

COUNCIL MEETING

November 3, 2003

The City Council of the City of Mesa met in a Regular Council Meeting in the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on November 3, 2003 at 5:51 p.m.

COUNCIL PRESENT

Mayo Keno Hawker
Rex Griswold
Kyle Jones
Dennis Kavanaugh*
Janie Thom
Claudia Walters
Mike Whalen

COUNCIL ABSENT

None

STAFF PRESENT

Mike Hutchinson
Debbie Spinner
Barbara Jones

*(Vice Mayor Kavanaugh participated in the entire meeting through the use of teleconferencing equipment.)

1. Consider all consent agenda items.

At this time, all matters on the consent agenda were considered or were removed at the request of a member of the Council. All items identified with an asterisk (*) were approved with one Council action.

It was moved by Councilmember Walters, seconded by Councilmember Griswold, that the consent agenda items be approved.

Carried unanimously.

*2. Approval of minutes of previous meetings as written.

Minutes from the October 13, 16, 27 and 30, 2003 City Council meetings.

3. Conduct a public hearing for the following General Plan Amendment.

a. Deleted.

4. Conduct a public hearing for the following annexations:

a. **A03-5 (District 6)** Annexing the northwest corner of Pecos Road and Signal Butte Road. (36.37± acres). Initiated by the property owner.

Mayor Hawker announced that this is the time and place for a public hearing regarding annexing the northwest corner of Pecos Road and Signal Butte Road. (36.37± acres).

There being no citizens present wishing to speak on this issue, the Mayor declared the public hearing closed.

- b. **A03-12 (District 5)** Annexing the south side of East University Drive between 101st Circle and 102nd Street. (2.4± acres). Generally located south and east of University Drive and Crismon Road. Initiated by the property owner.

Mayor Hawker announced that this is the time and place for a public hearing regarding annexing the south side of East University Drive between 101st Circle and 102nd Street. (2.4± acres).

There being no citizens present wishing to speak on this issue, the Mayor declared the public hearing closed.

- c. **A03-13 (District 5)** Annexing areas on the east and west sides of Ellsworth Road north of Main Street. (38± acres). Annexation initiated by the property owners.

Mayor Hawker announced that this is the time and place for a public hearing regarding annexing areas on the east and west sides of Ellsworth Road north of Main Street. (38± acres).

There being no citizens present wishing to speak on this issue, the Mayor declared the public hearing closed.

4.1 Consider the City Manager's recommendation to appoint Bryan Raines as Financial Services Manager.

City Manager Mike Hutchinson stated that it is his recommendation that Neighborhood Services Manager Bryan Raines be appointed Manager of the newly established Financial Services Department which will consolidate various City divisions handling financial issues.

It was moved by Councilmember Walters, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that the City Manager's recommendation to appoint Bryan Raines as Financial Services Manager be approved.

Carried unanimously.

Mayor Hawker, on behalf of the entire Council, congratulated Mr. Raines on his appointment.

4.2 Consider the City Manager's recommendation to appoint Joe Holmwood as Community Services Manager.

Mr. Hutchinson recommended that Assistant Community Services Manager and Parks and Recreation Director Joe Holmwood be appointed as Community Services Manager.

It was moved by Councilmember Jones, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that the City Manager's recommendation to appoint Joe Holmwood as Community Services Manager be approved.

Carried unanimously.

Mayor Hawker recognized Mr. Holmwood in the audience and commended him on his appointment.

4.3 Consider Paul Wenbert as Interim Neighborhood Services Manager.

Mr. Hutchinson stated that because of Bryan Raines' appointment as Financial Services Manager, he is recommending that Deputy City Manager Paul Wenbert be appointed Interim Neighborhood Services Manager. He noted that the City will begin a nationwide recruitment for Mr. Raines' replacement.

It was moved by Councilmember Griswold, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that the City Manager's recommendation to appoint Paul Wenbert as Interim Neighborhood Services Manager be approved.

Carried unanimously.

Mayor Hawker congratulated Mr. Wenbert on his appointment.

5. Consider the following liquor license applications:

*a. RODNEY S. HERBERT, AGENT

New Beer and Wine Store License for Texaco Star Mart #777, 2015 W. University Drive. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Store License previously held at this location by Gregory Sargovatz, Agent, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, will revert back to the State.

