduled public opinion appearances.

11. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

12. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Study Session adjourned at 9:52 a.m.

KENO HAWKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Study Session of the City Council of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 6th day of June 2002. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

Attachment
pjt