

COMMUNITY & CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

February 23, 2012

The Community & Cultural Development Committee of the City of Mesa met in the lower level meeting room of the Council Chambers, 57 East 1st Street, on February 23, 2012 at 3:36 p.m.

COMMITTEE PRESENT

Dave Richins, Chairman
Christopher Glover
Scott Somers

COMMITTEE ABSENT

None

STAFF PRESENT

Natalie Lewis
Alfred Smith

1. Items from citizens present.

There were no items from citizens present.

2-a. Hear a presentation, discuss and make recommendations on the FY 2012/2013 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) recommendations made by the Housing Advisory and the Economic Advisory Boards, and the FY 2012/2013 HOME Investment Partnership Program and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program recommendations made by the Housing Advisory Board, and the Human Services funding recommendations made by the Human Services Advisory Board.

Director of Housing and Community Development Tammy Albright introduced Director of Housing and Revitalization Mary Berumen and Development Project Coordinator Ray Thimesch. Ms. Albright displayed a PowerPoint presentation (**See Attachment 1**) that outlined the funding recommendations for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, the HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) Program, Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program and Human Services funding for FY 2012/13.

Ms. Albright described the rating tool used to score and make the funding recommendations for federal grants. She advised that the Human Services Advisory Board reviewed and scored both the applications and presentations for Human Services funding. She stated that the goal for next year will be to streamline the process by combining the Human Services Advisory Board and the Housing Advisory Board into one board. (See Pages 2 & 4 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright displayed a chart (See Page 4 of Attachment 1) that illustrated the reduction of nearly \$1 million made to the CDBG and HOME programs over the last two years. She advised that projects funded with federal dollars must meet one of the following national objectives:

- Benefit to low and moderate income persons
- Aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight
- Meet a need having a particular urgency

Ms. Albright advised that the “benefit to low and moderate income (LMI)” objective is the most commonly used objective and the easiest to administer. She said that Mesa’s Consolidated Plan indicates that funding shall be used to provide decent housing, suitable living environments and economic opportunities. She added that the Human Services Funding Model promotes community safety and assists agencies in becoming self-sufficient. (See Page 5 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright displayed the Federal Funding Timeline (See Page 7 of Attachment 1) and said that it is anticipated that the annual Action Plan will be ready for the 30-day public comment period by the end of the month. She briefly outlined the funding schedule for Human Services and federal funds as follows:

- Presentation of the Action Plan to the Council – March 8th
- Approval of funding recommendations by Council – March 19th
- Annual Action Plan submitted to Housing and Urban Development (HUD) by May 15th

Ms. Albright pointed out that West Mesa Community Compliance Program (CDC) funding applications were shifted over to the Public Service category and noted that the change was reflected in the subtotal for Code Enforcement. (See Page 9 of Attachment 1) She explained that staff is developing a map that will have a “layered effect” that will be used to define and designate deteriorated areas in the community. She added that it is anticipated that the numbers presented today could change substantially once staff has had an opportunity to work with the map.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that the current area of enforcement could shrink however, staff will need to have the map completed to be certain.

Ms. Albright continued with the presentation and said that under the category of Economic Development staff has recommended the funding of four applications. She said that staff attempted to maintain the same funding as last year however, in an effort to spread more of the money around, some applications would receive a reduced amount. (See Page 10 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright explained that if federal funds are used for Code Compliance projects they must be “married” with a rehabilitation or revitalization program. She advised that staff will focus rehab efforts in the areas where Code Enforcement officers are working and where it will have the most significant impact. She reported that staff did not recommend funding Habitat for Humanity with federal funds since the same request was made under the HOME grants. (See Page 11 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright displayed a chart of the CDBG FY 2012/13 Public Facility Applications (See Page 12 of Attachment 1) and said that staff did not recommend funding for the City of Mesa Parks and Recreations Department or Project Veterans Pride. She explained that funding

recommendations were based on the scores however, there were a few areas where staff went outside of the scores.

Chairman Richins commented that the Committee will want to revisit A New Leaf's proposal in order to get a better understanding of how this proposal layers with the presentation made at the Study Session earlier today.

In response to a question from Committeemember Somers, Ms. Albright explained that agencies demonstrate that they meet the grant requirements through the submittal package. She added that in the case of Project Veterans Pride the submittal package was incomplete and did not show a full pro forma of the funding.

Discussion ensued regarding Project Veterans Pride's application and why they were not recommended for funding.