*b. RODNEY S. HERBERT, AGENT

New Beer and Wine Store License for Texaco Star Mart #780, 7546 E. Baseline Road. This is an existing business. The Beer and Wine Store License previously held at this location by Gregory Sargovatz, Agent, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, will revert back to the State.

6. Consider the following contracts:

*a. WebInspect Software as requested by the Information Services Division (ISD).

The Purchasing Division recommends authorizing purchase of the software and first year maintenance and support from the State of Arizona contract with Calence, Inc. for a total of \$32,340.00.

b. Dobson Police Substation Parking & Security Fence Improvements, City of Mesa Project No. 02-10.

This project corrects deficiencies with the facility and site by adding a fence and gates to secure the parking area, closing off an existing door and window exposed to the non-secured west side of the facility, and adding 26 new parking spaces.

Recommend award to low bidder, Vizor, LLC, in the amount of \$273,346.59, plus an additional \$27,334.66 (10% allowance for change orders) for a total award of \$300,681.25.

Mayor Hawker declared a potential conflict of interest and said he would refrain from discussion/participation in this agenda item. He yielded the gavel to Councilmember Walters for action on this agenda item.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Councilmember Griswold, that the recommendations of staff be approved.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Griswold-Jones-Kavanaugh-Thom-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - None
ABSTAIN - Hawker

Councilmember Walters declared the motion carried unanimously by those voting.

Councilmember Walters yielded the gavel back to Mayor Hawker.

7. Consider the following resolutions:

- *a. Ordering Work for Special Improvement District No. 241 – Resolution No. 8133.

This District will install improvements along portions of South Crismon, East Hampton Avenue and South Cheshire Street within the Crismon Business Park.
- *b. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, Salt River Project and the City of Mesa for the operation and maintenance of the Alma School Drain – Resolution No. 8134.
- *c. Approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to an existing Intergovernmental Agreement between the Arizona Department of Transportation and the City of Mesa, for improvements to the Broadway Road Railroad Crossing, just west of Center Street – Resolution No. 8135.
- *d. Deleted.
- *e. Moved to Item No. 8.2.
- *f. Ordering and calling a Special Bond Election to be held in conjunction with the City of Mesa Primary Election on March 9, 2004 – Resolution No. 8136

Capital Improvement Project Administrator Anthony Araza addressed the Council and provided a brief overview of the proposed March 2004 Bond Authorization Election recommendations. He reported that of the total \$330,850,000 bond proposal, the Utility Bonds (Gas, Water and Wastewater) comprise approximately 75%; that the General Obligation (GO) Bonds (Neighborhood and Street Flood Control, Emergency Fire Protection and Medical Response, Public Safety, and Parks, Open Space and Recreational) total 15%, and that the Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) Bonds (Transportation) encompass 10%.

Discussion ensued relative to the fact that the proposal is a two-year program to bridge the gap with the expectation that a larger program could be proposed for 2006; that the Utility Bonds have the highest priority in order to provide the capital infrastructure necessary to maintain essential services for residents; that the proceeds from the sale of Langley Ranch will be immediately deposited into the Wastewater Bond Program to mitigate Mesa's share of the cost of the South Water Reclamation Plant, and that in the past, the City has never levied a secondary property tax to pay the bond debt in the GO Bonds category.

Councilmember Thom requested input from staff with regards to the cost to homeowners and business owners if a secondary property tax was levied.

Further discussion ensued among the Council and staff relative to the secondary property tax, and Fred Rosenfeld, the City's bond attorney, clarified that the voter informational pamphlet will include, among other things, the estimated tax rate impact on an average owner-occupied residence and commercial and industrial property in the unlikely event that such a tax were to be levied.

Councilmember Walters commented that with regard to the Parks, Open Space and Recreation Bonds, the City is taking a "barebones approach" relative to land acquisition for neighborhood/community parks, but not necessarily their development in the near future. She added that while the 2004 Bond Authorization Election, as in every previous bond election, has "opened the door" with regard to the imposition of a secondary property tax in reference to the GO Bonds, the City has never "walked through the door" and levied such a tax on the residents of the community.

