



COUNCIL MINUTES

September 7, 2004

The City Council of the City of Mesa met in a Regular Council Meeting in the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on September 7, 2004 at 5:45 p.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT	COUNCIL ABSENT	OFFICERS PRESENT
Mayor Keno Hawker Rex Griswold Kyle Jones Tom Rawles Janie Thom Claudia Walters Mike Whalen	None	Mike Hutchinson Barbara Jones Debbie Spinner

Invocation by Dr. Blaine C. Mays, Senior Minister, Community Church of New Thought.

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Brent Hunter, Hamilton High School.

Mayor's Welcome.

Mayor Hawker welcomed everyone to the meeting. A videotaped presentation was aired that outlined meeting procedures and provided attendees with instructions relative to addressing the Council.

1. Consider all consent agenda items.

At this time, all matters on the consent agenda were considered or were removed at the request of a member of the Council. All items identified with an asterisk (*) were approved with one Council action.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Walters, seconded by Councilmember Rawles, that the consent agenda items be approved.

Carried unanimously.

*2. Approval of minutes of previous meetings as written.

Minutes from the August 30, 2004 Council meeting.

2.1. Conduct a public hearing on implementing a home detention program in the City of Mesa.

Mayor Hawker announced that this is the time and place for a public hearing regarding the implementation of a home detention program in the City of Mesa.

Councilmember Rawles commented that State law requires a public hearing and also a finding of public necessity relative to this matter. He suggested that it might be appropriate for Presiding City Magistrate Matt Tafoya to provide the Council with a short synopsis of the home detention program in order to justify the Councilmembers' action.

Magistrate Tafoya provided a brief analysis of the home detention program and highlighted the various statutory requirements to which an individual must adhere in order to qualify for the program. He explained that relative to a specific finding of necessity, there are those defendants who may wish to fulfill their sentence, but because of extreme circumstances, such as a serious medical condition, find it impossible to do so in jail. Magistrate Tafoya commented that from a humanitarian point of view, it is important to afford these citizens an opportunity to complete their sentences. He noted that the State statute allows such defendants to be on home arrest, adjust their work schedule, and attend church services and medical appointments. Magistrate Tafoya also stated that the home detention program offers a series of options including the ability to obtain breath samples, voice recognition and video capture features. He indicated that the proposed pilot program would be evaluated with reference to cost, staffing and its benefit to the defendants and victims and added that the Council would be provided with an analysis of the evaluation in the future.

There being no citizens present wishing to speak on this issue, the Mayor declared the public hearing closed.

3. Consider the following liquor license applications:

*a. JOE SPADARO, CHAIRPERSON

Special Event License application for Joe Spadaro, Chairperson, St. Bridget Catholic Church, a one-day fundraiser event to be held on Saturday, September 18, 2004, from 5:00 to 10:00 p.m. at 2213 N. Lindsay Road. District #5.

*b. ROBERT JEFFERY BENTON, AGENT

New Beer & Wine Store License for Target #1429, 1230 S. Longmore. This is new construction. No previous liquor licenses at this location. District #3.

4. Consider the following contract:

a. Center Street 12-Inch Water Line Replacement from Broadway Road to First Avenue. City of Mesa Project No. 01-636-001. (Water Bonds)

This project will install approximately 1,900 LF of new 12-inch ductile iron water line to improve the reliability of the downtown water distribution system. In addition, the project will install approximately 300 feet of new 12-inch water line on the east side of Ellsworth

Road, north of University Drive to close a gap in the water distribution system at that location.

Recommend award to low bidder, S.J.L. Construction of Arizona, L.L.C., in the amount of \$398,860.92 plus an additional \$39,886.00 (10% allowance for change orders) for a total award of \$438,746.92.

Mayor Hawker declared a potential conflict of interest and said he would refrain from discussion/participation in this agenda item. He yielded the gavel to Vice Mayor Walters for action on this agenda item.

It was moved by Councilmember Rawles, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that the recommendations of staff be approved.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Griswold-Jones-Rawles-Thom-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - None
ABSTAIN - Hawker

Vice Mayor Walters declared the motion carried unanimously by those voting.