Ms. Berumen advised that depending on the funding source some applications need to demonstrate that they are capable of accomplishing what they have requested. She explained that an application for a HOME program would need to demonstrate that they previously assisted with HOME projects. She added that every grant has different requirements that must be met.

Ms. Albright stated that the expertise of an agency's Board members could be used to demonstrate that they meet the funding requirements. She said that the decision to not fund Project Veterans Pride was based on the agency's pro forma and how the project would move forward within its timelines. She noted that Project Veterans Pride lowered the amount of their request to \$230,000. In addition, she advised that staff will work with the agencies that did not receive funding so that they will be better prepared for next year.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Berumen advised that Project Veterans Pride is a new program.

Ms. Albright continued with the presentation and advised that a lot of contracts were shifted into the Public Service category. She said that by improving how contracts were categorized the full amount of funding allocated for Public Service was not used. She advised that the allowable amount for Public Service funding was \$476,449 and only \$350,000 has been allocated. (See Pages 13 & 14 of Attachment 1)

Responding to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that if an agency applies for a grant in a category in which they are not eligible staff will move the application into a more suitable category.

Ms. Albright reviewed the Public Service funding and advised that the only application under Public Service that was not funded was Housing Our Communities. She said that there is currently a Human Services contract that provides counseling for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), therefore, staff chose not to fund Housing Our Communities. Ms. Albright advised that Housing Our Communities will have the opportunity to apply for funds when NSP 3 funds are opened up.

Chairman Richins expressed his concerns with regards to agencies having their funding capacity diminished to the point that they can't survive.

Ms. Albright also reported that staff did not recommend funding Valley of the Sun YMCA for the Washington Park community. She said that these funds were no longer necessary, and therefore, Valley of the Sun did not attend the presentation as they believed that their presence was no longer required.

Ms. Albright advised that 20% of the CDBG funds can be allocated for administration costs. She said the full 20% has been allocated however, staff believes that only 17% of the allowable amount will be used and the remaining funds could be used elsewhere. (See Page 15 of Attachment 1) In addition, Ms. Albright advised that staff is recommending that Affordable Rental Movement (ARM) be funded with Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) money.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that the funds requested by ARM will be used for rental housing.

Chairman Richins expressed his concerns with regards to Save the Family and their ability to maintain their existing housing stock. He stated that while they have shown some improvement, this will be a \$14 million project that will be added to their current responsibilities.

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Jacki Taylor, Chief Executive Officer of Save the Family, addressed the Committee and explained that the funding that has been requested is not tied to the Escobedo project. She inquired as to whether or not this funding could be allocated for the Escobedo project instead of requesting a subsidy.

Chairman Richins stated that he would be comfortable with the funding being allocated for the Escobedo project.

Committeemember Somers remarked that using the funding for the Escobedo project was a good idea, however, there was no guarantee that the project would go through.

Discussion ensued with regards to the reallocation of Save the Family funds to the Escobedo project and the timeframe for when the project would be completed.

Chairman Richins commented that the Escobedo project would have to be completed by the end of 2013 in order for the funds to be reallocated.

Ms. Albright said that staff will research and determine if the Escobedo project qualifies as a Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) activity. She noted that a minimum of 15% of the funds must be set aside for CHDO. She stated that it might be beneficial to hold the money back and issue a separate Request for Proposal (RFP) for a project on which to spend the HOME funds. Ms. Albright advised that as staff works through this process new rules with regard to the HOME program are being received on a daily basis.

Chairman Richins expressed his appreciation for staff's efforts and requested that staff continue to maintain the current funding capacity as well as absorb new programs.

Ms. Taylor advised that Save the Family plans to increase their property maintenance staff so that they will be able to handle the additional properties.

Ms. Albright reported that staff did not recommend funding Housing Our Communities at this time due to the capacity issues. She advised that there is an open audit and that staff will work with Housing Our Communities to getting back on track.

Chairman Richins expressed his appreciation for staff's efforts in reaching out to the non-profits. He stated that there could be an opportunity to revisit funding for Housing Our Communities in the future if the Save the Family tax-credit application did not work out.

Ms. Albright advised that \$50,000 has been allocated for Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA). She explained that when a person receives a housing voucher to pay for rent but is unable to pay the utility or rental deposits TBRA funds are used to assist those individuals in paying the deposits.

Responding to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that TBRA is assistance that is provided only once to help individuals pay utility and rental deposits. She noted that the deposits move with the individuals.