Don Baker, 2424 East Southern Avenue, addressed the Council and voiced a series of concerns relative to the 2004 Bond Authorization Election recommendations.

In response to Mr. Baker's questions and concerns, Ms. Spinner provided a brief explanation of some of the legal language contained in the resolution.

Mayor Hawker commented that the Council is currently in the process of establishing a committee that will address Mesa's long-term financing in reference to the City's land use planning at build out. He added that he has encouraged staff to focus only on the most essential services, and in particular, the South Water Reclamation Plant which will service virtually all of Councilmember Thom's district. Mayor Hawker expressed support for the proposed bond projects and commended staff for their efforts and hard work relative to this issue.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh concurred with Mayor Hawker's comments and noted that the bond package addresses Mesa's most critical infrastructure needs in order to maintain the level of service that residents in the community have come to expect.

Councilmember Thom provided a short chronological overview of the secondary property tax.

It was moved by Councilmember Jones, seconded by Councilmember Whalen, that Resolution No. 8136 be adopted.

Carried unanimously.

8. Consider the following ordinances:

- a. Amending Section 201 of the Mesa City Charter modifying residency requirements for candidates for Mayor and City Council.

Councilmember Walters advised that at the October 30, 2003 Study Session, she requested that this item be removed from the consent agenda because she was opposed to the proposed modification of residency requirements for candidates for Mayor and City Council (when nomination papers are filed). She explained that although the amendment in no way impacts her or her upcoming campaign for reelection, she would prefer that candidates running for the office of Mayor or City Council have a longer residency requirement in the City than just being a resident at the time of nomination. Councilmember Walters also noted that she wanted to make sure that her position on this issue was clearly understood, and added that if this proposal is placed on the March 9, 2004 ballot, she will vote against it at that time as well.

Vice Mayor Kavanaugh concurred with Councilmember Walters' comments. He stated that it is his philosophy that elected officials should be very cautious in tampering with citizen-driven initiatives which are approved by the voters, and added that is the case in this instance.

Mayor Hawker expressed support for this agenda item. He stated the opinion that if a relative newcomer to a Council district runs for elected office and is labeled as a carpetbagger, it is up to the voters to decide whether or not they wish to vote for the individual.

Councilmember Whalen explained that although he is supportive of placing the proposal on the ballot, he wanted to make it clear that he may not hold the same position at the time of the election.

It was moved by Councilmember Thom, seconded by Mayor Hawker, to amend Section 201 of the Mesa City Charter modifying residency requirements for candidates for Mayor and City Council.

In response to a question from Councilmember Griswold, City Attorney Debbie Spinner clarified that the proposed amendment deals strictly with the Mayor and City Council residency requirements at the time of filing nomination papers and does not address the issue of redistricting.

Councilmember Griswold commented that in light of Ms. Spinner's explanation, he will not be in support of the motion.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Hawker-Thom-Whalen
NAYS - Griswold-Jones-Kavanaugh-Walters

Mayor Hawker declared the motion failed for lack of a majority vote.

- b. Proposing amending Section 211 of the Mesa City Charter to designate by resolution two days of the week to publish legal notices – Ordinance No. 4131.

Marilynn Wennerstrom, 1112 North Center, addressed the Council relative to this agenda item. She commented that although she is neither in support of nor in opposition to the proposed City Charter amendment, she questioned the purpose of asking voters to consider this issue when the City itself is noncompliant with Section 211. She briefly cited a recent occurrence when two legal notices were published in a local newspaper on a Friday and three additional legal notices were published the following day. Ms. Wennerstrom noted that this is a blatant violation of the currently designated Saturday publication schedule.

In response to Ms. Wennerstrom's concerns, Ms. Spinner explained that it is the intent of the City to publish on Saturdays; however, there could be other State statutes which require specific publications on other days of the week. She added that she is unaware of the occurrences cited by Ms. Wennerstrom, but would research the issue.

It was moved by Councilmember Jones, seconded by Councilmember Griswold, that Ordinance No. 4131 be adopted.

Carried unanimously.

Discussion ensued relative to Section 211 and the procedure for the introduction and adoption of ordinances, as well as their publication.