Vice Mayor Walters yielded the gavel back to Mayor Hawker.

5. Consider the following resolutions:

- *a. Extinguishing a portion of a Public Utility Easement and a portion of a Sanitary Sewer Easement located at 2146 West Dixon Street – Resolution No. 8311.

These easements are no longer required.

- *b. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute a Development Agreement for City Share reimbursement between Woodmansee Bros., L.L.C. and the City of Mesa for regional street lighting improvements that are being constructed in conjunction with the development of Pro Auto Parts at 7220 East Main Street – Resolution No. 8312.

- *c. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Arizona Department of Transportation and the City of Mesa for the Design of the Real Time Adaptive Signal System – Resolution No. 8313.

- *d. Extinguishing a portion of a Public Utilities Easement at 2625 North 24th Street – Resolution No. 8314.

This easement was dedicated as part of an earlier plat and is no longer required. It is currently in the area of a planned swimming pool and there are no utilities within this easement.

- *e. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8315. **ADOT Grant Number E9023.**
- *f. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8316. **ADOT Grant Number E9081.**
- *g. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8317. **ADOT Grant Number E1145.**
- *h. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8318. **ADOT Grant Number E3F49.**
- *i. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8319. **ADOT Grant Number E3S02.**
- *j. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8320. **ADOT Grant Number E3F48.**
- *k. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8321. **ADOT Grant Number E0116.**
- *l. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Arizona Department of Transportation extending grant expiration dates of eight existing grants – Resolution No. 8322. **ADOT Grant Number E2F43.**
- *m. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement between the City of Mesa and the Governor’s Office of Highway Safety, to pay for equipment for testing of blood alcohol levels in suspected impaired drivers in the City of Mesa – Resolution No. 8323.
- *n. Approving the execution by the City of Mesa Municipal Development Corporation of a petition to change the voting rights with respect to the Hohokam Irrigation District to a per acre voting system – Resolution No. 8324.
- o. Adopting the City of Mesa Home Detention Program as recommended by the Police Committee – Resolution No. 8325.

It was moved by Councilmember Griswold, seconded by Councilmember Jones, that Resolution No. 8325 be adopted.

Councilmember Rawles stated that in addition to the various levels of necessity as outlined by Magistrate Tafoya, in his opinion, one that is just as compelling is the fact that Arizona judges

have been “unduly hamstrung” by the Legislature in terms of mandatory sentencing. He commented that this pilot program would enable those individuals to exercise discretion regarding this issue.

Councilmember Jones commended staff for their efforts and hard work in this regard and stated that he looks forward to the implementation of the home detention program.

Carried unanimously.

6. Consider the following ordinances:

- *a. Pertaining to the zoning ordinance of the Mesa City Code, amending Section 11-17-2 (definition of terms) and Section 11-17-6 (general provisions) correcting the references to the Uniform Building Code and to the Superintendent of Building Inspections and other clerical corrections to reflect current organizational structure and nomenclature – Ordinance No. 4268.
- *b. Pertaining to the zoning ordinance of the Mesa City Code, amending Section 11-18-3 (construction permits and plan review: Superintendent of Building Inspections) correcting the references to the Building Inspection Division and the Superintendent of Building Inspections and other clerical corrections to reflect current organizational structure and nomenclature – Ordinance No. 4269.
- *c. Pertaining to the zoning ordinance of the Mesa City Code, amending Section 11-19-8 (general provisions) correcting the references to the Uniform Building Code, Electrical Code, the Superintendent of Building Inspections and other clerical corrections to reflect current organizational structure and nomenclature – Ordinance No. 4270.
- *d. Amending Chapter 10 of Title 6 of the Mesa City Code relating to public park regulations – Ordinance No. 4271.

7. Deleted.

7.1. Consider the following recommendations from the Police Committee:

- a. Directing staff to proceed with the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the continuation of the Photo Safety Program after the current contract expires in April, 2005.

Mayor Hawker stated that this item was removed from the consent agenda by Councilmember Thom.