Mr. Thimesch stated that deposit assistance helps ensure that the individuals maintain the property they are renting so that the deposits will be returned.

Ms. Albright said that the City of Mesa (COM) submitted their own application for Homeowner Rehabilitation Programs as it appeared that no other applications would be received. She reported that since some applications were received staff has recommended that the COM application not be funded. (See Page 17 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright advised that ESG awarded a mid-year allocation of \$84,847 that cannot be used for shelters or street outreach. She said that staff is proposing that these funds be used for Rapid Re-housing, which gets people into housing quickly.

Ms. Albright reported that no applications for Rapid Re-housing were received, therefore, the program will be run by the City of Mesa's Housing and Revitalization Division. She said that an RFP could be issued to determine if there is an agency that could run the Rapid Re-Housing program. She added that the City is set up to run a very qualified Rapid Re-housing program. (See Page 18 and 19 of Attachment 1)

Ms. Albright advised that Human Services applications were funded down the line based on the agencies' scores. She explained that the funding for Human Services applications comes from the General Fund and A Better Community (ABC) funds. She said that ABC funds, which are approximately \$120,000, come from donations received when residents pay their utility bills. (See Pages 20, 21 & 22 of Attachment 1)

In response to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that the Human Services Advisory Board reviewed and rated the applications using the same funding as the previous year. She said that because the funding for Human Services applications comes from the General Fund the applications do not have to meet any federal criteria, and therefore, are not reviewed by staff.

Chairman Richins advised that the department has been working with HUD and undergoing a scrutinizing audit procedure to ensure that the City has the capacity to serve partner agencies. He said the goal is to ensure success by teaching everyone how to work with federal funds and programs appropriately. Chairman Richins commended Ms. Albright for her efforts.

Ms. Albright advised that there are numerous contracts that must be maintained and that the consultants have said that there are too many grants for the current level of staff to administer. She stated that working through the budget it could be determined that further staff reductions are necessary.

Chairman Richins commented that the City does not want to sacrifice quality. He requested that A New Leaf's application be revisited to address the gap financing of the program. He suggested that staff research ways that the City can help close the financing gap as well as verify that the prior year's funds were calculated properly.

In response to a series of questions from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that staff has recommended "sweeping the pot" of unallocated CDBG funds. She said that A New Leaf project will have a gap, however, some funds should be available from the Administration pool of money that could be used to help fund the application.

Ms. Albright advised that the department has experienced a reduction in Code Compliance Officers. She said that once the map is complete it could be determined that all four Code Compliance officers will be needed to service the CDBG area. She noted that this information should be available by March 1st.

Michael Hughes, Chief Executive Officer of A New Leaf, advised that \$400,000 will need to be raised in order to build the 16-bed shelter that will replace the current La Mesita shelter. He said that A New Leaf will be approaching other cities and will conduct additional fundraising to make up the difference.

Torrie Taj, Chief Operations Officer of A New Leaf, addressed the Committee and said that there should be other tax-credit projects awarded from the Department of Housing. She said that construction needed to begin soon since the new shelter will be replacing the existing shelter and that it was imperative that A New Leaf continue to serve the families. She noted that A New Leaf is in the process of fundraising.

Discussion ensued regarding what will be included in the funding for A New Leaf's projects.

Chairman Richins expressed his concern with regards to some of the agencies that are receiving smaller grants.

Responding to a question from Chairman Richins, Ms. Albright explained that some of the smaller accounts are easier to administer. She said accounts become more complicated and difficult to administer when they include salaries and rent which require cost allocation plans.

Assistant to the City Manager, Natalie Lewis stated that the purpose of this presentation was to provide an overview of the funding recommendations. She said that additional information will be brought back to the Committee on March 1st, at which time staff will be seeking the Committee's direction and/or recommendation to the City Council.

Ms. Albright also advised that staff will be back before the Committee on March 1st with more information as it relates to: Code Compliance, a final amount for the Administration funds and information on the map that is being developed.

Chairman Richins advised the non-profits represented in the audience to contact him by phone or email if they have any questions with regards to the allocations.

Ms. Albright stated that staff has received one request to view the rating tool. She said that the rating tool is public record and is available for viewing.