- *c. Proposing amending Section 609 of the Mesa City Charter relating to the purchasing policies of the City – Ordinance No. 4126.
- *d. Proposing amending Section 903 of the Mesa City Charter establishing when City Charter amendments shall be submitted – Ordinance No. 4127.

8.1. Consider a recommendation from the Mesa Convention and Visitors Bureau to increase the City bed tax, subject to voter approval at the March 9, 2004 City of Mesa Primary Election.

Robert Brinton, Executive Director of the Mesa Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), addressed the Council and provided a brief overview of the CVB's proposal to increase the transient occupancy (bed) tax to 3% and to place the issue on the March 9, 2004 ballot.

The following citizens, in order of appearance, spoke in support of the CVB's recommendation:

Neil Barna	1860 East Laurel Street
Dave Muth	1011 West Holmes Avenue
Phil Kellis	1465 East Leland Street

The above-referenced citizens expressed support for the CVB's recommendation for the following reasons:

- Mesa hotel properties with 100-plus rooms account for 83% of the bed tax collected annually.
- The proposed bed tax increase from 2.5% to 3.0% would generate an estimated \$225,000 annually, with \$125,000 designated to the marketing of the Mesa Aquatic Center, and the balance to be spent on trade shows, direct sales and advertising.
- The existing 20-year contract (which expires in 2016) between the City and the CVB relative to bed tax revenues allocates approximately 85% to the CVB and 15% to City community relations activities, including annual payments of \$100,000 for Hohokam Stadium and \$25,000 towards an administrative fee.
- If voters approve the bed tax increase in March 2004, it would go into effect in July 2004 and provide additional marketing funds in anticipation of the slow economic recovery that is expected later in the year.
- The CVB engages in cooperative marketing efforts with several Valley cities to compete with other Southwestern destinations, particularly in Southern California and Las Vegas.
- The completion of the Mesa Arts Center and the proposed Aquatic Center will provide the City with world-class facilities that will require proper marketing in order to maximize the potential benefits to the City.
- A majority of the local motel/hotel industry is willing to increase the bed tax at their own businesses for the benefit of the entire community.

Mayor Hawker advised that the following citizens expressed support for the CVB's recommendation but did not wish to address the Council:

Michele Fiore	5120 East Hampton Avenue, #1084
Janet Erickson	4312 East Fountain Circle

Jack Lake, 425 South Power Road, addressed the Council and voiced opposition to this agenda item. He commented, among other things, that the practice of having the electorate vote for a tax that others will have to pay is unfair; that other businesses are required to cut costs in an economic downturn, but are unable to obtain additional funds through a tax increase; that tax parity with other Valley cities is not an issue, but noted that Mesa's taxes are lower than other communities and could be used as an advantage, and that revenues generated by the tax increase will not bring Mesa into marketing parity with other Valley cities.

Discussion ensued relative to the fact that the Transaction Privilege Tax is covered in Section 602(A) of the Mesa City Charter; that the City Attorney will research the voting requirements for assessing taxes on certain business components; that the CVB followed procedures used in the past to propose the bed tax increase, and that the original surcharge of a flat fee was changed to a percentage to be fair to all hotel properties.

In response to a series of questions from Councilmember Thom, Mr. Brinton clarified that in 2002, the CVB expended approximately \$25,000 for the purpose of performing a series of drilling tests with regard to Mesa's bid for the Multipurpose Facility. He explained that in previous years, the Rio Salado Project involved the proposed stadium as well as an adjoining convention center and that the CVB spent approximately \$50,000 to research convention centers and educate the public relative to this type of facility. Mr. Brinton also stated that as a

result of Proposition 302, funds from the Tourism and Sports Authority (TSA) are allocated based on the amount of bed tax collected. He added that although Mesa has approximately 5,000 rooms (10% of the Valley's 50,000 hotel rooms), the City's bed tax collection represents only 4% to 4.5% of the total.