Councilmember Thom expressed opposition to the recommendation and commented that she has received input from a number of constituents who consider the red light cameras to be an invasion of their privacy. She explained that although staff has indicated the Photo Safety Program has reduced accidents, speeding and red light running, the most recent information she has been provided indicates that there has not, in fact, been a reduction in the number of fatalities. Councilmember Thom added that she is also opposed to proceeding with the

development of an RFP, especially considering the program's increased costs and, in her opinion, its unpopularity.

Vice Mayor Walters noted that since the implementation of the Photo Safety Program, a number of technical changes have occurred in an effort to more efficiently implement the program and address various cost issues. She advised that contrary to popular opinion, the program has not been a big money maker for Mesa, but stated that its goal is to prevent injuries and save lives, and in that regard it has been a great success. Vice Mayor Walters added that the Mesa Police Department recognizes that it has an obligation to keep the streets safe and that a program such as this is much more cost effective than if police officers were posted 24 hours a day, seven days a week at the same locations where the red light cameras have been installed. She also assured everyone that staff would bring the proposals back to the Police Committee and ultimately to the Council for final approval.

Councilmember Rawles advised that his initial concern with the program related to the issue of due process and the timeframe within which citations are delivered to red light runners. He commented that staff provided him with an update on the process service and he is now supportive of the program. Councilmember Rawles reiterated Vice Mayor Walters' comments that although the Photo Safety Program is not particularly cost effective, it does protect and save lives.

It was moved by Vice Mayor Walters, seconded by Councilmember Jones, that staff be directed to proceed with the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP) for the continuation of the Photo Safety Program after the current contract expires in April, 2005.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Hawker-Griswold-Jones-Rawles-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - Thom

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

*b. Approving a proposal for the acquisition of new Glock service revolvers for sworn Police personnel.

8. Consider the following cases from the Planning and Zoning Board and possible adoption of the corresponding ordinances:

*a. **Z03-64 (District 3)** Northwest corner of Sycamore and Main Street (14.46 ac.). Rezone from C-2 and C-3 to C-2 BIZ and C-3 BIZ and Site Plan Modification. This request is for the development of a bus/light rail transfer lot and park-and-ride facility to serve the Mesa light rail station and to reserve a site for future Transit Oriented Development (TOD). Judith A. Klein, Rising Sun, LLC., owner; Jeff Martin, City of Mesa, applicant. **CONTINUED FROM THE MAY 3, 2004, MAY 17, 2004, JUNE 7, 2004 AND JULY 6, 2004, AND AUGUST 16, 2004 CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS. ** CONTINUED TO THE OCTOBER 4, 2004 COUNCIL MEETING. ****

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 6-0, Saemisch abstaining).

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan and elevations submitted.
2. All street improvements and perimeter landscaping to be installed in the first phase of construction.
3. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
4. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
5. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
6. Compliance with all requirements of the Design Review Board.
7. Review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Board, Design Review Board and City Council of future development plans.
8. Transit oriented development is encouraged for the 3.67-acre lot.

- *b. **Z04-43 (District 6)** Southeast corner of South Power Road and East Pecos Road (105± ac.). Rezone from R1-43 to M-1. This request is to bring zoning into conformance with the Mesa 2025 General Plan. Power Enterprises, Richfield Investment Co., City of Mesa, Rhonda Raper, Patrick & Carolyn Tuffly, owners; Wayne Balmer, Project Manager WGAA, applicant – Ordinance No. 4272.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with all requirements of the Mesa Zoning Ordinance and land development regulations. This includes (but is not limited to) the following:
 - a. Provision of all required infrastructure including, but not limited to, street, water, sewer, fire protection and other improvements at the time of development.
 - b. Recordation of avigation easements, overflight easements and overflight disclosure statements with all subdivision plats approved and/or building permits issued.
 - c. Recordation of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&R's) to address land use, property maintenance, landscaping, etc., with any new subdivision plats approved.
2. Site Plan Review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Board, Design Review Board and City Council of the development plans as to all aspects of future developments. Site Plan Review documentation may include, but is not limited to, presentation of a citizen participation plan, exhibits detailing the proposed land use(s), site plans, design guidelines, landscape plans, and building elevations.
3. Prior to obtaining a building permit, an archaeological survey, testing and data recovery program from an independent archaeological consulting group using the standards of the Secretary of the Interior shall be presented to, and approved by, the City of Mesa Historic Preservation Officer.