3. Adjournment.

Without objection, the Community & Cultural Development Committee meeting adjourned at 4:19 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Community & Cultural Development Committee meeting of the City of Mesa, Arizona, held on the 23rd day of February, 2012. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

LINDA CROCKER, CITY CLERK

bdw
(attachments – 1)

**Community Development
Block Grant (CDBG) Program**

**HOME Investment
Partnership (HOME) Program**

**Emergency Solutions Grant
(ESG) Program**

Human Services Funding



**Presentation to Community and Cultural Development
Committee – February 23, 2012**

Federal Grant Purpose and Process

- Finalize funding recommendations for CDBG, HOME and ESG funding for Council approval.
- Technical review and rating by staff weighted at 70% of total score.
- Presentation score weighted at 30% from boards.
- Total available score is 100 points

Human Services and ABC Purpose and Process

- Finalize funding recommendations for ABC and Human Services Funds for Council approval.
- Human Services Board reviewed all applications and scored both the applications and presentations.
- Total available score is 42.

Mesa Funding Cycles

Program	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2-Year Difference
CDBG	3.7 million	3.1 million	3.2 million	15% decrease
HOME	1.5 million	1.3 million	943 thousand	37% decrease
ESG	151 thousand	151 thousand	269 thousand	79% increase
ABC/Human Services	620 thousand	657 thousand	620 thousand	0%

Reduction of CDBG and HOME
 programs over the last two years – over
 1.1 million

HUD Grant Requirements

National Objectives

- **Benefit to low-and moderate- income (LMI) persons.**
- Aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight.
- Meet a need having a particular urgency.

Outcomes per Mesa's Consolidated Plan

- Decent Housing
- Suitable Living Environment
- Economic Opportunity

Human Services Funding Model

Engage in programs that:

- **impact community safety services.**
- **encourage independence and self-sufficiency.**
- **avoid duplicating efforts.**
- **provide diverse financial support and sustainable success.**
- **prevent long-term dependence on public resources.**
- **have specific outcomes for the public good.**

Federal Fund Timeline

ACTIVITY	DATE(S)
Applications due	January 9, 2012
Public Hearing #1 (Parts 1, 2, and 3) – Review of application presentations by HAB & EDAB	February 1, 2, and 7, 2012
Community & Cultural Development Committee – Review/modify board recommendations	February 23, 2012 March 1, 2012
Council Study Session – Review of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council	March 8, 2012
Council Study Session – Approval of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council	March 19, 2012
Annual Action Plan – 30-day public comment period	March 29 – April 30, 2012
Public Hearing #2 – Annual Action Plan review	April 5, 2012
Council Meeting – Annual Action Plan approval by Council	May 7, 2012
Annual Action Plan to HUD	May 15, 2012

Human Services and ABC Timeline

ACTIVITY	DATE(S)
Applications due	January 9, 2012
Human Services Advisory Board Meetings – Review applications & make recommendations	January 25 and 26, 2012
Community & Cultural Development Committee – review/modify Board recommendations	February 23, 2012 March 1, 2012
Council Study Session – Review of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council	March 8, 2012
Council Study Session – Approval of Community & Cultural Development funding recommendations by Council	March 19, 2012

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Code Enforcement	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Development and Sustainability – Code Enforcement Program – 6 FTE Code Officers	\$620,491	\$435,000
CDBG	COM Development and Sustainability – Demolition and Hazardous Abatement Program	\$100,000	\$50,000
CDBG	<i>Code Enforcement Subtotal</i>	<i>\$720,491</i>	<i>\$485,000</i>

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Economic Development Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Economic Development Department – Downtown Project Mgr.	\$115,000	\$115,000
CDBG	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO) – Business Development Program	\$81,500	\$81,500
CDBG	Neighborhood Economic Development Corporation (NEDCO) – Light Rail Business Assistance Program	\$300,000	\$250,000
CDBG	West Mesa CDC – Economic Development Program	\$90,000	\$90,000
CDBG	<i>Economic Development Subtotal</i>	<i>\$586,500</i>	<i>\$536,500</i>

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation (Housing Needs) Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Arizona Bridge to Independent Living (ABIL) – Mesa Home Accessibility Program (MHAP)	\$65,000	\$65,000
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Homeowner Rehabilitation Program	\$1,086,592	\$500,000
CDBG	Habitat for Humanity of Central Arizona – Housing Acquisition, Rehabilitation, and Resale Project	\$315,000	--
CDBG	Marc Center – Freestone Community Center Renovation	\$242,000	\$242,000
CDBG	Acquisition and/or Rehabilitation (Housing Needs) Subtotal	\$1,708,602	\$807,000