Further discussion ensued relative to the City's long history of targeting the tourism industry as a source of revenue; that the State assesses a 5.5% bed tax on the hotel industry, but does not prohibit local government from imposing additional taxes; that a more appropriate time to consider this tax increase might be when construction of the Aquatic Center is underway; that a long lead time is required to properly market a facility such as the Aquatic Center; that the Quality of Life sales tax provides sufficient capital to construct the Aquatic Center, but a determination must be made relative to funding the operation of the facility on an ongoing basis, and that many funding issues for the City will be addressed by the committee that is being formed to review the City's finances.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Councilmember Jones, that the recommendation from the Mesa Convention and Visitors Bureau to increase the City bed tax, subject to voter approval at the March 9, 2004 City of Mesa Primary Election, be approved.

Upon tabulation of votes, it showed:

AYES - Griswold-Jones-Kavanaugh-Walters-Whalen
NAYS - Hawker-Thom

Mayor Hawker declared the motion carried by majority vote.

8.2. Consider a resolution designating 2004 Primary and General election dates and purposes of each election; designating the place and the last date for candidates to file nomination papers; designating the deadline for filing arguments for and against ballot measures; designating the deadline for voter registration; and establishing the times that polls will be open – Resolution No. 8137.

It was moved by Councilmember Whalen, seconded by Councilmember Walters, that Resolution No. 8137 be adopted, pending the deletion of Proposition 100 from the ballot.

In response to a question from Councilmember Whalen, City Clerk Barbara Jones explained that when a City Charter amendment appears on the ballot, the Proposition numbers are assigned in the 100 series and that each Charter item is numbered in the order in which it appears in the Charter.

Carried unanimously.

9. Consider the following cases from the Planning and Zoning Board and possible adoption of the corresponding Ordinances:

- *a. Deleted.
- *b. **Z03-45** (District 2) Northeast corner of Brown Road and Val Vista Road (9.2 ac ±). Rezone from AG to R1-35 PAD. This request is for the development of a residential subdivision. Ralph Horlacher, owner; Robert Walker, applicant – Ordinance No. 4128.

P&Z Recommendations: Approval with conditions. (Vote passed 6-0, Esparza absent.)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan and preliminary plat submitted, (without guarantee of lot yield, building count, lot coverage) except as noted below.
2. Compliance with the Residential Development Guidelines.
3. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
4. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
5. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
6. All street improvements and perimeter landscaping to be installed in the first phase of construction.
7. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.
8. Full compliance with all current Code requirements, unless modified through appropriate review and approval of the modifications outlined in the staff report.
9. Owner granting an Avigation Easement and Release to the City, pertaining to Falcon Field Airport, which will be prepared and recorded by the City (concurrently with the recordation of the final subdivision map, prior to the issuance of a building permit).
10. Written notice be provided to future residents, and acknowledgement received that the project is within two miles of Falcon Field Airport.
11. Retention basins to be 6:1 slopes maximum when adjacent to public right-of-way or pedestrian walkways.
12. Provide or retain two rows of citrus trees along the arterial street frontage, between the subdivision wall and the street.
13. Redesign the subdivision in accordance with the suggestions forwarded by the Citrus Area Homeowners:
 - a. This project lies within the Citrus Sub-Area Plan of Mesa. Adopted by the Mesa City Council on April 21, 2003 as a resolution, this plan was created by the Citrus Area Homeowners (CAH) as a vision for future development policies within the area. Chapter 4 Plan Recommendations are in addition to the standard development requirements by the City of Mesa.
 - b. The lot lines should be moved to fall in between rows of existing citrus trees rather than fall on a row of citrus trees as presently shown.
 - c. Irrigation should be provided to all lots in the subdivision. The two rows of citrus trees being retained along the arterial streets should be flood irrigated.

- *c. **Z03-46** (District 1) West of the southwest corner of McKellips and Arboleda (2.5 ac. ±). Generally located south and west of McKellips and Val Vista. Rezone from R1-43 (Conceptual C-2) to O-S. This request is for the development of an office building. Delos Development, L.L.C. (Rich Crandall), owner; Dorothy Shupe, Dreamcatchers Planning & Design, applicant - Ordinance No. 4129.