- *c. **Z04-52 (District 3)** The 1100 block of West Grove Avenue (north side). Located south and east of Southern Avenue and Alma School Road (3.76± ac.) Modification of a Development Master Plan and Site Plan Review. This request is for the development of

two commercial retail buildings. ABV Development Partners, L.L.C. (Paul Klink), owners; RHL Design Group, Inc. (Brent Fike), applicant – Ordinance No. 4273.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan and elevations as submitted.
 2. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
 3. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
 4. Compliance with all requirements of the Design Review Board.
- d. **Z04-53 (District 3)** 134 North Beverly Lane. Located north and east of Main Street and Alma School Road (1.59± ac.). Rezone from C-2, R-2, and R-4 to R-3 PAD and Site Plan Review. This request is for the development of a single-residence development. John L. Holt, owner; Fred E. Woods, applicant – Ordinance No. 4278.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan, preliminary plat and elevations submitted, (without guarantee of lot yield, building count, lot coverage).
2. Compliance with the Residential Development Guidelines.
3. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
4. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
5. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.
6. For lots 13-18 as shown on the submitted preliminary plat: provide a minimum rear yard of 5 feet.

Wesley Hukriede, 1121 W. 2nd Street, a resident in the area, addressed the Council and advised that he owned the parcel adjacent to the subject property. He expressed opposition to the development due to proposed five-foot setbacks that could create privacy issues, the height of the homes that would shade his property, and a decrease in land values. Mr. Hukriede commented that he understood the property was zoned C-2 when he originally purchased it, but later learned from City staff that that was not the case. He added that he has met with John Holt, the developer of the property, in an attempt to sell his property to Mr. Holt, but stated that he has been unsuccessful in that endeavor. He urged the Councilmembers to visit the proposed development site prior to making their ultimate decision in this case.

Planning Director John Wesley provided the Council with a brief overview of the case and commented that staff and the Planning & Zoning Board are recommending its approval.

Fred Woods, a representative of the property owners, provided a chronological overview of the single-family detached home development, a project that is unique in a neighborhood such as this. He advised that in addition to meeting with City staff, Vice Mayor Walters and

Councilmember Rawles, the owner has also met on several occasions with the residents in the surrounding area who have voiced positive support for the project. Mr. Woods also displayed renderings of the proposed development and site plan and highlighted various components of the project including setbacks and landscaping.

Discussion ensued relative to the fact that three houses would back up to Mr. Hukriede's property; that an already existing grove of trees along the property line would block the sunlight into Mr. Hukriede's property just as effectively as the single-family homes; that seven parcels have been incorporated into the project; and that the development, per the General Plan, allows for 15 units per acre, but only 12 units per acre are proposed in this case.

Councilmember Rawles advised that the property is located within his district and he recently had the opportunity to visit the site. He stated that in his opinion, it is a superb project that fits the needs of the community. Councilmember Rawles commented that although it is unfortunate that Mr. Holt and Mr. Hukriede have been unable to agree upon an acquisition price for Mr. Hukriede's lot, he is disinclined to use "the planning and zoning power of government" to bring about a business deal for someone. He noted that he is pleased that seven parcels have been combined to form the development site, and added that although technically it may not constitute an infill project, it certainly meets his definition of such.

It was moved by Councilmember Rawles, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that Ordinance No. 4278 be adopted.

Vice Mayor Walters expressed appreciation for Councilmember Rawles' comment that technically the development may not constitute an infill project. She stated that in her opinion, it does typify the kind of quality project she is hoping to see in future infill projects in Mesa. Vice Mayor Walters added that she is pleased with the development's design and said that with the construction of single-family homes such as these, young families would now have the opportunity to transition from rental properties into homes of their own.

Carried unanimously.