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Facility Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	A New Leaf – East Valley Men's Center Renovation Project (Phase III)	\$135,000	\$135,000
CDBG ***	A New Leaf – La Mesita Shelter Project	\$1,125,000	\$545,565
CDBG	COM Parks & Recreation – Drew Street Pocket Park Renovation	\$144,000	--
CDBG	House of Refuge, Inc. – Roadway Repair Project	\$38,826	\$39,000
CDBG	Project Veterans Pride – Project Veterans Pride	\$2,470,000	--
CDBG	Public Facility Subtotal	\$3,912,826	\$719,565

***A New Leaf – La Mesita includes \$371,436 from prior year funds

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Service Applications (15% Maximum Allowable Amount - \$476,449)	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Neighborhood Outreach Division – Community Engagement Program – 1 FTE Outreach Coordinator	\$102,435	\$102,435
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – FSS Support Services	\$30,000	\$30,000
CDBG	COM Parks and Recreation Department – Washington Activity Center	\$200,000	--
CDBG	Community Legal Services – Mesa Tenants Rights Helpline	\$40,000	\$40,000
CDBG	Community Legal Services – Removing Barriers to Justice for Low-Income Mesa Residents	\$45,000	\$45,000
CDBG	East Valley Adult Resources, Inc. – Assistance for Independent Living (AIL) Program	\$22,000	\$22,000
CDBG	Housing Our Communities – Homeownership Counseling, Foreclosure Prevention, and Client Intake/Referral	\$93,890	--
CDBG	Labor’s Community Service Agency – Foreclosure Intervention Program	\$30,000	\$30,000

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Public Service Applications – Continued (15% Maximum Allowable Amount - \$476,449)	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	Mercy Housing Mountain Plains – Mercy Housing Live in Hope Financial Literacy Program	\$25,000	--
CDBG	Save the Family Foundation of Arizona – Homeless Families Intervention Project	\$35,000	\$35,000
CDBG	Valley of the Sun YMCA – Mesa Family YMCA/Washington Park Community Partnership	\$100,000	--
CDBG	West Mesa CDC – Community Compliance Program – 1 FTE Community Compliance Specialist	\$30,000	\$30,000
CDBG	West Mesa CDC – Community Safety/Crime Prevention Program	\$10,000	\$10,000
CDBG	West Mesa CDC – Neighborhood Academy	\$20,000	\$20,000
Public Service Subtotal		\$783,325	\$364,435

CDBG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Administration	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
CDBG	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Administration	\$635,266	\$635,266
	<i>Administration Subtotal</i>	\$635,266	\$635,266

HOME FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HOME	ARM of Save the Family – Affordable Rental Movement (Acquisition and Rehabilitation)	\$537,600	--
HOME	ARM of Save the Family – CHDO Operating	\$50,000	\$47,154
HOME	Community Bridges, Inc. – Center for Hope Permanent Supportive Housing	\$286,045	--
HOME	Habitat for Humanity – Land Acquisition & Rehabilitation	\$435,750	\$401,620
HOME	Housing Our Communities – CHDO Operating	\$50,000	--
HOME	<i>Non Profit Agency Subtotal</i>	<i>\$1,359,395</i>	<i>\$448,774</i>
Funding Source	CHDO Set-Aside Applications (15% Minimum Required - \$141,462)	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HOME	ARM of Save the Family – Affordable Rental Movement	\$537,600	\$350,000
HOME	Housing Our Communities – New Opportunities for Homeownership Program	\$300,000	--
HOME	<i>CHDO Set-Aside Subtotal</i>	<i>\$837,600</i>	<i>\$350,000</i>

HOME FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	City Department Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
HOME	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – HOME Administration	\$94,308	\$94,308
HOME	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Security Deposit Program	\$50,000	\$50,000
HOME	COM Housing and Revitalization Division – Re-construction and Major Rehabilitation Program	\$533,372	--
HOME	City Department Subtotal	\$677,680	\$144,308

ESG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
ESG	A New Leaf – Autumn House	\$42,500	--
ESG	A New Leaf – East Valley Men's Center	\$80,000	\$80,000
ESG	A New Leaf – La Mesita Family Homeless Shelter	\$42,500	\$42,500
ESG	Community Bridges Inc., - Homeless Navigator Services in Mesa	\$37,752	\$37,752
ESG	Project Veterans Pride – Project Veterans Pride	\$100,000	--
ESG	Non Profit Agency Subtotal	\$302,752	\$160,252
Funding Source	City Department Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
ESG	COM Housing and Revitalization - Administration	\$20,169	\$20,169
ESG	COM Housing and Revitalization - Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program	\$88,505	\$173,352
ESG	Non Profit Agency and City Department Subtotal	\$108,674	\$193,521