P&Z Recommendations: Approval with conditions. (Vote passed 6-0, Esparza absent.)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan, preliminary plat and elevations, (without guarantee of lot yield, building count, lot coverage) except as noted below.
2. Review and approval by the Planning and Zoning Board, Design Review Board and City Council of future development plans.
3. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
4. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
5. Dedicate the right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
6. All street improvements and perimeter landscaping to be installed in the first phase of construction.
7. Compliance with all requirements of the Design Review Board.
8. Owner granting an Avigation Easement and Release to the City, pertaining to Falcon Field Airport, which will be prepared and recorded by the City (concurrently with the recordation of the final subdivision map, prior to the issuance of a building permit).
9. Retention basins to be 6:1 slopes maximum when adjacent to public rights-of-way or pedestrian walkways.
10. Completion of the Citizen Participation efforts and submittal of the report prior to City Council action.

*d. **Z03-47** (District 6) Southwest corner of Greenfield and US 60 (23.26 ac. ±). Council Use Permit and Site Plan Review. This request is for the development of a Wal-Mart Supercenter. Brent Berge Revocable Trust & Lumberjack Capital LLC, owner; Sean Lake, Pew & Lake, applicant - Ordinance No. 4130.

P&Z Recommendations: Approval with conditions. (Vote passed 6-0, Esparza absent.)

1. Compliance with the basic development as described in the project narrative and as shown on the site plan, preliminary plat and elevations submitted, (without guarantee of lot yield, building count, lot coverage) except as noted below.
2. Compliance with all City development codes and regulations.
3. Compliance with all requirements of the Development Services Department (Engineering, Traffic Engineering, Solid Waste and Facilities, etc.).
4. Dedicate right-of-way required under the Mesa City Code at the time of application for a building permit, at the time of recordation of the subdivision plat, or at the time of the City's request for dedication, whichever comes first.
5. Compliance with all requirements of the Subdivision Technical Review Committee.
6. Compliance with all requirements of the Design Review Board.
7. Any non-conforming and/or prohibited signs existing on any of the subject property shall be removed or brought into conformance prior to the issuance of a building permit.
8. Review and approval of a Special Use Permit by the Board of Adjustment for comprehensive sign plan.

9. Provide a comprehensive pedestrian circulation layout to ensure directness, safety, and continuity of routes throughout the site and from the street to all building entrances. Provide attractive shade-producing landscaping for major pedestrian routes through the site.
10. Review and approval of a Special Use Permit by the Board of Adjustment for the fuel sales use is required prior to Design Review application for that facility.
11. There shall be no outdoor storage or seasonal product display except for those areas as indicated within the building enclosure and in walled areas as shown on the site plan with the exception of those sales allowed under a Special Event License.
12. Outdoor Garden Center storage and display to be restricted to the area within the enclosed Garden Center area only with material storage and display not exceeding the height of the fence or wall.
13. Application material submitted for Design Review Board approval shall include the following revisions:
 - a. Show typical dimensions on the site plan in conformance with Chapter 15, Site Development Standards to include building foundation base areas, landscape and hardscape areas, pedestrian facilities, parking areas and other areas as necessary to evaluate the proposal.
 - b. As per the foundation base requirements, provide at least one (1) tree per fifty (50) linear feet of wall for the Wal-Mart Supercenter to be located within thirty (30) feet of the building. A total of forty (40) trees needs to be shown on the landscape plan where only twenty-seven (27) are indicated for the subject applications. Provide at least thirteen (13) additional trees in the Wal-Mart foundation base area.
 - c. Show limits of areas proposed for phased development. Phased plans should show the temporary edge treatment for the limits of development and all required fire access, onsite circulation, drainage retention and other necessary items to ensure compliance with requirements.
 - d. Revise site plan to show outlot pad developments in compliance with Chapter 15 requirements for foundation base, landscaping, screening, loading areas, trash enclosures and all site development standards. As shown, the conceptual layout of the outlot pad sites does not guarantee approval of the size, orientation or general configuration of buildings.
 - e. Show trash enclosures in conformance with both Solid Waste Division standards and Planning Division concerns regarding location, size and orientation. Show double enclosures located away from streets, driveways and building entrances with adequate screening, landscaping and separation from vehicular areas.

e. Deleted.

10. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

11. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Regular Council Meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

KENO HAWKER, MAYOR

ATTEST:

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Regular Council Meeting of the City Council of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 3rd day of November 2003. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

BARBARA JONES, CITY CLERK

pag