- *e. **Z04-55 (District 5)** 3607 East McKellips Road. Located at the southeast corner of Val Vista Drive and McKellips Road (1.15± ac.). Modification of Ordinance No. 4139. This request is to consider the deletion of existing Condition 9 of the previous zoning case Z03-62, which references the Citrus Sub Area Plan. Ottawa, Inc. (Mike Saager), owner; City of Mesa, applicant – Ordinance No. 4274.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with the basic development of case Z03-62, as described in the Z03-62 project narrative and as shown on the Z03-62 site plan, preliminary plat and elevations submitted, except as noted below.
2. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
3. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).

4. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
5. All street improvements and perimeter landscaping to be installed in the first phase of construction.
6. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.
7. Compliance with all requirements of the Design Review Board.
8. Provide or retain two rows of citrus trees along the arterial street frontage, between the subdivision wall and the street.
9. Owner granting an Avigation Easement and Release to the City, pertaining to Falcon Field Airport, which will be prepared and recorded by the City (concurrently with the recordation of the final subdivision map, prior to the issuance of a building permit).
10. Retention basins to be 6:1 slopes maximum when adjacent to public rights-of-way or pedestrian walkways.

*f. **Z04-56 (District 5)** The 9200 to 9300 block of East Main Street (north side). Located east of the northeast corner of Main Street and Ellsworth Road (1.5± ac.) This request is to rezone from Maricopa County C-2 to City of Mesa C-2. W M Grace Development Company, owner; City of Mesa, applicant – Ordinance No. 4275.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Board, Design Review Board and City Council of future development plans.
2. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.

*g. **Z04-58 (District 6)** The 1300 to 1400 block of North Greenfield Road (east side). Located north and east of Greenfield Road and Brown Road (0.1± ac). Rezone from C-2 to C-2 PAD and Site Plan Review. This request is to allow individual condominium ownership of commercial buildings. Brown Field Condominium Corporation, owner; Glenwood Development (Jeff Kost), applicant – Ordinance No. 4276.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan and preliminary plat submitted, except as noted below.
2. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
3. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
4. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.
5. Retention basins to be 6:1 slopes maximum when adjacent to public rights-of-way or pedestrian walkways.

- *h. **Z04-59 (District 3)** 525 West Southern Avenue. Located south and west of Southern Avenue and Country Club Drive (1.29± ac). Rezone from O-S to O-S PAD and Site Plan Review. This request to allow individual condominium ownership for existing buildings. Joe Porter, owner; William Standage, applicant – Ordinance No. 4277.

P&Z Recommendation: Approval with conditions (Vote: Passed 5-0, Adams and Finter absent)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan and preliminary plat submitted, except as noted below.
2. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
3. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
4. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.

9. Consider the following subdivision plats:

- *a. "HORNE INDUSTRIAL CONDOMINIUMS," – (Council District 4) – 1100 block of South Horne (west side) located north and east of Southern Avenue and Mesa Drive. Six M-1 PAD industrial condominium units (2.66 ac) DCSJ, Ltd., an Arizona Limited Partnership, owner; Standage & Associates, Ltd., engineer.
- *b. "FOUNDERS RANCH AT LAS SENDAS," – (Council District 5) – 7100 block of East Saddleback Street (south side) located north and east of Thomas Road and Power Road. 27 R1-7 PAD DMP single residence lots (9.39 ac) Sonoran Desert Holdings, L.L.C., Jeff Blandford, President, owner; Wood/Patel, engineer.
- *c. "STONELEDGE AT LAS SENDAS," – (Council District 5) – 3400 block of North Red Mountain (west side) located south and east of Thomas Road and Power Road. 42 R1-7 PAD DMP single residence lots (10.12 ac) Sonoran Desert Holdings, L.L.C., Jeff Blandford, President, owner; Wood/Patel, engineer.
- *d. "VINTAGE HILLS AT LAS SENDAS," – (Council District 5) – 3000 block of North Red Mountain (west side) located south and east of Thomas Road and Power Road. 68 R1-7 PAD DMP single residence lots (13.36 ac) Sonoran Desert Holdings, L.L.C., Jeff Blandford, President, owner; Wood/Patel, engineer.

10. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

11. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Regular Council Meeting adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

KENO HAWKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of the City Council of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 7th day of September 2004. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

pag