ESG FY 2012/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	City Department Applications	Agency Request	Staff Recommend
ESG	COM Housing and Revitalization - Administration	\$20,169	\$20,169
ESG	COM Housing and Revitalization - Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program	\$88,505	\$173,352
ESG	<i>Non Profit Agency and City Department Subtotal</i>	<i>\$108,674</i>	<i>\$193,521</i>

A mid-year allocation of \$84,847 was awarded that cannot be used for shelters or street outreach. Staff is proposing using this for Rapid Re-housing using existing Housing staff members.

Human Services/ABC FY 12/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	HSAB Recommend
S/ABC	United Food Bank – Food Distribution Program to Social Service Agencies	\$20,000	\$17,100
HS/ABC	Community Bridges – Substance Abuse Services	\$65,000	\$52,927.35
HS/ABC	A New Leaf, Inc. – Autumn House Emergency Shelter	\$32,500	\$21,464.78
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – MesaCAN	\$125,000	\$107,355.70
HS/ABC	Save the Family – Transitional Housing Program	\$180,360	\$116,748.83
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – Court Advocacy Program	\$15,000	\$11,875
HS/ABC	Marc Center – Job Training Support for the Disabled	\$10,000	\$9,500
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – La Mesita Homeless Shelter for Families	\$45,000	\$37,513.98
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – East Valley Men's Center	\$150,000	\$118,195.77
HS/ABC	American Red Cross – Disaster Assistance Program	\$25,000	\$7,500
HS/ABC	Central Arizona Shelter Services (CASS) – Shelter services for homeless women	\$30,000	10,068

Human Services/ABC FY 12/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	HSAB Recommend
HS/ABC	East Valley Adult Resources (EVAR) – Meals on Wheels Program	\$20,000	13,038.18
HS/ABC	Child Crisis Center – Emergency Shelter for Children	\$11,000	\$10,450
HS/ABC	Tumbleweed Center for Youth Development – Supportive Services to Homeless Youth	\$10,000	\$7,500
HS/ABC	Lutheran Social Services – IHelp Shelter Program for Homeless Women	\$29,000	\$21,612.50
HS/ABC	Paz de Cristo – Evening Meal Service	\$43,000	\$24,700
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – Empower Program	\$8,000	\$4,845
HS/ABC	House of Refuge – Employment Support Program for Homeless Shelter Residents	\$21,000	\$10,000
HS/ABC	Teen Lifeline – Teen Crisis/Suicide Prevention Hotline	\$10,000	\$7,500
HS/ABC	Sirrine Adult Day Care – Adult Day Care Services	\$11,250	\$10,000
HS/ABC	A New Leaf – Desert Leaf Supportive Services	\$12,500	--
HS/ABC	Arizona Brain Food – Provide food to hungry children	\$50,000	--

Human Services/ABC FY 12/13 Applications for Funding

Funding Source	Non Profit Agency Applications	Agency Request	HSAB Recommend
HS/ABC	Community Information & Referral – 211 Arizona Social Service Helpline	\$30,000	--
HS/ABC	Sun Sounds of Arizona – Reading aloud to Mesa residents that are blind or visually impaired	\$30,258	--
HS/ABC	Salvation Army – Food, Rental, and Utility Assistance	\$40,000	--
HS/ABC	Big Brothers Big Sisters – Community-Based Mentoring for Youth	\$20,000	--
HS/ABC	Gene Lewis Boxing Club – Boxing for a Better Life Program	\$32,000	--
HS/ABC	Christian Assistance Network (CAN) – Emergency Utility Assistance	\$9,200	--
HS/ABC	Family Service Agency – Community Re-Integration Program for Ex-Offenders	\$20,000	--
HS/ABC	Stardust Non-profit Building Supplies – Home Repair Services for Low-Income Mesa Residents	\$10,000	--
HS/ABC	Chicanos Por La Causa – PATTERNS Teen Pregnancy Program	\$65,000	--
HS/ABC	Project Veterans Pride – Short-term emergency assistance, transitional housing, case management, counseling and employment services for homeless veterans	\$450,000	--
HS/ABC	Non Profit Agency Subtotal	\$1,630,068	\$619,895

Questions and Discussion